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    PROCEE D I N G S
(Atlanta, Fulton County, Georgia; January 30, 2024.)
```

THE COURT: Morning, Counsel. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

Are we ready to proceed today?
MR. BELINFANTE: We are, Your Honor. We -- Mr. Cross
and I talked, and we had one housekeeping measure we wanted to raise with you.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. CROSS: We were thinking, Your Honor, it looks like we may be done today with their case. We're still figuring out if there is a rebuttal case. If there is, it will be very, very short. That might mean we spill over tomorrow morning. Maybe it doesn't.

We thought, if it works for the Court, to just decide Thursday morning is closings, regardless of whether we finish today or tomorrow. Everyone just knows we've got it -- that is the schedule. And if we're done early, folks have tomorrow to kind of decompress and prepare. We'll do what works best for you.

THE COURT: And y'all agree on that?
MR. CROSS: Yes.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. BELINFANTE: We're fine for the State.
THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. And how long did you
want to have closings?
MR. CROSS: We had talked about somewhere in the neighborhood of 90 minutes to two hours per side. But we obviously defer to what works best for Your Honor. There is a lot of evidence, and we want to distill it for you.

MR. BELINFANTE: We're fine with that as well. I think we can do ours in 90 minutes. But there's just one of us, so ...

THE COURT: Well, that's true. So I think -- I can
understand why you need two hours. The question then is are you wanting to go straight or do you want to -- I mean, obviously everyone could eat lunch afterwards rather than taking a lunch break. But I think we have to have some sort of half hour break.

MR. CROSS: Yeah. I mean, obviously we go first, then them. I assume some break in between that. And then whatever time is left for us for rebuttal. But that would be very brief.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BELINFANTE: And, Your Honor, I know, just from prior experience in this court -- not your court, but in the Northern District, I have broken up my closing to have a break. I don't mind doing that since we're going second if we got a later start or something of that nature. So we will do whatever the Court prefers.

THE COURT: Yeah. We'll take breaks. I just don't want to sort of have everyone disappear for 45 minutes. That's all.

MR. BELINFANTE: Understood. Right.
MR. OLES: Judge, just to mention it now, we would appreciate a reasonable period of time for closing for Mr. Davis.

THE COURT: Right. I understand.
MR. OLES: Thank you.
THE COURT: What I would expect is that you should discuss that with plaintiffs' other counsel when we get a break today as early as you can so that you can sort of indicate what you want, they can think about it, and then you can talk some more. And if there is a problem, let me know.

MR. OLES: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: That's fine. Let me figure out what our beginning time is on Thursday based on how things evolve and how my health is going and my attention span.

MR. CROSS: Yes. We thought you might appreciate a day off if we end up ...

THE COURT: All right.
MR. CROSS: If we do anything on Wednesday, it is going to be short in the morning.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm just -- okay. That's fine. We'll just play the beginning time by ear.

Okay. Anything else we need to address?
MR. BELINFANTE: Not for the State, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Y'all had anything outstanding that I have forgotten?

All right. You may proceed.
THE DEFENDANTS' CASE (Continued).
MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, the State will call
Ms. Marilyn Marks for cross-examination.
COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: Please raise your right
hand.
(Witness sworn)
COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: Please have a seat.
Loudly and clearly state your name and spell your
complete name for the record.
THE WITNESS: Marilyn Marks, M-A-R-I-L-Y-N,
M-A-R-K-S .
Whereupon,
MARILYN MARKS,
after having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Good morning, Ms. Marks.
A. Good morning.
Q. As you know, I'm Josh Belinfante representing the State.

You are not a Georgia resident today; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. So you have never -- and you've never actually voted on the Georgia election system, the Dominion BMD system; is that right?
A. I have not voted in an election on it. I do vote on a BMD in North Carolina.
Q. Okay. And at one point, you had a CPA, an active license; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. But that license you allowed to lapse because you weren't using it; is that right?
A. Correct. That was a very long time ago.
Q. Yes, ma'am.

And so I'll be asking you, as you probably figured, some questions on audits.

Just to be clear, your auditing experience is for financial audits and not election audits; is that right?
A. My professional auditing experience would have been when $I$ was a CPA and doing a lot of auditing. But I have not done election auditing in terms of being a professional.
Q. Okay. And you have never actually worked for a government election board or a Secretary of State's office; is that right?
A. I've actually been what is called an election judge in Colorado.
Q. Okay. And what was the duties of the election judge?
A. In each county, there would be a county clerk. They were charged with the duties that a superintendent of elections would be charged with in Georgia. So it was an elected county clerk. And they engaged the poll workers, the absentee ballot workers.

And I volunteered for that job. And I was, you know, employed as a temporary, if you will, doing that kind of work and called an election judge.
Q. Okay. And when was that?
A. Oh, goodness.
Q. You can give me a decade is fine.
A. That is about what I'll have to do.
Q. Yeah. That's fine.
A. Probably 2008, 2009.
Q. Okay.
A. Maybe 2007, 2008 .
Q. Sure. Okay.

But just to be clear, you have never served on a board of elections in the State of Georgia; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And you have never worked for the Secretary of State's office in Georgia?
A. Certainly not.
Q. Okay. But also to be very clear and fair, you're very involved in election policy debates in Georgia; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And as part of that, you keep up with news reports on election matters in Georgia?
A. I try to. I'm not 100 percent current.
Q. No one can do that.

And you also follow elections in your role -- or let me ask this first.

You're the executive director of the Coalition for Good Governance?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. I will probably refer to that today as the Coalition.
A. That's fine.
Q. Okay. And in your role as the executive director of the Coalition, you also at least attempt to keep abreast of what is going on across the country in election matters; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And you have a particular interest in hand-marked paper ballots and electronic voting machines; is that right?
A. I don't know that I would phrase it that way.
Q. Okay. How would you phrase it?
A. That CGG's work is focused more generally on election security and verifiable elections.
Q. Okay. Don't worry. I'm not going to ask you the difference between election security and cybersecurity.
A. I was all prepared for that.
Q. I'm not. So we're okay.

The Coalition is the party to this lawsuit, not you
individually; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And how long have you been the executive director of the Coalition?
A. I believe 2014.
Q. Okay. I'm not asking for any members' names. But do you know if the Coalition has members in every county in Georgia?
A. I don't think we have members in every county, no.
Q. Okay. And the Coalition does have a mission statement, does it not?
A. It does.
Q. Okay. Let me show you what we have marked as Defendants' Trial Exhibit 176.

Is this a -- do you recognize this document?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. How do you recognize it?
A. This is probably on our out-of-date website.
Q. Okay. Is it a true and accurate copy of the Coalition's mission statement?
A. It appears to be.
Q. All right.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, at this time I would
move to enter into evidence Defendants' Exhibit 176.
MR. BROWN: No objection.
THE COURT: That's admitted.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. In the last line there, it says that -- it describes part of the mission is we will generally use available means of education and communication to illuminate and shape policy debates.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that still accurate?
A. It is.
Q. Okay. And in part does the Coalition use social media as a means of educating and communicating to illuminate and shape policy debates?
A. That would be one way of communications that we engage in.
Q. Okay. And you have your own account on Twitter or X? I'm not sure what it is called these days.

Is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And it's --
A. Excuse me. When you say your own, do you mean me personally or CGG?
Q. You personally.
A. I personally do.
Q. Okay. And CGG, does it have its own $X$ or Twitter account?
A. Yes.
Q. And who has the authority to post on CGG's Twitter account?
A. I do. And right now I can't remember who else may have access.
Q. Okay. Your account is @marilynrmarks1; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And you recognize that given, you know, the visibility of the Coalition and your own that people do follow you on Twitter and look for election information; is that fair?
A. Yes, that's fair.
Q. Okay. In the line right above the one we just looked at, can you just read that into the record, the we will engage?
A. Yes.

We will engage in litigation and inform legislative policy on these issues.
Q. Okay. And so this case is fulfilling that part of the mission which is engaging in litigation; is that right?
A. I don't know that we would say that we are fulfilling the mission. But it is one effort in addressing our mission.
Q. Okay. It is at least consistent with the mission statement; is that fair?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And you have volunteers or the Coalition has
volunteers who dedicate their time to this litigation effort; is that right?
A. We do.
Q. Okay. And the Coalition also engages in lobbying efforts?

And by lobbying, I mean advocating for or against
legislation. And I'm specifically talking about in the State of Georgia right now at the Georgia General Assembly; is that right?
A. We have not had the opportunity to do much this year at all, primarily because of our work in this litigation. So I can't --
Q. Me either.
A. -- I can't admit to doing very much this year.
Q. Understood this year.

But certainly in years prior, the Coalition has engaged in advocating for or against legislation at the General Assembly?
A. We have done that to a minor degree in terms of direct lobbying. Because, of course, as a 501(c)(3), we are limited in the amount of resources that we can spend on lobbying.

So our efforts have been more about educating voters, educating election officials. And then from there, many of them carry the lobbying efforts forward.

But to some degree, yes.
Q. All right. And understanding that this year or at least this quarter has been challenged by this lawsuit, at least in
prior years, is it true that the Coalition has engaged in advocating for or against local election policy?

And by that, I mean boards of education or county governments that may impact elections.
A. I don't know that we have done anything on a board of education.
Q. Did I say education?
A. Yes.
Q. I meant elections. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Yes.
THE WITNESS: Do you mind asking me the question
again?
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Not a problem.

The Coalition in years past has engaged in advocacy for or against policies of local boards of elections in Georgia; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And has it engaged -- and it's done so in

North Carolina as well; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. You are familiar with the SAFE Commission in Georgia, aren't you?
A. Yes.
Q. And you spoke to the SAFE Commission as well when it was
meeting; is that right?
A. I did.
Q. And you did so at least on 13 June 2018; is that right?
A. I certainly don't remember the dates. But I accept that there may be a record that proves that.
Q. Okay.
A. I did speak to them.
Q. All right. Let's see.

Do you recall speaking to then State Representative, now Judge, Barry Fleming or calling you to speak to the SAFE Commission on or around that time?
A. I don't remember Representative Fleming or Judge Fleming now specifically calling me, but I do recall speaking, and I know he was on the commission. So I don't deny that maybe he called me to speak.
Q. All right. Do you recall advocating before the SAFE Commission against Georgia adopting a uniform system of election voting technology?

And by that, I mean choosing one vendor to be used across the state.
A. Quite frankly, I don't recall that specifically.

MR. BELINFANTE: May I approach the witness, Your
Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. At this point I'm just going to refresh or attempt to refresh your memory.

I'm going to mark -- I may not admit it -- Defendants' Trial Exhibit 1279, which is a transcript of the 18 June meeting of the SAFE Commission.

And your statements begin on Page 143. If you could turn there.
A. Okay.
Q. And I will tell you that the discussion about the uniform system or your statements on it begin on Line 23 of Page 143.
A. Yes.

Did you want me to review my entire statement or was --
Q. I don't -- I don't think so. But certainly if I ask you something and you don't remember, feel free to do so.

So having reviewed that transcript, do you now recall having argued against the State adopting a uniform system?
A. I don't recall this per se. But certainly this reflects what my position would have been at the time and still is. Q. Okay. And, in fact, you described that as one of the, quote, foundational issues that you wanted to address with the SAFE Commission; is that right?
A. The fact of a uniform voting system?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. In fact, you told the SAFE Commission that it was a bad practice; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. All right. Are you familiar with legislation passed in the 2019 General Assembly known as House Bill 316?
A. I am familiar with it.
Q. Okay. And you would agree with me that House Bill 316 is what mandated uniform voting systems across Georgia and particularly electronic -- or excuse me, ballot-marking devices?
A. Let me break that down.
Q. Sure.
A. I believe previous legislation had mandated a uniform voting system across Georgia back in 2002.
Q. Right.
A. But HB 316 was the one that further refined it or changed it to electronic ballot-marking devices.
Q. All right.

THE COURT: So the 2002 bill was for the DREs and
making those uniform -- mandate for those to be handled uniformly across the state, and then you are just saying the 2019 swapped that out with the BMDs?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. BELINFANTE: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Have you gone to the General Assembly's website before?
A. Yes.
Q. To look up legislation?
A. Yes.
Q. And so you are familiar with it?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Let me show you what we have premarked as Defendants' Trial Exhibit 1275.

Does this appear to be a screenshot taken from the General Assembly's website?
A. It does.
Q. Okay. Does it appear to be a true and accurate representation of the legislative history of House Bill 316 as demonstrated on the General Assembly's website?
A. I wouldn't know if it is completely accurate. But it looks reasonable.
Q. Okay. Any reason to doubt that this is from the website --
A. I don't have any reason to doubt it.
Q. Okay. And you can see at the bottom, just for the record, the website address?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, at this time I would move to enter into evidence Defendants' Trial Exhibit 1275.

THE COURT: Could I see it?
MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What did you describe 1275 as?
MR. BELINFANTE: Are you talking to me or the
witness, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You.
MR. BELINFANTE: A printout from the General
Assembly's website where you can track legislation and you can see the legislative history in terms of that bottom, where it says status history. That is what I'll be asking questions about.

THE COURT: Okay. I thought you were doing something else.

All right. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we have -- without conceding relevance, we have no objection.

THE COURT: All right.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, do you see that -- on the first page that category called status history?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you have used the General Assembly's website on things like this to track legislation; is that right?
A. I have.
Q. Okay. And this looks like what you would see on the General Assembly's website?
A. Yes. Generally.
Q. Okay. You see where it says on March 13, 2019, the Senate passed House Bill 316?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then the next day the House agreed to the Senate's version?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And the Governor signed the bill on April 2nd, 2019.

Do you agree with me on that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Would you also agree with me that the Secretary's office formally released a request for bid for proposals on March 15, 2019?

And by request for proposals, I mean for a new voting system.
A. I know that they released an RFP, but I have no idea of the date.
Q. Okay. Any reason to question --
A. I'm sorry.
Q. Any reason to question that it was March 15, 2019?
A. No, I don't have any reason to question that.
Q. All right. And do you recall the Secretary of State's office awarding that procurement or contract to Dominion Voting Systems on July 29, 2019?
A. I remember it was in late July that they did sign that contract. But I could not have told you it was the 29 th.
Q. Okay. Any reason to doubt that date?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that House Bill 316 adopted the uniform voting system or readopted, I should say, that the Coalition urged it not to do?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And in response, the Coalition filed the first supplemental complaint in this case on October 15, 2019; is that right?
A. I don't know that I would have used the word, you know, in response necessarily, because there were a number of activities that we were undertaking to try to seek a change in the State's decision.
Q. Okay. Right. So you were using the lawsuit to find a way to invalidate or otherwise prevent the State from enforcing provisions of House Bill 316; is that right?
A. That was -- it was one of the activities we engaged in to try to reverse what we saw as an inappropriate decision.
Q. Okay. You also continued to advocate against -- or to advocate to either repeal or otherwise amend House Bill 316 and
specifically the unitary voting system; is that right?
A. And, specifically, the electronic ballot-marking devices.
Q. Okay. And sorry. When I say you there, I meant the Coalition.
A. I understand.
Q. Okay.
A. And I answered that way.

THE COURT: Now, I would like counsel to clarify.
Are you pursuing the question of a unitary system or are you -the witness just clarified she also -- that it was about the BMD system.

So if the whole focus is the unitary system, it would help me understand where you are going.

MR. BELINFANTE: Sure, Your Honor. In some ways, it is both. I'm about to get into the election system. But the unitary system is part of what the advocacy was against.

And so I'm now getting into the election system, and
Ms. Marks jumped ahead and answered my question that it was also the ballot-marking device.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll wait and see how you proceed.

MR. BELINFANTE: Okay.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Just so we can clear up and make things -- part of the reason the Coalition filed its first supplemental complaint in
this lawsuit was to prevent the state from enforcing the requirement that ballot-marking devices were used for in-person voting in Georgia; is that fair?
A. I would say that it was to prevent the enforcement of all in-person voters being forced to use the BMDs. We certainly do not, in any way, challenge the use of BMDs for voters who need the assistance from a technology standpoint.
Q. Okay. And the Curling plaintiffs joined in the Coalition's efforts in October of 2019; is that right?

MR. CROSS: Object to vague. I'm not sure what efforts we're talking about.

MR. BELINFANTE: That's fair.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. When the Coalition filed its first supplemental complaint in October of 2019, the Curling plaintiffs also filed an amended complaint challenging the use of BMDs in Georgia elections.

Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And part of the Coalition's contention at least was that the state would not have the BMDs deployed and ready for the March 2020 presidential preference primary; is that right?
A. Do you mind repeating that question for me?
Q. Sure.

Again, focusing on the first supplemental complaint, part
of the Coalition's challenge was that the State would not have the BMDs deployed and ready for use in the March 2020 presidential preference primary; is that right?
A. I believe that is correct.
Q. Okay.

Is it true, Ms. Marks, that the Coalition is made up mostly of volunteers?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Okay. And the volunteers -- and excuse me, the Coalition also has interns; is that right?
A. We have had. We don't have any right now.
Q. Okay. Volunteers for the Coalition are not paid; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Interns have been paid at least sometimes in the past; is that right?
A. Yes. Modest amounts.
Q. Okay. Does the Coalition tell volunteers that in order to volunteer for the Coalition they have to do certain things?

So let me give you a hypothetical is what I'm talking about.

I want to go volunteer for the Coalition. And you say we really need people in Bartow County to go watch an audit. And I say I'm not interested in that issue, I would rather do something else.

Does the Coalition say, well, then you can't be a
volunteer for the Coalition?
A. Certainly not.
Q. Okay. And it is true that the Coalition does not keep records of volunteer time; is that right?
A. We don't keep very specific records of volunteer time. But in some cases, we have done so. I've asked people to do that for certain specific tasks, but generally no.
Q. Okay. Interns, though, the Coalition has tried to maintain records of their time; is that right?
A. That's right. Because we have paid them by the hour. MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BELINFANTE: Is it Your Honor's preference that I present you with a document before I move to admit?

THE COURT: I don't care. Whatever is easiest. If there is going to be an objection, of course I want to see it. MR. BELINFANTE: Sure.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, do you recognize the document I just put before you?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. How do you recognize it?
A. How do I recognize it?
Q. Well, let me just ask you. What is it?

Sorry, it is just some of the formalities we have to do.

What is it?
A. Okay. It appears to be a copy of Coalition for Good Governance and the Coalition Plaintiffs' First supplemental Complaint on Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance, Laura Digges, William Digges, III, Ricardo Davis, and Megan Missett.
Q. Okay. I may be presuming, but you probably are going to need to slow down in the future when you --
A. Okay.
Q. -- read stuff.
A. Thank you.
Q. I do the same thing.

And does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the Coalition's first supplemental complaint?
A. I assume that it is.
Q. Okay.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I would move to enter
into evidence Defendants' Trial Exhibit 1263.
Sometimes you move them into evidence. Sometimes you
don't if they're in the docket. I just thought it would be easier if $I$ was referring to it with the witness.

MR. BROWN: No objection.
THE COURT: It is admitted.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. All right. Let's talk about just some terms generally as it relates to this.

When I say BMDs, can we agree that I'm referring to the actual Dominion BMDs themselves as they are currently configured in and for Georgia elections?
A. Right.
Q. Okay.
A. And that would include the touch screen and the printer? Is that what we're talking about?
Q. No. Thank you for that.

I'm talking about just the touch screen itself. When I say BMDs, that is what I'll be referring to.
A. That is going to be hard, but okay.
Q. Okay. And I may at some point separate them out --
A. Okay.
Q. -- but typically I'll be referring to the touch screen itself.
A. Okay.
Q. And similarly, when I say scanner, I'm referring to what is referred to frequently as an ICP or tabulator as it is currently configured in and for Georgia elections.

Is that fair?
A. The ICP only? Not the ICC? Is that what you are saying?
Q. The scanner or the tabulator that is used.
A. Okay. I'm going to assume that you mean the precinct scanner.
Q. Yes. Yes.
A. Okay.
Q. Not the --
A. Not the ICC scanner.
Q. Not -- correct.
A. Okay.
Q. If I'm talking about the central scanner, I'll say central scanner.
A. Okay.
Q. Great point.

And when I refer to Poll Pads, I'll be talking about those operating on the KNOWiNK software just as Poll Pads as they are currently configured and used in Georgia.

Is that fair?
A. That's fine.
Q. Okay. And when I talk about printers, I'll mean literally the printers that print the -- and I know we may disagree on this -- the verifiable human ballot that comes out of the BMD.

Why don't I do it this way?
When I say printer, I just mean the printer that prints out the response from the BMD touch screen.

Is that fair?
A. That's fair.
Q. Okay.

THE COURT: When you say you're going to refer to the KNOWiNK, when was the KNOWiNK -- are you basically jumping
ahead? Because KNOWiNK was not the original.
MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor, because I'm talking about how it is currently configured.

THE COURT: Okay. Fine.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. And if I say election equipment, I'll be referring to all of that, the Poll Pad, the printer, the BMD touch screen, and the precinct scanner, unless I say central scanner.
A. And you will --

MR. BROWN: I'm going to object to that, Your Honor. Because it is just a fraction of the election equipment. It is designed to be confusing.

MR. BELINFANTE: How is it designed to be confusing?
I just said four things.
What would you like me to call it and I will call it something else?

MR. BROWN: If you're talking about a particular piece of equipment, name the particular piece of equipment.

MR. BELINFANTE: Why don't I call it --
MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw that. We'll see where it goes, but there is a problem with that definition.

MR. BELINFANTE: That's fair. Okay.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. All right. Let me ask you a few threshold questions about the Coalition's claims in this litigation as represented by the
first supplemental complaint.
The Coalition is not seeking, as you said, I believe, to prohibit disabled Georgians from using the BMD touch screens, printers, and precinct scanners; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.

THE COURT: Speak up. Thank you. I need to myself. BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Is the Coalition alleging that it is attempting to protect its members' right to have their votes counted as cast if they are required to vote on Georgia's BMD voting system?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, if a vote is not counted as cast, an example of that would be if I were to go in and vote for Senator Warnock and that is what I touch on the screen but the vote is counted as me voting for Herschel Walker, for example, that would be an example of a vote not being counted as cast; is that right?
A. That is not what $I$ would define.
Q. Okay. What is an example of something of a vote not being counted as cast then?

No. Before that, why is that not a situation of a vote not being counted as cast?
A. Okay. As I understood your example, you were saying that as you touched Senator Warnock that somehow that implied that
was casting the vote.
Q. Okay.
A. And then you jumped to how it was counted. And as we see it, you don't cast your ballot at the touch screen. You cast your ballot as it is being scanned.

So in your example, we don't know what your ballot read, whether it said Senator Warnock or someone else.
Q. Okay. But you would agree that there is no injury if I intend to vote for Senator Warnock and I submit my ballot to the scanner and it is scanned as a vote for Senator Warnock?
A. I would not agree that there is no injury if you were talking about, you know, the system in general -- having to vote on that system in general.

But I would agree that, you know, if your ballot that you had presumably verified and cast into the scanner is counted as a vote for Senator Warnock that, no, there is no specific injury on that.
Q. Okay. And you're not suing the State to address a situation where a voter intends to vote for a candidate, puts their ballot in the scanner, and it is counted as voting for that candidate; correct?
A. I try to stay away from the concept of voter intent so much because we can debate that so much. But we are not suing about the tabulation of -- the accurate tabulation of ballots as cast and properly recorded.
Q. Okay. I guess -- go ahead.
A. That is not the core -- that is not the core of the claims that are remaining in the lawsuit right now.
Q. All right. So then I guess that's where I'm confused.

Is a voter for whom the Coalition is seeking to protect who -- is the concern that the voter psychologically does not know when they put their ballot into the scanner how it is counted, even if it is counted correctly? Is that the injury that you are claiming?
A. There are a number of injuries.

Do we really want to walk through them or --
Q. Well, I would like you to answer that question.
A. Okay. Repeat the question again for me about the psychology.
Q. Well, I guess I'm trying to get to: What is the injury -is a voter injured if they cast a ballot and it is counted as they cast it?

And by casting the ballot, I mean using the BMD equipment.
A. It is certainly -- they certainly may be.

MR. CROSS: Objection, Your Honor. Misstates facts. They don't cast a ballot on a BMD. I think we're agreed on that.

MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. I will reframe the question. BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. A voter who casts a ballot by inserting it -- and by
ballot, I mean the paper ballot printed from using a BMD.
If a voter takes that piece of paper, puts it into the scanner, and the scanner recognizes what the voter intended, i.e., I meant to vote for Warnock, the scanner counts it as a vote for Warnock, is that an example of a voter who has had their ballot counted as cast?

THE COURT: Wait a second. Are you saying -- I just want to make sure I understand your question.

Of course there is the printing of the ballot, which the person can see. Then they put it into the scanner.

And when are you saying they see the ballot -- see the result of that?

MR. BELINFANTE: I'm not saying they see the result. I'm trying to determine if the ballot is counted as cast. So I vote for Warnock. I insert it in the scanner and it is tabulated or counted as a cast for -- or a vote for Warnock.

THE COURT: That's what I'm sort of -- it is that nub -- last nub of it that $I$ don't understand how you are asking that question to the witness.

Because how would -- how would she know whether it was counted for -- who it was counted for?

I mean, as a voter, none of us know. We hope that is so. That is what our trust is in the system. But there is nothing that comes out and says -- is there? -- that I voted this way? Here is your receipt, like you do in a grocery
store.

MR. BELINFANTE: No, there is not a receipt. There's
not --

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. BELINFANTE: And that's what $I$ am just trying to determine when the plaintiffs, and particularly here the Coalition Plaintiffs, say their injury is that they do not know if their vote is counted as cast.

THE COURT: And that's fine. You can pursue that all
you want. But your example, as you've described it, would almost imply that, in fact, there is a receipt. So --

MR. BELINFANTE: Okay.
THE COURT: So, I mean, it is just sort of -- seems
to --

MR. BELINFANTE: I'll ask it this way.

THE COURT: -- take a conversation in a weird
direction.

MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. I don't mean to do that.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Let me ask it this way, Ms. Marks.

Can a voter in Georgia, whether voting by hand-marked paper absentee ballot or through use of the BMD system, BMD touch screen printer, scanner, know that their vote was counted as cast?
A. Generally not.
Q. Okay.
A. Not their individual ballot.
Q. Okay. Is it the Coalition's position that BMDs in Georgia in elections do not work as they are programmed?
A. You're asking me if we believe -- we, CGG, believe that the touch screens are generally not programmed correctly?
Q. Let me ask it this way: If a BMD is operating as it should, so no malware, no bugs, you know, it is operating as it should be, i.e., I press the button for Senator Warnock, my QR code is printed out, it says Senator Warnock on the human readable portion of it, and $I$ scan it and it counts as a vote for Warnock -- that is what I mean by working as it should.

Is it the Coalition's position that in Georgia elections the BMDs do not work as they are programmed?
A. We have not taken a position on that one way or another for the reason that we have no way to determine the answer to that. But we've not said that they were -- they are or are not.
Q. And to that point, you would agree with me that you can't determine, for the same reasons perhaps, that a hand-marked absentee ballot has been counted as the voter intended?
A. I would disagree with that.
Q. Okay. Why?
A. Because when a voter marks a hand-marked paper ballot, it is a permanent record of that voter's marking of their vote,
their activity. And so long as we have that permanent record, election officials can review that, presumably in public, and the vote tallies or the election outcome can be verified.
Q. Okay. You would agree with me, though, that the BMD creates a permanent record in the form of a paper ballot?
A. It is a permanent record. But not necessarily of the voter's activity or intent.
Q. And I'm not -- wasn't asking that. But it is --
A. It is a permanent record, yes.
Q. Just like the hand-marked paper absentee ballot?
A. No, not just like it.
Q. No, no. And let me be specific.

They are both permanent records? That is all I'm asking right now.
A. If that is all you're asking, that is correct, unlike a DRE.
Q. Correct. Okay.

And if the BMD is working as programmed, then the paper ballot presented by the BMD contains the same assurances as a hand-marked paper ballot that a voter can submit?
A. No.
Q. Okay.
A. Not necessarily.
Q. Is it your position that the BMD -- or excuse me.

Is it the Coalition's position that a BMD has to be
manipulated or altered intentionally or unintentionally in order to not reflect the intent of the voter?
A. I don't think that that is anything that we can know. Because when the voter selects his choice on the touch screen, that is the point at which the voter is expressing his will.

And so we cannot say that that is immediately translated and correctly translated on to that permanent record that you're talking about.
Q. And I guess what I'm asking is more of a hypothetical.
A. Okay.
Q. Presume I intend to vote for Senator Warnock. I press the button on the touch screen for Senator Warnock. The ballot comes out, and on the human -- verifiable human readable portion, it says Senator Warnock.

The QR code, presume, conveys a vote for Senator Warnock. It is scanned into the system, and the scanner counts it as a vote for Senator Warnock.

With that presumption, is it the Coalition's understanding that that would be a situation where the BMDs are working as they are programmed?
A. It sounds that way, yes. Well, working as perhaps intended.
Q. Correct. Okay. Fair enough.
A. Maybe not programmed.
Q. All right. Now, the Coalition's position is that the

BMDs -- or the Coalition's position today is that in future elections Georgians who vote in person should have -- let me rephrase.

The Coalition's position today is that the State should not enforce a requirement that counties have the current Dominion BMD election system as the means of voting in person?
A. Not the required means of voting in person. Again, with our exception for voters who need assistance.
Q. Okay. And you recognize that the Court has already decided that it cannot order the Georgia legislature to pass legislation creating a paper ballot voting system or judicially impose a statewide paper ballot system as injunctive relief in this case?

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would object to this line of questions. This is getting into a legal debate over what the Court has ordered or not.

I can answer that question if you want. But I don't know if it is necessary to have a witness, a fact witness, testify as to that.

THE COURT: All right. Start again.
MR. BELINFANTE: I'll start again.
THE COURT: I mean, it is just also a waste of time, frankly.

But go ahead. You start again.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. You would agree with me that the Court has said it will not order and cannot order the State to require hand-marked paper ballots be used in Georgia?

MR. BROWN: Same objection.
THE COURT: I thought you were going to restate.
MR. BELINFANTE: I did. Previously I read the
summary judgment order, and so I tried to --
THE COURT: Well, it is no different.
MR. BELINFANTE: I tried to take out some legal
terms.
THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. I'm sure the
witness understands.
MR. BELINFANTE: Yeah.
THE WITNESS: Do you mind repeating that question one
more time for me? I apologize.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. You understand that if the Coalition and/or the Curling plaintiffs and/or Mr. Davis are successful in this litigation that there will not be a mandate that the State use hand-marked paper ballots in future elections?

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I object. He is asking the fact witness to project what this Court might or might not do. It is not useful. It is a waste of time. And it is irrelevant.

THE COURT: All right. I sustain the objection. MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, at this point I would ask the witness to leave the stand so that I can respond to Mr. Brown's objection without the witness present.

THE COURT: No. You can do that later. This is just
not that important. You can return to it later. Keep on moving. And then we will -- I'll be happy to break. You can return to it once we get -BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. If the Coalition were not to obtain judicial relief in this case requiring the use of hand-marked paper ballots, would your concerns or would the Coalition's concerns about Georgia elections and its members that it is trying to protect be satisfied?

MR. CROSS: Your Honor, I'll object. Misstates facts
as we have been clear no one is seeking hand-marked paper ballots as relief.

MR. BELINFANTE: That is the point of the question, Your Honor. I'm allowed to --

MR. CROSS: Just ask her that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we can argue that as Your
Honor has already found that if the State is enjoined from using BMDs as a matter of Georgia state law they will use hand-marked paper ballots. We can argue that. It has nothing to do with this witness.

```
MR. BELINFANTE: It has everything to do with this
witness. And I'm happy --
MR. BROWN: If there is a foundation for relevancy
for this witness, then --
MR. BELINFANTE: Mr. Brown, I was speaking.
I would be happy to get and -- explain it. I'm not comfortable doing it in front of the witness. I think that is inappropriate.
THE COURT: All right. Would you mind excusing us for a while and just go outside.
```

(The witness exited the courtroom.)
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you.
If I may, Your Honor. The relevancy of the question is that if the witness recognizes as executive director of the Coalition, a party in this case, that hand-marked paper ballots will not be ordered, but also believes that they will have an injury remaining if the BMDs are made even available and not prohibited, that speaks to redressability.

It also speaks to traceability if a county chooses, who is not here -- there are no counties here -- to continue to use the ballot-marking devices as opposed to a hand-marked paper ballot. That's the purpose of the questions.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, there's no fact issue in there. This is a legal position, number one.

Second, as a legal position, it is wrong.

Traceability only requires a portion of the relief. Not the entire relief. And so as a standing argument, it is empty.

But this isn't a question for a witness, Your Honor. This is an argument that he is making. And he can make it in closing. He is trying to do it pre-closing here.

MR. BELINFANTE: No, I'm not, Your Honor.
MR. CROSS: Josh, can I just respond real quick?
MR. BELINFANTE: Sure, of course.
MR. CROSS: Your Honor, just to echo Mr. Brown, I agree with everything, that this is not an appropriate question for this witness.

But also, Your Honor, Mr. Belinfante does have the law wrong. There are numerous cases we'll point Your Honor to when we get to it that make clear, including out of the Supreme Court. I'll point you to Brown v. Plata, 563 U.S. 493, 2011. The power of a Court of equity to modify a decree of injunctive relief is long established, broad and flexible. The court that invokes equity power to remedy a constitutional violation by an injunction mandating systemic changes to an institution has the continuing duty and responsibility to assess the efficacy and consequences of its order.

That is one example. There are numerous others that all stand for the basic proposition a court has broad equity powers once it finds a constitutional violation to determine what the scope of the relief is.

What Mr. Belinfante is focused on is one aspect. It may very well be that if Your Honor were not to order hand-marked paper ballots, which, again, no one is asking for in this courtroom, so I'm not sure why we're focused on it.

But even if that were the case, the fact that some injury may remain doesn't mean that there is no redressability. That is true in every case that is ever brought.

Your Honor will determine the full scope of relief. And we may think, at the end of the day, you have not fully remedied the injury that we have asserted. That doesn't mean that there is no redressability in terms of what has been mitigated at least in part.

So this entire line of questioning has nothing to do with anything happening in this courtroom. And it is premised on a wrong legal premise.

MR. BELINFANTE: May I respond or do you want --
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, it is about
redressability and remedy. And the fact question at issue is she's identified her injury that votes may not be counted as cast. And the fact issue -- and because the Coalition is doing that on behalf of members generally. The fact question is in order to address that concern can it happen in an election with BMDs or can it only be satisfied if hand-marked paper ballots are required.

THE COURT: Well, there are many other options. And
I mean, it is almost like you are giving -- it is a merger trick question. And it doesn't elicit meaningful testimony.

I mean, I think that there are ways of asking this which are not just simply -- because the whole area is so legalistic and you framed it in a way that is one that a layperson, even a very smart layperson such as this witness, wouldn't -- would not know how to navigate.

And so, you know, we're trying to get at something real, not just a trick -- not a trick question. So, you know, there are ways of asking this. And I ask you to think about that.

But -- I mean, I can rephrase it for you if you want. But, I mean, there are -- the most obvious one, though, is, all right, the judge has said in this order that she cannot order -- that this Court cannot order so -- this. So are there other forms of relief that you think that would address your concerns about -- whether people's votes will be counted as cast, since she has said that?

Or is it all or nothing for you? I mean -- and how -- I mean, that will remedy what you consider the wrong here, or the injury here. But -- I mean, that is a little more straightforward, but ...

MR. CROSS: Your Honor, if I -- two quick follow-ups on that.

One, she did say that she's prepared to identify all the forms of injury. Mr. Belinfante is asking about one particular -- about whether the vote is counted as cast.

There's already been testimony from plaintiffs in this case that part of the injury is also the fact that for many voters who can't verify a BMD ballot they have no way of knowing whether the ballot of record reflects their selections even as to the human readable text.

So there is -- part of it is we're not getting the full scope of the injury in the questioning.

And the last point, Your Honor, is, on this issue of redressability, Your Honor's 2019 injunction puts the nail in the coffin of this argument we keep hearing here. When Your Honor ordered that they could not use the BMD -- the DRE system, there was no one from the State that took up an appeal and said, well, we can't help with that.

As Secretary Raffensperger has said himself, including in Congressional testimony, his first job was to shut down the DRE system and replace it with a BMD system because that is what the Secretary's office was required to do.

So we keep hearing an argument that just has no legs. It is a waste of time.

MR. BELINFANTE: It is not a waste of time. The problem is Mr. Cross ignores the entire legislative branch of government which passed House Bill 316 before the order and
that is what Senator -- or Secretary Raffensperger was responding to.

THE COURT: All right. Listen, this is not helpful.
I mean, you can all argue like that all you want within a two-hour frame on Thursday. But this is not helpful for this witness. That makes almost the point that you-all are like this and -- about this issue. And I understand that.

But, you know, you've got -- there are many things that this witness can testify to. But you're not going to hang her on something that is just a wholly legalistic thing. You can ask her a number of questions in this. And you can sit down with your co-counsel and decide what you want to do. MR. BELINFANTE: All right.

THE COURT: But I am just telling you this kind of legalism here with a witness, even a smart witness who is educated about the legal system to some degree but who has no law degree, is not acceptable.

MR. BELINFANTE: All right, Your Honor.
(The witness reentered the courtroom.)
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Welcome back, Ms. Marks.
A. Thank you.

THE COURT: That sounded like it was for a game show.
MR. BELINFANTE: Come on down.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. You recall the Senate -- State Senate hearings in roughly -- I think it was January of 2021 about the

November general election, and it was the one where Rudy Giuliani came and all that stuff?

Do you remember those?
A. I remember a series of legislative committee hearings. Senate and House. And I don't remember exactly which two that Giuliani came to.
Q. Oh, sure. Sure.
A. Okay.
Q. Yeah.

Do you remember testimony from -- and I may be
mispronouncing his name -- Jovan Pulitzer?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. Fair to say you do not agree with Mr. Pulitzer's testimony?
A. Fair to say.
Q. All right. We are pulling an exhibit.

MR. BELINFANTE: 194.
(There was a brief pause in the proceedings.)
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. If you could turn in that supplemental complaint there in front of you, Defendants' Exhibit 1263, to Paragraph 203. It is on Page 57.

Are you there, Ms. Marks?
A. I am.
Q. Do you see that second bullet, reading it with the intro to 203? Specifically, for example, voters who vote using BMDs will be required -- the second bullet -- to cast a ballot that cannot be read or verified by the voter and may not reflect the voter's preferences.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. You still agree with that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, I understand we disagree about the $Q R$ code. But would you agree with me that on the ballots printed as a result of the BMD touch screen, as we currently have it, there is a portion below, or at least should be, where it identifies in human readable text what -- again, if it is functioning properly -- the voter's choices?
A. If it is functioning properly --
Q. Correct.
A. -- it may give a different human readable text than what the voter -- than the voter selected on the screen.
Q. So if it is functioning as it should, the Coalition's position is that it would still --
A. No. No. I'm sorry. I'm just trying to say it doesn't necessarily always function properly.
Q. Correct. Yeah. I'm asking --
A. If it functions properly ...
Q. Yes. If it functions properly, my vote for Senator Warnock will show up as a vote for Senator Warnock in the human readable text. It will say I have selected Senator Warnock.

Would you agree with that?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And so the Coalition's concern is that people will just not read that portion; is that right? Or at least one of the Coalition's concerns.
A. That is one of many concerns. But yes, that is a concern. Q. Okay. And you would agree with me that nothing prevents a voter from reading the human verifiable portion -- excuse me, I'm not trying to debate that point with you.

You would agree with me that nothing prevents a voter from reviewing the text that is below the $Q R$ code?
A. Well, there is nothing generally, let's say, physically preventing them from doing so. But as we've heard testimony, people have trouble reading the very small print. People have difficulty finding the time and space in a crowded -- I think Megan Missett testified to that.

Just there were obstacles. And I have run into them in North Carolina as I have tried to review my ballot. There are obstacles that can prevent a voter from attempting to review that human readable text.
Q. Okay. And conceivably the issue of small print would apply equally to hand-marked paper absentee ballots as well; right?
A. No, not generally.
Q. Could a voter just get some reading glasses or a magnifying glass or something, if that is the issue?
A. Well, of course, there is supposed to be -- under the State rules they are supposed to be provided. As ballot-marking devices began to be understood to create extremely small print, State Election Board required that magnifying stations be put in. But we find that that is a rule that is often -- very often broken because of the lack of space.
Q. Broken by county election officials; correct? There is not State officials that are administering those elections that you just described?
A. Not administering. Just inspecting.
Q. And you are one of the few folks who have testified that was here for the whole trial.

So do you remember Director Evans from the State's testimony that the Secretary's office trains election superintendents to have poll workers encourage voters to check their ballots and read the text below the $Q R$ code?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Any reason to doubt that that is how the State --

THE COURT: Move on. I'm not going to have her commenting on another witness' testimony.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Let's go back to Paragraph 203 of your complaint, that same second bullet.

Voters who vote using BMDs will be required -- second bullet -- to cast a ballot that cannot be read or verified by the voter and may not reflect the voter's preference.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So that means at least in some cases, you would acknowledge that voters using the BMD can, in fact, cast a ballot that reflects their preferences?

MR. BROWN: Object. Mischaracterizes the allegation.
MR. BELINFANTE: She can say that. She can answer.
It is not -- it is not mischaracterizing.
THE COURT: You can answer.
THE WITNESS: I'm not sure it exactly fits with the bullet point. But yes. I mean, we are not saying that the votes are always wrong, by any stretch. The vote could be recorded and tabulated correctly.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Okay. That is all I am -- yeah. All right. And I apologize for going back now.

I'm going to show you what we have premarked as

Defendants' Exhibit 194, which is the Tweet about Mr. Pulitzer.
Ms. Marks, that is your Twitter account there, the
@marilynmarks -- marilynrmarksl; is that right?
A. It is.
Q. Okay. Could you turn to page -- or is this a -- does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of Tweets that you made on or around January 1, 2021?
A. I don't have a reason to doubt it. But it has been an awfully long time, and I haven't looked at it in a very long time.
Q. Sure. Let's look -- and my question is centered around, on the second page, a Tweet that appears to have been made on May 2nd, 2021 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- in response to Atlanta Humanist.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I would move to admit

Defendants' Trial Exhibit 194.

THE COURT: Why is the first page part of it? Are
you going to be questioning her about that?
MR. BELINFANTE: Completeness.

MR. BROWN: Wait. I just didn't catch the last
thing -- the last exchange.

MR. BELINFANTE: Completeness was my answer.
THE COURT: I asked why was the first page part of it since there is nothing on it that he is offering.

MR. BELINFANTE: I will be, perhaps, coming back to some of the others.

THE COURT: Well, why don't we take it one page at a time and see what you get? All right? Because there is a lot of different -- there are different conversations going on here. That is all I'm saying.

So let me see what you bring out. Okay.
MR. BELINEANTE: All right.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, can you read your Tweet on May 2nd, 2021?
A. Number 5 is cut off, and I can't see the date on that. I see -- is it the one that starts South Carolina is --
Q. Yes.
A. South Carolina is like Georgia. It uses unauditable BMD touch screen machines. We can never, ever know who won in South Carolina or Georgia because of the use of these machines in the polling places.
Q. Okay. Do you still believe that today?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. If Georgia were to adopt hand-marked paper ballots, would we know who won elections in the State?
A. Assuming that other laws are followed and that there are
good audits to confirm the outcomes.
Q. Okay. And it is your position that there are no audits that could be used to verify election results as long as a BMD touch screen machine is used; is that right?
A. So long as the BMD touch screens are used in large numbers. That is correct. We do not believe that BMD touch screens result in auditable election outcomes.
Q. And it is the Coalition's position that no voter who uses a BMD touch screen machine will know that their vote was counted as cast; is that right?
A. It is hard for any voter to know that their vote was counted as cast.
Q. All right. And that would include the BMD -- people who use BMDs?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And I think you brought this up. Your concerns about ballot-marking devices are not limited to Dominion equipment as currently configured in Georgia; is that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. The Coalition has concerns about electronic ballot-marking devices used in North Carolina; is that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And South Carolina?

MR. CROSS: Your Honor, just -- sorry. Object as vague.

Could we just get clarity?
Do we mean concerns within the scope of the claims or more broadly?

MR. BELINFANTE: I can rephrase.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Is it the Coalition's position that voters will not know if their ballot was cast as counted -- voters in North Carolina who use ES\&S ballot-marking devices?
A. To be clear, not all voters -- in fact, the majority of voters in North Carolina do not use ballot-marking devices. My particular county, Mecklenburg County, does. So yes.
Q. And they use ES\&S, not Dominion?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. So the point is it is not just Dominion machines? It is ballot-marking devices in general?

MR. CROSS: Objection. Vague. What is the it?
MR. BELINFANTE: Prevent voters from having
confidence that their vote was cast as counted or counted as cast. Excuse me.

THE COURT: Well, let me just ask you. Could you clarify? I mean, not all -- not all of them have scanners. You can rely on a $Q R$ code. I don't know the -- maybe this is the only one that uses a $Q R$ code. But at least provide some differentiation. We have a particular system here. And maybe she does object universally without regard to that. But I
think some refinement is necessary.
Is it all -- is it all systems, whatever -- if it is a BMD system regardless of the scanner, you oppose it, or is --

THE WITNESS: Generally anytime that the voter is required to select on a touch screen, having a touch screen between the voter and her ballot, we would object to.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, you -- using your -- you have a Coalition for Good Governance email marilyn@uscgg.org?

THE COURT: I don't think you dealt with the first page of this, which is about a whole other political fight. So if you want to get this exhibit in, 194, I am not sure it is necessary because you've asked her about this. But then you just get the second page put in.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I may be coming back to it for some of the other issues. But you're right, for this purpose, and if the Court wants to admit conditionally --

THE COURT: I don't want to admit, but I'll
conditionally admit the second page.
MR. BELINFANTE: That's what I mean.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Do you recall you, in 2019, urged the Mecklenburg County Board of Elections not to adopt an ES\&S BMD; is that right?
A. Yes. I did on behalf of Coalition for Good Governance,
yes.
Q. Okay.

MR. BELINFANTE: May I approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. I'll show you what is marked as Defendants' Trial Exhibit 174.

MR. BELINFANTE: And, Your Honor, I don't have a lot of questions about this particular email. I'm going to go to one thing in particular. BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Is this an email that you sent to the Mecklenburg Board of Elections expressing your -- or the Coalition's opposition to adopting the ES\&S BMDs?
A. Yes, it appears to be.
Q. Okay. And it is a true and accurate copy of that email?
A. I will assume that it is, yes.
Q. All right.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I would move to admit Defendants' Trial Exhibit 174.

MR. BROWN: No objection.
THE COURT: All right. It is admitted.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. All right. Ms. Marks, on point three on that first page, you cite to an article and describe it as the nation's top

```
auditing and cybersecurity experts agree Express election
results cannot be meaningfully audited.
    Do you see that?
```

A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you recall if the article that is cited to is one by Dr. Appel, Dr. DeMillo, and Dr. Stark?
A. It probably is. It would be Dr. DeMillo.
Q. DeMillo. Thank you.

And you have read that article; right?
A. I have.
Q. Okay.

MR. BELINFANTE: Can we get 1287?
May I approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, is this the article by Drs. Appel, DeMillo, and Stark that you believe your email referenced?
A. It appears to be, yes.
Q. Okay. True and accurate copy of that article?
A. I believe it would be.
Q. Okay. Let's turn --

THE COURT: Well, Your Honor, at this point I would move to enter into evidence Defendants' Trial Exhibit 1287.

MR. BROWN: No objection.
THE COURT: It is admitted.

MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Let's turn to Page 4.

Under examples, the professors write the only known practical technology for contestable, strongly defensible voting is a system of hand-marked paper ballots kept demonstrably physically secure, counted by machines, audited manually, and recountable by hand.

Do you agree with that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. And that is the Coalition -- the Coalition agrees with that statement; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So the Coalition does not have a problem with the use of scanners in elections; is that fair?
A. We recommend scanners but certainly with the caveat that they need to be audited in order to verify the election outcomes because scanners can certainly be misprogrammed or have malware as well.
Q. Okay. If you could turn to Page 6.

At the top there, the first complete sentence reads, therefore, BMDs should not be used by voters who are able to mark an optical scan ballot with a pen.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Does the Coalition agree with that statement?
A. Generally, yes.
Q. Okay. So the issue for the Coalition is that BMDs in and of themselves -- or is the issue for the Coalition that the issue of BMDs in and of themselves contains such risks that they are unconstitutional?

MR. BROWN: Object, Your Honor. Calls for legal
conclusion and is an incomplete question.
THE COURT: Sustained but on -- going back to the question of interrogating the witness about constitutionality and legal principles.

MR. BELINFANTE: Okay.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Is the Coalition's position that the use of the BMD
technology itself is so inherently risky that a voter will
never know if their vote was counted as cast?
MR. BROWN: Asked and answered, Your Honor.
MR. CROSS: And vague.
Is the question is that their position in this case or more broadly?

THE COURT: Just try again.
MR. BELINFANTE: Well, I'll come back and see if the witness can articulate what they are suing for.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BELINFANTE: All right.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. All right. Let's go back to the Coalition's first supplemental complaint, Defendants' 1263, specifically Page 58, Paragraph 204.

And before I ask you any questions about that in particular, do you agree with me that absentee ballots in Georgia are hand-marked paper ballots?
A. No, I do not agree.
Q. Okay. How is an absentee ballot not a hand-marked paper ballot?
A. Absentee in-person voting is forced to be on BMDs.
Q. Okay. I think we're just using different phrases.
A. Yes. I thought you asked me about absentee ballots.
Q. Sure. I think I would call what you described in-person early voting or advanced voting. I'm talking about the absentee ballot that one can receive in the mail.
A. By mail.
Q. Yes. Those --
A. I just wanted to make the distinction because the law uses --
Q. Sure.
A. Okay.
Q. That's fine.
A. Now, do you mind asking me the question again?
Q. Not a problem at all.

The absentee ballots that a voter can request and receive in the mail, is it the Coalition's position that those are, in fact, hand-marked paper ballots?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. Okay. Looking at Page 58 -- and you would agree with me that any voter in Georgia can request and receive a hand-marked paper absentee ballot?
A. That is their legal right, yes.
Q. Okay. But the Coalition, in Paragraph 204 of the complaint, cites what it deems as, I guess, issues with voting on a hand-marked paper ballot.

The first is postage and transportation costs.
Do you see that?
A. I can't really agree with what you just said though.
Q. Okay.
A. All right. Do you mind repeating the question?
Q. Sure.

Is it the Coalition's position that it is a burden on voters who choose to vote with a hand-marked paper absentee ballot to incur postage and transportation costs?
A. Okay. Now that you defined this as a mail ballot, yes.

It can be a burden to have to incur the postage costs or transportation costs to deliver that ballot.
Q. Okay.
A. The inconvenience of doing so.
Q. Would you agree with me that a voter in Georgia has the ability to return their hand-marked paper absentee ballot to a county election office on election day?
A. To return it, yes. But not vote it.
Q. Okay. Can a Georgia -- how would a Georgia -- given that distinction, how does a Georgia voter vote a hand-marked paper absentee ballot?
A. They are instructed to do so with permanent ink, put it into their secrecy sleeve, put it into the outer envelope, and either drop it in the mail, a drop box, or deliver it to the election office.
Q. Okay.
A. But to mark it before election day.
Q. Okay. A voter could mark it on election day and return it to their county election office in all the sealed stuff as you just described it; correct?
A. No.
Q. They cannot?
A. They cannot.
Q. Have you run into a voter that has tried to do that and been prevented from doing so?
A. I have not run into a voter who has attempted to do that and been caught, let's say, because it is not in -- it would be difficult to enforce. But I have talked to voters who were concerned about breaking the law by voting their mail ballot on
election day and returning it.
Q. And by voting their ballot on election day -- I mean, if I didn't make this clear, before 7:00 P.M. Having it returned before 7:00 P.M. to the county election office?
A. We need to break this apart between marking their ballot on election day, which is not permitted under law. They have to mark it before election day. But they may return it on election day.
Q. Okay.
A. Okay.
Q. The last bullet point there says that voters who choose to vote by mail, on the other hand, will incur the risk of their ballot being erroneously rejected without timely notice for cure.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. That was filed in 2019. Do you understand or do you have an understanding of whether the State Election Board promulgated a rule to address curing absentee ballots that have been rejected?
A. I do know that a rule has been adopted. But the risk can still remain.
Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that Georgia voters who choose to vote with an absentee ballot have time to cure a ballot that has been rejected by a county election office?
A. It is going to depend on the individual. I know that that is the desired policy, but obviously each voter's situation may differ as to whether they receive timely notice, that sort of thing.
Q. Okay. Let's look at Page 63 of the Coalition's supplemental complaint, Paragraph 223.

THE COURT: Now, Counsel, is there a reason we're working with this one rather than the operative complaint before this Court?

MR. BELINFANTE: I was -- I think -- well, I'm using pleadings that have been filed in court by the Coalition to try to understand the scope of what the Coalition alleges is its injury.

THE COURT: Well, I understand that. But whatever
the scope is, it is as defined in the current complaint, not amended complaint -- I mean -- and this may be identical. So --

MR. BELINFANTE: Yeah. And I did want to check with counsel or my co-counsel. It is our understanding that this is the operative complaint for the Coalition.

Mr. Brown may correct me.
THE COURT: That's fine. If it is, it is.
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Fine.
I had recalled otherwise, but I guess that is maybe

Curling plaintiffs have filed a different complaint.
MR. BELINFANTE: The Curling plaintiffs described theirs as a third amended complaint, and the Coalition plaintiffs called it the supplemental complaint.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. All right. Paragraph 223 reads at the top, defendants' threatened conduct will severely burden Coalition plaintiffs' fundamental right to vote as described in Paragraphs 99 to 199 above, including the following ways.

And my question is down at the bottom, that last bullet point which will bleed over into Page 64. It says all voters, including in-person absentee mail voters, will be deprived of the right to participate in a trustworthy and verifiable election process that safely, accurately, and reliably records and counts all votes cast that produces a reliable election result capable of being verified in a -- verified as true in a re-count or election contest.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Is that still the Coalition's position?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it the Coalition's position that there cannot be meaningful audits in any election using ballot-marking devices in Georgia -- the Georgia ballot-marking devices?
A. That is correct assuming that we're talking about the uniform use. The majority use of those ballot-marking devices.

THE COURT: What do you mean by the majority?
THE WITNESS: As opposed to using them just for accessibility needs.

THE COURT: All right.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. So -- and it is the Coalition's position that the Dominion BMDs are inherently unauditable; is that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. And it is the Coalition's position that Dominion BMD -- the Dominion BMD system is known to have inherent vulnerabilities that place -- that burden the Coalition members' right to vote?
A. That is correct. I would say vulnerabilities and attributes.
Q. Okay. And that's why the Coalition, in the first supplemental complaint, asked the Court to find and declare it unconstitutional for any public election in Georgia to be conducted using the Dominion BMD system?

That is on Page 69.
A. I'm sorry. 60 what?
Q. 69. I'm sorry, Ms. Marks.
A. Yes.

MR. BELINFANTE: I don't know what the Court's
preference is, Your Honor. I'm about to go into a different topic. So if you -- I didn't know if you needed to take a break now. I know we have been going -- it's up to you.

THE COURT: Do you need a break?
THE WITNESS: I'm just fine. Thank you.
THE COURT: Let's keep on going.
MR. BELINFANTE: Let's proceed then.
THE COURT: If you do need one at some point, you let
us know.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, you have been here with us throughout all of this. And you would agree with me that there has been a lot of time spent talking about activity in Coffee County after the November 2020 general election; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

MR. BELINFANTE: Can I get 1274, Carey?
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. And you're aware that some of the individuals who we've heard testimony about, specifically Cathleen Latham or Cathy Latham, Scott Hall, and Misty Hampton or Misty Hayes were indicted in the Fulton County Superior Court in a case known as Trump, or excuse me, State of Georgia v. Trump?
A. Am I aware of that? Yes.
Q. Okay. And you were here when one of the witnesses, I
believe, described the incidents in Coffee County as one -- and I'm paraphrasing. I don't have the exact language -- one of the most significant breaches of an election security in American history.

Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Something to that effect?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And does the Coalition agree with that assessment?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Just -- let's talk about some individuals.

At the time of --
A. May I clarify that statement?
Q. Yes, of course.
A. I would say the most -- or certainly one of the most significant breaches of voting system. I'm not sure that you narrowed it to voting system. But --
Q. That is what I meant.
A. -- that was what was intended.
Q. So thank you for helping me with that.

Ms. Marks, you -- prior to the indictments in Fulton County, you knew and conversed with Cathy Latham; is that right?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. And during that time that you were talking to Cathy

Latham, what was her position in Coffee County, if any?
MR. BROWN: Object. Vague.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Was Ms. Latham -- she was the Coffee County Republican party chairwoman; is that right?
A. During the time that I had any conversations with her, yes.
Q. Okay. And you also talked in December -- starting in December of 2020 with Misty Hampton; is that right?
A. Maybe you've got something that could refresh my recollection on that. I actually remember more talking to her in 2021 as SB 202, whatever it was then called, legislation was coming up.
Q. Okay. That is fine. We'll get to that point later. But Misty Hampton was the election superintendent in Coffee County?
A. No.
Q. Okay. What was Misty Hampton in November of 2020 ?
A. She was the election supervisor.
Q. Supervisor. Okay.

And you also talked to, in Coffee County, an individual named Blake or Ed Voyles; is that right?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Okay. Sometimes this person is referred to as Blake. Sometimes it is Ed.

But it is the same person; right?
A. Okay.
Q. And his role in November of 2020 in Coffee County was just as a former election board member; is that right?
A. His official role was as an election board member.
Q. Okay. Was he a board member --
A. Excuse me, a former election board member.
Q. Yeah. Okay.

Were you aware that -- any circumstances surrounding
Mr. Voyles' resignation from the Coffee County election board?
A. Do you mind repeating that question?
Q. Sure.

Do you have any understanding as to why Mr. Voyles resigned from the Coffee County election board in 2018?
A. He told me there was some type of controversy that I don't really recall right now. It seems like he didn't want to sign some statement that I -- right now I'm just not remembering what that was.
Q. Okay. Do you -- would it surprise you to learn that he refused to certify the state runoff that saw Secretary Raffensperger elected to the position -- his position as secretary?
A. I actually did not know that, if that is the case.
Q. Okay.

Ms. Marks, do you recall being interviewed by the Georgia

Bureau of Investigations or GBI about the incidents in Coffee County?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. Have you seen the redacted notes that the GBI produced in this case?
A. Could you be more specific?
Q. Sure. I'll just maybe make it easier.

MR. BELINFANTE: May I approach the witness, Your
Honor?
THE COURT: Have counsel seen this?
MR. BELINFANTE: It is the Curling Plaintiffs'
Exhibit 372.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BELINFANTE: And I think Mr. Miller is handing them out now.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. CROSS: This is the entire report?
MR. BELINFANTE: This is the entire report.
MR. CROSS: What page are you going to, Josh?
MR. BELINFANTE: I will be going initially to
Page 107, 108 of the PDF. The Bates label is 139002.
THE WITNESS: What page did you say?
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. If you look at the bottom -- this is going to be the easiest way to do it, at least that I have done it. It may not
be the easiest.

Do you see that number FCDA or the numbers on the left bottom corner? It is FCDA.
A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
Q. If you could turn to -- excuse me -- Page 139002.

If you're going with the middle number, the Curling Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number, it is Page 107.
A. Yes. I have that.
Q. All right.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Which page? I should be
looking on the bottom middle?
MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor. You can either go with the middle number, and it is Page 107 of 392.

THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. You began, it is fair to say, though, communicating with Ms. Hampton, Ms. Latham, and Mr. Voyles after the November 2020 general election; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay.
A. Although we may have had some blast emails and communications that went to all election directors that would have included Ms. Hampton.
Q. Yeah. That is fair.

But your more limited direct communications, putting those
aside, that was in or around November or after the November 2020 election?
A. After the November 2020 election.
Q. Okay. And you began talking with her because you became aware of some election --

COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. With the coughing, I didn't hear you. Start again.

MR. BELINFANTE: Sure.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. You began talking to her after you became aware of some election voting concerns in Coffee County; is that right?
A. You may need to refresh my recollection on that.
Q. Sure.
A. It was certainly, I think, well after we became aware of concerns that I began to talk to Misty. And I don't recall that it was because of that reason. But I could be wrong. Q. Do you recall reaching out to State Representative Shaw Blackmon to obtain contact information for someone in Coffee County?
A. I believe I did that, yes.
Q. Okay. And is it true that Mr. -- or Representative Blackmon, Chairman Blackmon, gave you the name of Eric Chaney? A. Probably so. I don't have the specific recollection. But that sounds right.
Q. Sure. And if you want to, you can look at Page 108 of
this document.
A. 108, okay.
Q. It is just the next page from where we were.
A. Yes.
Q. And there the GBI notes indicate Marks reached out to Shaw Blackmon to receive contact information for someone down in Coffee County. Blackmon provided Marks with contact information for Coffee County election board member Eric Chaney.

Do you see that?
A. I do see that. But I need to note that I've gone back and read their notes of this interview with me, and there are a number of inaccuracies.
Q. Okay.
A. And I doubt I would have told them that I remembered this specifically. I would have probably told them that that would be generally where -- how I might have gotten that information. Q. That's fair.

But you seem -- and I think you just answered this. You have seen these investigative notes before?
A. I have.
Q. Okay.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, at this point, we would
move to enter into evidence what is stamped as Curling Plaintiffs' Exhibit 372.

MR. BROWN: Give us a minute, Your Honor.
(There was a brief pause in the proceedings.)
MR. CROSS: Your Honor, given the length of this document, could we have -- let Mr. Belinfante go and we'll get back to the Court after a break on whether we object? Is that -- if we have --

THE COURT: Let him go, meaning move on --
MR. CROSS: Let him keep moving on. He can use the document. But whether it actually goes into evidence, we would like to talk further. If we have to state an objection now, we will object on hearsay, relevance, and other grounds. Because the whole document is a massive amount of hearsay.

MR. BELINFANTE: And, Your Honor, it is the Curling plaintiffs' exhibit. And I was trying to avoid this from at least the Curling plaintiffs.

But also, you know, I don't have a problem putting in just the portions I may ask Ms. Marks about. I was concerned about the rule of completeness. And that is why --

THE COURT: Well, I can't imagine everything needs to be in here.

MR. CROSS: Yeah. That's why -- Josh, why don't you go ahead and then whatever you use we can figure out whether that goes in and whether we think more goes in and we can talk about it. Does that work?

MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. That's fine.

THE COURT: All right.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, if you can look to -- at the bottom, that Page 108 of 392.
A. Yes.
Q. Just to complete the chain here, Mr. Voyles is the one that introduced you to Cathy Latham.

Do you see where that is at least in the notes?
A. I'm looking.
Q. First paragraph, last sentence.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

THE COURT: Is that true or not is what he's asked
you, not whether --
THE WITNESS: I believe it is true that Mr. Voyles introduced me to Ms. Latham.

THE COURT: All right. Because if he's asking you about a statement that you think is at least not your words or not true, you have to say so.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I mean, you've already flagged this.
That is why I am just --
THE WITNESS: Yes. There are a number of
misstatements in here.
THE COURT: All right.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Did you happen to attend the Coffee County Board of Elections meeting on November 10, 2020?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Have you ever read the minutes from that meeting?

Well, let me ask it this way. At the time between your introduction to Mr. Voyles through, let's say, February 1 of 2021, had you read the minutes of the Coffee County Board of Elections \& Registration meeting from November 10, 2020?
A. I don't recall doing so.
Q. Okay. The GBI notes -- and, again, I'm looking at

Page 107 -- the bottom of the page says during the ongoing lawsuit, meaning this lawsuit, Marks became aware of some election voting concerns that occurred in the 2020 presidential election down in Coffee County, Georgia.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. During the period of time between the general election in 2020 and December 16, do you recall what those voting concerns were in Coffee County?
A. I was aware of a number of public debates, if you will -that is probably not quite the right word -- of issues that kept raising Coffee County in legislative hearings, court filings, that sort of thing, particularly the legislative hearings.

I began to hear what sounded like outrageously, overblown allegations about the operation of the Dominion voting system in Coffee County.
Q. What were those, as you just described them, outrageously overblown accusations?

Go ahead, Ms. Marks.
A. That's all right. There was testimony in the legislative hearings that the scanners wouldn't work in the re-count, that they were not getting any -- they were not getting the same totals as they had in the original machine count. They seemed not to be able to be specific.

And I don't remember quite all of the complaints that $I$ heard Ms. Latham and Eric Chaney enter into the record in the legislative hearings that they were parts of, but it didn't at all sound logical. And that is why I ultimately reached out to them to try to figure out what they were talking about.
Q. Okay. Do you --

MR. CROSS: Your Honor, could we just get the relevance of this line of questioning?

MR. BELINFANTE: Well, Your Honor, we have previously said that Coffee County is not relevant. But given that --

MR. CROSS: This doesn't concern the breaches.
MR. BELINFANTE: I'm getting there.

Given that we -- well, and I think in fairness, Your
Honor, this is probably another time that I would ask the
witness to step out.
MR. CROSS: Oh, come on.
THE COURT: All right. Would you step out for a moment.
(The witness exited the courtroom.)
THE COURT: If you need to use the restroom, it is a good opportunity.

Let's finish this, and then everyone can use it, because we're not going to break until 12:30 or thereabouts.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, why we think the evidence is relevant is that Ms. Marks was aware that there were concerns in Coffee County about the Dominion voting equipment. She was communicating with individuals before SullivanStrickler entered the building about the Dominion equipment and talking about things the county should do about the Dominion equipment.

As the Court is well aware, Ms. Marks received a call from Scott Hall in March of 2021 indicating that he had breached not only the Coalition's information -- and again, this is Mr. Hall's statements -- but also informing her that they had full access to the Coffee County machinery.

So this is laying the groundwork that Ms. Marks went into Coffee County knowing what the allegations were about the machinery, which speaks to whether or not she deemed Mr. Hall's call to her to be relevant -- or excuse me, reasonable and her
decision not to inform any state officials or federal officials or law enforcement or otherwise about what has happened, which we think is directly relevant to the extent that Coffee County is relevant at all, given the testimony that if the State knew what Ms. Marks knew in March of 2021, it would have acted differently and it would have acted more quickly.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we think that is
fundamentally irrelevant. The evidence shows that when the State found out about the Hall recording, they still did nothing. And that is what most of this trial has been about, is about their complete inactivity.

So among other things, their failure to take any action after they learned of the Hall -- the Hall recording severs any causal connection that would make this even arguably relevant.

Second is that just generally the idea that their investigation or the progress of their investigation or them complying with their duties under State law to keep the election safe is somehow excused by some comparison to Ms. Marks and the Coalition and the Curling plaintiffs' action is way too remote to be anything other than a waste of time.

The efforts that Ms. Marks and the Coalition plaintiffs and the Curling plaintiffs may very well be relevant to our attorneys' fees claim and our efforts in this litigation. But litigation efforts are not relevant to the

State's separate legal duty to keep citizens safe.
And this is not about -- they are trying to -- it is
obvious, right. They are trying to divert attention from their own neglect to attack Ms. Marks who was doing what any good citizen should do, and that is try to track down information about violations to the State's election security system.

And they are trying to villainize her rather than confronting the obvious failures of them to do their job.

In addition -- and we'll get into this with -- this particular question doesn't get into it. But we will later -is that this is going to be right on top of attorney-client communications and work product.

Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. CROSS: Your Honor, if I could just add a couple of quick points. It is not our position that the Hall call standing alone should have spurred something. We have been through this, and I won't walk through all the evidence, but there is a lot that was known to the State in 2021 that was not known to Ms. Marks, who is also not law enforcement. Right? Like the Cyber Ninjas card.

THE COURT: Speak up. Thank you.
MR. CROSS: Like the Cyber Ninjas card, the EMS server not working.

And so when Mr. Belinfante says our position is that the Hall phone call, if known to them earlier, should have
spurred action, it is one piece out of $a$ whole lot of stuff. And so that is not actually our position.

The last two points, Your Honor, it is not clear if there is a suggestion being made that Ms. Marks was somehow involved or knew about the breaches. If that is where they are going, the Hall call makes clear that she didn't. Because if she knew, Mr. Hall doesn't call her and tell her here is what we did.

And lastly, Your Honor -- well, I'll just leave it at those points. Thank you.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor has written in the summary judgment motion that the State's reaction to Coffee County was delayed. You've heard testimony from at least three or four witnesses that had they known what Ms. Marks knew they could have taken action sooner.

And for Mr. Brown to say to the Court that it is irrelevant because the State is supposed to predict what is going on only walks him into another problem. If Ms. Marks' testimony -- and I don't know what her testimony is going to be.

If Ms. Marks' testimony is what Scott Hall said was so unreasonable, nobody would believe it. Well, then that would certainly apply or at least as a question of fact to what the State did.

But I want to address something that Mr. Brown
brought up because I am going to ask about it because it is in the GBI notes. Marks' lawyers advised her that until they could prove that it happened, they would need to sit on the information provided by Hall. That goes to numerous problems.

Remember, we had Ryan Germany sitting up here talking about discovery responses for almost an hour. There are defenses available to the State for the intentional hiding of information. We believe -- and I'm not trying to bring in Ms. Marks as a co-conspirator or anything in Fulton County.

But if the plaintiffs are going to say that the incidents in Coffee County, the criminal incidents, are not only relevant but determinative, Your Honor has to take into account what the plaintiffs knew at the time they did it.

It is also directly relevant to the argument implied at least by the Curling Plaintiffs that Mr. Germany's discovery answers were incomplete. At the time those were asked, they knew because they had Scott Hall's call. They didn't tell the State. They didn't tell the GBI or the FBI. They sprung it on Gabe Sterling in a deposition.

They can't argue that the State was ineffective if the State took the position Mr. Hall was not credible, if that is the position Ms. Marks took.

And by the same token, they can't argue that the State's response was ineffective if they didn't know what Ms. Hall knew.

Now, Ms. Hall to the point --
THE COURT: Ms. Hall? Who is Ms. Hall?
MR. BELINFANTE: I'm sorry. Ms. Marks, I'm sorry.
To the point about the Cyber Ninja card, I think that
is very fine for them to ask on direct. But to me that is a one and one makes two. If Ms. Hall -- or sorry. If Ms. Marks knew about the Cyber Ninja call, maybe that would have -- or card, maybe that would have caused her to notify law enforcement instead of sitting on the information.

If the State knew -- and the State has told you if it knew what Ms. Marks knew, its investigation and speed would have been different. That is highly relevant to the case to the extent, and only to the extent, that Coffee County is relevant at all.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, there's many factual assertions that are made in there that Coffee County was sitting on information. I don't -- I mean, that the Coalition was sitting on information. But that is not the Coalition's duty.

And he is trying to make the point that the State ought to be measured by what the Coalition did in this litigation. It is way too many -- way too far away from being relevant.

THE COURT: All right. I understand the arguments. But now I have lost where we -- how do we -- did you have an

## evidentiary objection?

MR. CROSS: I did, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Yeah. The specific objection, because we were getting into this, they were talking about Ms. Marks' knowledge of the Coffee County problems that were unrelated to the big problems with SullivanStrickler, that Misty Hampton had with the certification of the results and the scanner, an entirely different issue from what became the SullivanStrickler episode.

THE COURT: That is what you were objecting to?
MR. BROWN: That is what we were objecting to. That doesn't have anything to do even with his theory.

MR. CROSS: I do not have any objection to questions about the relevant portions of the call with Scott Hall. But we are very far afield from that. We have not heard an argument on what -- the questions we were getting, how that was relevant.

On the Hall call, the only thing I will say is, one, I'm not aware of any witness -- and I would invite Mr. Belinfante to point us to a single witness who has testified on behalf of the plaintiffs or under questioning of the plaintiffs that the State -- if the State knew about the Hall call or what was disclosed in that call, then that would have prompted them to do some bigger investigation.

We haven't even talked about the Hall call. The only
people who have brought it up in this entire trial is the State. Our whole position has been based on what we know they knew in 2021 they should have done a deeper investigation. And that doesn't seem to be disputed. Gabe Sterling has acknowledged they should have done more.

MR. BELINFANTE: That's not accurate.
MR. CROSS: That's in evidence. That's in evidence.
THE COURT: You can all dispute that. But --
MR. CROSS: I can show it to you.
THE COURT: It clearly is in dispute. I'm not going
to resolve that right now. I'm just trying to figure out
what -- what at this juncture -- because we've gone all over the map when you've said let's -- there was a narrower question in front of me.

So what was the objection, and what was the question that was an objection to?

MR. CROSS: The question was about something completely unrelated to Scott Hall happening three or four months earlier, and we were objecting to what the relevance of that was.

THE COURT: It was something with Misty Hampton and her problems with -- but her problems with certifying the election, if it was that, were part of how she was walking into this situation. And then -- and then Ms. Latham was coming to speak in front of the legislature and then involving

Mr. Giuliani and on and on.
So, you know, to some extent, it sets the scene. But
I don't know that you need to go in it very far.
MR. BELINFANTE: Honestly, Your Honor, my intent, the
documents I have prior to -- before I will seek to introduce Mr. Hall's call is the letter from Coffee County where they did not certify the election.

THE COURT: What is the date of that?
MR. BELINFANTE: The date of that is December 4,
2020. The Secretary's response on December 9, 2020, which addresses the vote discrepancy issue that Ms. Marks has already testified to.

THE COURT: So how is she a witness to be dealing with the State's correspondence with the county?

MR. BELINFANTE: They were public documents. And I'm going to ask her if she knew about it. She already testified that she knew there were issues there. So I want to point -ask her if she knew how the State investigated and addressed them.

It is setting the stage for Coffee County, which speaks to the reasonableness of the decision and the relevance of the decision. And if Ms. Marks takes the position that what Scott Hall did is unbelievable or not credible, you have to know what is going on in Coffee County at the time. You can't just look at it in a vacuum.

```
THE COURT: Well, provide me with the exhibits. Are you objecting to the exhibits or not before I --
MR. BROWN: I haven't seen the exhibits.
MR. BELINFANTE: I haven't gotten there yet.
THE COURT: Show them the exhibits.
MR. CROSS: We know what they are, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And are you objecting to their
introduction? I mean, I'm not sure she can -- you can
introduce them through her. That is -- they are not her -just because they are a public document, you would --
MR. BELINFANTE: That's correct.
If she doesn't know about them, I won't introduce
them through her. But she testified that she knew about discrepancies in Coffee County, so I'm able to ask her if these documents reflect what she had heard about.
It also shows that when the Secretary knows of an issue, the Secretary investigated it. The letter from Coffee County is December 4. The response from the Secretary is December 9.
MR. BROWN: He's --
MR. CROSS: He's building his own --
MR. BROWN: I mean, this a collateral issue, Your
Honor. I mean --
THE COURT: All right. Let me see the exhibits, and
then let's take a break, and I will consider it all. All
```

```
right?
```

MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor. Let me see the exhibits then.

THE COURT: Are you objecting to the exhibits, first of all?

MR. BROWN: I haven't seen them.
MR. CROSS: Your Honor, we are, with this witness.
THE COURT: You have them now. I'm not going to
leave here until actually Mr. Brown says he has seen them.
And also, Mr. Oles, do you want to go over and see them?
(There was a brief pause in the proceedings.)
MR. CROSS: I mean, Your Honor, a couple of points. One, we do have an agreement that documents don't have to be disclosed in advance with an adverse witness unless -- or if those documents you had reason to believe the witness had seen them before. And this is --

MR. BELINFANTE: No. No. No. No. That -- the agreement is --

THE COURT: Wait a second. One person at a time.
MR. CROSS: Yeah. We were very clear. The deal was, if you have reason to believe the witness has seen it before, you don't need to disclose it.

But what the email says -- and we'll hand it to Your Honor -- you can't surprise the witness with a document you
have no reason to believe they have ever been seen before.
But just putting that issue to the side, she cannot authenticate these documents.

And the last point, Your Honor, they are almost done with their entire case. If they wanted to have someone explain the reasonableness of what they did and walk through the GBI report and these documents, they could have put any witness on to do that.

Now, maybe Mr. Sterling will do it. But she can't speak to any of this. They are trying to defend a decision that they made --

THE COURT: Let him --
MR. BELINFANTE: I'm sorry.
MR. CROSS: They are trying to defend their conduct, which they are entitled to do. But they are trying to do it with Ms. Marks without having once put one witness on to walk Your Honor through the GBI report and all these documents and say, here is why we did what we did and why we think it was appropriate. That would be perfectly fine to do. But not with her.

THE COURT: Mr. Brown, do you have an objection?
MR. BROWN: Yes, I do. 1283 is irrelevant. And it shows that, yes, on one occasion the Secretary did respond to a problem quickly. I don't think that --

THE COURT: Can you get near a mic -- microphone?

MR. BROWN: It shows that in one instance the
Secretary, if this is a press report, did respond quickly to a problem. It has nothing to do with the Coffee County breach and does not have anything to do with Ms. Marks and doesn't have anything to do with anything else, $I$ don't think.

And in terms of the problems with the re-count, that has already been covered with other witnesses and is cumulative.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I don't know what Ms. Marks knows and doesn't know. So to object based on she can't say something about a document she may or may not have seen and about facts she may or may not know is premature at best.

To my understanding of the agreement between counsel is that if the documents are reasonably publicly available -and all of these documents are available on the internet. I found them. And some of them were marked prior or they were produced, as you can see, from the Latham00057 Bates stamp on one and the other is on the State's website. So that --

MR. CROSS: Josh, these are all publicly available?
MR. BELINFANTE: Well, one, the Latham, was produced.
You see the Bates label.
Defendants' Trial Exhibit 1283 is on a website, yes.
MR. CROSS: Okay. Well, maybe that is right then.
THE COURT: All right. Well, to the extent -- so
when you say maybe that is right, are you agreeing that he can -- I know you may object to these, but are you --

MR. CROSS: Not on the surprise point, Your Honor. If they are publicly available, then no.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you objecting to the -- I think that your co-counsel is still objecting to them on the basis of relevance.

MR. BROWN: On the basis of relevance.
THE COURT: All right. Well, I will consider all of that.

Take a break, and we'll be back.
MR. BELINFANTE: How long, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Five minutes.
COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. Court will be in recess for five minutes.

## (A brief break was taken at 11:57 AM.)

THE COURT: Well, let me just say that that was pretty nasty and -- the whole discussion and unfortunate.

Doesn't make -- that degree of aggression doesn't make it easier for you to litigate the case, for the public to hear it, or for me to reasonably hear you and make a decision on -- and I know we can move beyond that.

But whatever responsibility that Ms. Marks may have for her actions, it really -- that is still not the issue in the case. And you cannot turn it into a circus around that.


Thereafter, on the 30 th of December, as you-all know, the State legislature itself convened to hear a number of witnesses, not the least of which was Ms. -- the name is just going from me -- the Republican chair. I'm sorry.

COURT REPORTER: Cathy Latham.
THE COURT: Cathy Latham. Ms. Latham, Former Mayor Giuliani, and others.

The situation was a stew, in other words, and a very difficult crazy one from the start in December. This is not of this witness' making.

And I just say really be careful. This is a citizen
activist. She may have misguided objectives from your own perspective and means that you don't like. But it is -- this is not about -- I am concerned that you take care in proceeding still in a way that is fair and reasonable.

And I would say, you know, yes, you can have a strong cross-examination about this. But one has to have the perspective still that this didn't come out of the blue in reality and the State had lots -- lots of things were going on in Coffee County and elsewhere. It was what was happening.

And the truth is, is that often Ms. Marks was treated as a gadfly not to be believed. But it is not surprising that she -- this is somebody who you can see from her records and everything we have witnessed in the last number of years that she's all over the place. I mean, she's a citizen activist for
good, bad, and great and terrible, whatever that means.
And she had her ear to the ground, and y'all had -- I don't mean you personally. But people in the Secretary's office had their ear to the ground. They wouldn't be just going forward as they had otherwise.

And, in fact, they had their ear to the ground such that they wanted Mr. Sinners -- the Secretary thought it was appropriate to hire Mr. Sinners who -- on February 1st of 2021, who had played a seminal role in President's Trump campaign and who had also gone down to Coffee County and has testified in this Court that he even signed and notarized various statements given by an array of people in Coffee County about the election.

So it is not like he wasn't a source of information as well to the Secretary and the people of the State of Georgia. We haven't heard about anything on that count.

So, I mean, this could be -- we can just be into Peyton Place. I guess you are all too young to know about Peyton Place. But just, I'm not going there. So, I mean, I'm going to allow you to examine this witness about all these things. You're entitled to do so.

It may evoke other witnesses in turn when the plaintiffs do a rebuttal. We can go on and on. But there is plenty of mud to be thrown. So we might as well stop at this point because I have to, in fact, meet with the Marshals'

Office at 12:30.
So let's all just take a deep breath, including me, and start anew at one -- at 1:00.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may just say one thing about your reflection on the aggression that was manifested in the argument by counsel. And that is we hear that completely. And I just wanted to say that part of our lack of discipline in being too aggressive is the result really of our affection and we know each other so well.

So sometimes since we know each other so well and have gotten -- really have gotten along so well in the litigation --

THE COURT: And I know you have.
MR. BROWN: -- we feel more free to be expressive.
THE COURT: All right. I'll take it. I note -- I will accept that. And I appreciate your letting me know. And it is likely true. And you've all said all that to me before. And so to the extent I have been offensive to you because I said you were too aggressive sort of like -- and you said this is part of our routine, our friendship routine, and our opposition routine, I accept it.

But -- so strip all that away and just consider the core message. I'm going to decide the case on the merits. And you can examine this witness all you want and with the advocacy that you are very capable of.

But bear in mind that if we -- as I said, you go very far on this, it is just going to obviously invoke more on -- in terms of we're going to be here for a lot longer. Because I feel very confident, despite the fact that everyone is used to wrestling with each other here, that these guys are then going to want to start putting more witnesses up in their rebuttal, which that is up to you though. This is your case. And I appreciate that.

But don't imply -- I mean, you can imply it. But I can't say that $I$ buy that the State doesn't have its -- that everyone has their own responsibility here. And Ms. Marks by herself is not the defendant or the culpable moving party in all this agenda.

All right. Let's take a break.
MR. CROSS: Your Honor, one quick logistical thing. We spoke with the State's counsel. We may potentially have two experts, Andrew Appel and Kevin Skoglund, as rebuttal
witnesses. I think we're agreed that since they are both expected to be short, if it is okay with Your Honor, we would have them appear by Zoom.

THE COURT: That's fine.
MR. CROSS: Is that okay, Bryan?
MR. TYSON: Yes.
Your Honor, our discussion was if that helps keep things moving that would be fine with us.

MR. CROSS: Thank you, Your Honor. COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: Court will stand in
recess.
THE COURT: Let's say five after 1:00 now that we're starting late.

## (A lunch break was taken.)

THE COURT: We're ready to begin. MR. BELINFANTE: Ready, Your Honor? Okay.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Now, good afternoon, Ms. Marks.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. We were talking before about December of 2020 --
(There was a brief pause in the proceedings.)
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. You recall we were talking before about December of 2020 and Coffee County.

Do you have any recollection of Coffee County's Board of Elections' decision not to certify the election in December of 2020?
A. I did not know about that decision until $I$ heard or read about it. I certainly didn't know it in advance.
Q. Okay. When did you hear -- roughly when did you hear or read about it?

Are we talking contemporaneous to December 2020 or 2023,
for example?
A. No. It would have been sometime in the -- in -- in early December. I think about the time that they made that decision. And then I believe -- I believe that the first that I heard about it was likely when Eric Chaney, the board member, was testifying in a House -- in a House committee hearing.
Q. Okay. That would have been January 2021?
A. No, I believe it was in early December. I think it was maybe something like the 8 th or the $9 t h$.
Q. So either way, it was before March of 2021 that you knew Coffee County did not certify the election originally; is that right?
A. That they did not certify the re-count originally but ultimately did.
Q. Okay. That is right.

MR. BELINFANTE: May I approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. BELINFANTE: I'm handing the witness a document,
what has been premarked as Defendants' Trial Exhibit 1293.
I believe I have given a copy to the Court and opposing counsel already.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, have you seen this document before?
A. I have.
Q. Okay. What does it appear to be?
A. It is a letter on the letterhead of the Coffee County Board of Elections \& Registration signed by Chairperson Ernestine Thomas-Clark written to Secretary Raffensperger saying that the board cannot certify the electronic re-count numbers.

I can go on. But does that identify the document well enough?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay.
Q. I think your counsel will tell me if you've done a sufficient job on that.

Does it appear to be a true and accurate copy of the Coffee County Board of Elections letter?
A. Well, as far as $I$ know.
Q. Okay.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I move to enter into evidence Defendants' Trial Exhibit 1293.

MR. BROWN: No objection.
THE COURT: It is admitted.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, do you see that first sentence where it says the Coffee County Board of Elections \& Registration cannot certify the electronic re-count numbers given its inability to repeatably duplicate credible election results. Any system, financial, voting or otherwise, that is not repeatable nor
dependable should not be used.
Do you see that?
A. I do see those two sentences.
Q. And you understand they were talking about the Dominion voting equipment; correct?
A. I could assume that is what they were talking about, yes.
Q. And generally you would agree with the Coffee County Board of Election statement that the Dominion voting equipment is not dependable or repeatable; is that fair?

And by you, sorry, I mean, is it the Coalition's position that the Dominion voting equipment is not repeatable nor dependable?
A. I think there are multiple questions in there. You were asking me if $I$ agreed with a statement that the board made about Dominion. I'm not sure that they actually said that in this statement.

THE COURT: Did you mean to ask that?
MR. BELINFANTE: What I meant to just ask is does she -- does the Coalition contend that the Dominion equipment is not repeatable nor dependable. Just that.

THE WITNESS: The Dominion equipment in general we would not -- in general we would not agree with that statement that -- in that general way.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Okay. Are you aware of the Secretary's response to Coffee

County's decision not to certify the election?
A. What I am aware of is that the Secretary sent down -started an investigation after Coffee County delayed for many days their attempt to even count the ballots and try to certify the election.

I'm aware that the Secretary began an investigation and sent down investigators I believe sometime around the 9th, 10th, 11th of December.
Q. And do you know what the conclusion of the Secretary of State's office was regarding Coffee County's stated allegations that it could not produce credible election results?
A. I believe that the Secretary concluded that Ms. Hampton had -- don't remember whether it is scanned a batch twice or failed to scan a batch. But there was a simple human error reason that the numbers did not reconcile.

MR. BELINFANTE: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. I want to show you what we marked as Defendant's Trial Exhibit 1283, which I have already given to your counsel and the Court.

Ms. Marks, have you seen this document before?
A. I have.
Q. What does the document appear to be?
A. The document is -- appears to be a press release from the Georgia Secretary of State Brad Raffensperger's office dated December the 9th, 2020.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I would move to enter into evidence Defendants' Trial Exhibit 1283.

MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
MR. CROSS: Your Honor, we object to that one.
THE COURT: What?
MR. CROSS: We object. It is hearsay. And I don't think they should be allowed to back door statements from the Secretary, since he is not here.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, it is a press release from the Secretary's office. It is a public document. There is no question of its authenticity. And Ms. Marks has already testified as to what I'm going to ask questions as it relates to the truth of the matter.

THE COURT: Well, it is admitted.
MR. BELINFANTE: The Secretary himself is not quoted in this, so ...

THE COURT: Yeah. I will admit the document in for purposes -- that it is a press release and for purposes of your telling the story. But there are statements here that are hearsay.

MR. BELINFANTE: And, Your Honor --
THE COURT: I mean, I'm just simply noting that.

```
    MR. BELINFANTE: I understood. I just understood the
objection to be it was hearsay of the Secretary, not the
statements within.
    THE COURT: There are a lot of statements. I don't
know who they are from, the Secretary or somebody else in the
office or their press person. But ...
    MR. BELINFANTE: Sure.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, if we could go down to the fifth paragraph
where it says right there -- yes, ma'am?
```

A. I think I may have said that this appears to be the press release. My particular copy is cut off I now see and there's some words missing.

So at least for my copy I'm not sure $I$ can say that is -Q. Mine is too. We can get that corrected. We'll get that corrected.
A. Okay.

THE COURT: So is mine.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Let me draw your attention to the part that is not cut off because that is where $I$ am going to ask the question.
A. All right.
Q. It is this sentence here the Coffee County letter blamed --

MR. BELINFANTE: And we will get that corrected, Your

## Honor.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. -- the voting system for the 51-vote discrepancy, but Ms. Martin could not specify what machine problems were encountered.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. And that is consistent with your recollection that it was human error that caused the problems in Coffee County that led it to not certify the election; is that right?
A. Well, I didn't make the conclusion that it was human error. But I think that is what the Secretary concluded. And that seemed reasonable based on the facts that I knew then and know now.
Q. All right.

MR. BELINFANTE: Do we have 1210?
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, in December of 2020, you continued conversations with Ms. Latham and Mr. Voyles regarding elections; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Do you recall discussing with them strategies about asserting the county's authority as it relates to elections?
A. Yes.
Q. Specifically as it relates to re-counts; is that right?
A. I actually don't recall right now.
Q. Okay. We'll move on.

MR. BELINFANTE: May I approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. I'll show you what we have marked as Defendants' Trial Exhibit 1210.

Ms. Marks, this is an email from your email account?
A. Yes, it is. It appears to be.
Q. And at the bottom it indicates that it is -- you identify yourself as the executive director of the Coalition; right?
A. Yes. Correct.
Q. And you sent it on December 26 to Cathy Latham and Ed Voyles; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. True and accurate copy of the email?
A. As far as I can tell sitting here.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I move to enter into evidence Defendants' Trial Exhibit 1210.

MR. BROWN: No objection.
THE COURT: Are you -- do you have an objection?
MR. CROSS: No, Your Honor. I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. All right. And it is at the top that you write one of the best ways to move in the right direction is for county
superintendents, boards, or probate judge to begin to take back their considerable authority and exercise local control over elections where permitted by law.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. Ms. Marks, can you tell the Court about the first time you met an individual named Scott Hall?

I'll leave it at that.
A. Okay. I think I've actually only met Mr. Hall once. And that was very briefly in the Fulton County hand count audit in November of 2020 at the World Congress Center.
Q. Okay. And at that time, did he -- did he -- did y'all have a conversation, brief albeit?
A. Not much of one. He came kind of scurrying up to me as I was an observer, introduced himself, and said $I$ want to talk to you and insisted that I give him my business card or a picture of my business card. I did one or the other and moved on.
Q. Okay. And he later called you on March 7 of 2021; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And you recorded at least a portion of that telephone call; is that right?
A. A portion of that call; correct.
Q. And by March 7 of 2021, is it your understanding that -sitting here today, that SullivanStrickler had already gained
access to the Coffee County election equipment?
A. Certainly today that is my understanding. It was certainly not at that time.
Q. Understood. Yeah. Just trying to put it in a timeline.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, at this point -- and we've talked about this with opposing counsel. And I'm not sure which way the Court would like to proceed. I emailed counsel last night a full audio recording of the call. It is about an hour, 22 minutes. I have zero intention of playing the full thing.

We also have a --
THE COURT: The whole thing? She only recorded part of it. Did you get another part from somebody else?

MR. BELINFANTE: We received the full -- so here is the kind of -- the exhibits that are in the pretrial order are the pieces that were used in Mr. Sterling's deposition, which is a clip of the call. We have the whole call.

THE COURT: What I'm trying to say is that -- well, let me just say -- there is no point in my saying it. My understanding was that -- is it correct or not correct that when Mr. Hall called you, you were surprised?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: And did you already have a tape running, or did you add it at some point?

THE WITNESS: Okay. To be clear, he had text
messaged me, I believe, just minutes before.
THE COURT: Talk into the --
THE WITNESS: I'm so sorry.
He had text messaged me, reintroduced himself a few minutes before the call, and said he was going to call me.

So I wasn't shocked that I picked up the phone and he called. And he called and began threatening me. And after he made threats and said that he had someone hack our litigation files, I scrambled --

THE COURT: You mean the Coalition's litigation?
THE WITNESS: Yes. The Coalition's litigation files.
I scrambled around and found an old -- another
telephone, turned it on record and started recording the conversation several minutes into the conversation after I realized I wanted to keep him on the phone and try to draw out of him what $I$ could. And so the first part of the call is not recorded.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
MR. BELINFANTE: Yeah. So, Your Honor, so when I said the whole call, I meant from the time it started recording until the end of the call as it was produced to us by the Coalition.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. BELINFANTE: So the point is this, I have no intention to play the whole call. I do think for completeness
the entirety of the audio file should be admitted into evidence.

And so I have tried -- we had some conversations.
Like I said, I emailed both the whole version and a transcript that we had made of the call to opposing counsel last night. I am prepared to walk through and play just clips I'm going to ask questions about. But we would move to enter the entirety of the call into the record.

THE COURT: Is there any objection to that?
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. We would -- we do not object to the clips. As to the entire audio, I do not believe we have any objection to the entire audio. We have not had an opportunity to review the transcript.

Mr. Belinfante, I'm not sure when we got that transcript. But we just physically have not had a chance to review whether it is accurate. And at least on that ground we would object, at this time, to the admission of the transcript.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I'm sorry, to be clear, I wasn't -- the transcript was on the PTO. But I'm not asking to enter the transcript at this time. Just the full audio file.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I have to hear from the Curling plaintiffs.

MR. CROSS: I share Mr. Brown's objection on relevance. But we'll defer to the Court on how to handle the
audio file. That is the only thing being offered. We have no objection to relevant clips coming in. We do object to the full audio file.

THE COURT: I thought he said he didn't object to the full audio. Maybe I misunderstood.

MR. BROWN: I didn't object to the --
MR. CROSS: Whatever he said I'm good with, on this piece.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. BELINFANTE: We will submit that electronically.
THE COURT: You have objections to the
transcription --
MR. CROSS: The transcript we have an objection to, but we're not there.

THE COURT: We're going to hold off -- I don't think they are admitting the transcript. But to the extent you are, then you can deal with that when we're through with the trial.

MR. BELINFANTE: Can we play from the transcript
audio -- excuse me, from the audio minute 4, 11 to 4, 51?
(The audiotaped recording was played.)
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, is that Mr. Hall's voice?
A. Sounds like it.
Q. Okay. Who is Dominic, if you recall?
A. I think he was talking about Dominic Olomo, who was either
the Dominion tech at that time or perhaps had been hired by Fulton County to run a portion of the voting system in the Fulton County elections.
Q. Okay. And shortly after he asks you for the depositions, isn't it true that you became concerned that Mr. Hall either directly or indirectly had hacked into the Coalition's litigation files?
A. I believe that he started his conversation -- that is why I began recording it, is because he started his conversation after a bit of letting me know that he was kind of a tough guy and usually got what he wanted. He -- he said that he had hacked into -- or he had had someone hack into CGG's litigation files. And so it was in -- early in the conversation that I had said that.
Q. All right.
A. Or determined that that may be a possibility.

MR. BELINFANTE: Mr. Montgomery, can we play the audio clip from minute 6, 12 to 6, 36?
(The audiotaped recording was played.)
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Were you -- did you at that point form an opinion as to whether Mr. Hall had actually gotten into the Coalition's litigation files?
A. No. But I had to consider that a possibility. And I had -- what I recall now is that I was purposely repeating.

Because I knew I was recording it, I was purposely repeating his allegation that he had hacked in or had somebody hack in. So that is why I was repeating it back.

Of course I didn't know the truth or not. But I was willing to take it seriously.
Q. And part of what led to your concern was that the deposition he was citing, at least at the time of the call, you believed was not made public; is that right?
A. I thought that was probably correct.
Q. Okay. And the significance of that is that he could not just go on to the PACER website or something else and obtain the deposition?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Now, he goes on to notify you that a team that went up to Michigan went to Coffee County and scanned the equipment; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay.

MR. BELINFANTE: Mr. Montgomery, could we play the tape from a minute, 55, 55 to 56, 35?
(The audiotaped recording was played.)
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Do you know who the team he is referring to that went up to Michigan was on March 7 of 2021?
A. I do know now or I think I can remember most of their
names. I did not know at that time.
Q. Okay. Were you aware that there was a -- on March 7 of 2021, were you aware that a forensic team had gone to Michigan and copied election information?
A. It is hard for me to remember right now whether I knew that team had gone there in March of 2021.
Q. All right.
A. It is just hard for me to remember exactly when $I$ learned that.
Q. Understood.

Now, you went and then asked Mr. Hall if he imaged the hard drives; is that right?
A. I thought he told me that he imaged the hard drives and that I repeated it back to him as sort of incredulous that he did such a thing.
Q. Right. Because it was your understanding that third parties could not image election equipment without the authority or permission of the State Election Board or Secretary of State; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And you had that understanding on March 7 of 2021; isn't that right?
A. Uh-huh (affirmative).

MR. BELINFANTE: Let's play, Mr. Montgomery, if we could, the portion that is a minute, 56, 35, through 57, 14.
(The audiotaped recording was played.)
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. At the time you heard that from Mr. Hall, Ms. Marks, did you believe what he was saying was credible?
A. Not really, as you could hear the surprise in my voice.
Q. But you did, at the time, believe it was concerning, nonetheless?
A. If what he was saying was true, certainly it would be concerning.
Q. All right. And after the call, you did not contact the Secretary of State's office and inform the Secretary of that call; is that right?
A. Not immediately.
Q. Correct. And in March of 2021, you didn't contact the GBI?
A. No.
Q. Or the FBI?
A. No.
Q. Or the Coffee County police department or sheriff's office?
A. No.
Q. In fact, whether it was before or after your attorneys -I don't need to know -- one person you contacted the next day was Ed Voyles; isn't that right?
A. I don't remember if it was the next day. But probably
shortly after.
Q. Okay. And why did you contact Ed Voyles?
A. I don't recall right now exactly what I would have said to him. I know at some point -- but I don't remember whether it was the next day -- I asked Mr. Voyles if he knew anything about this allegation.
Q. Okay. And he didn't answer you one way or the other, did he?
A. No, he never did.
Q. Okay. And later you told the GBI -- or no, let me ask it this way.

Later, did you tell the GBI that you believed that the individual with the most to lose and the most willing to cooperate with a criminal investigation would be Mr. Voyles?
A. That was -- that was what I told them that my speculation was. I certainly didn't have facts --
Q. Okay.
A. -- that would prove that.
Q. I'm not asking -- okay.

MR. BELINFANTE: May I approach the witness, Your
Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. I'm showing you what has been premarked as Defendants' Trial Exhibit 412.

Do you recognize this document, Ms. Marks?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what is this document?
A. This looks like a screenshot of text message exchanges between Mr. Voyles and myself.
Q. All right. Appear to be a true and accurate copy of text messages between you and Mr. Voyles?
A. As far as I can tell sitting here today.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, we would move to enter
into evidence Defendants' Trial Exhibit 412.
THE COURT: Any objections?
MR. OLES: Judge, I haven't seen it yet. MR. BELINFANTE: Oh. Sorry. MR. CROSS: Could we just get clarity, Josh, whose phone it is? Like who is the blue -COURT REPORTER: I can't hear you. MR. CROSS: I have never seen this. So I'm just
trying to understand whose phone is it. Who is the blue? MR. BELINFANTE: Sure.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Let me ask this.

Ms. Marks, reading this, do you believe that your
communications are the blue?
A. Yes. Or we could maybe say on the right-hand side in case somebody doesn't have a color copy. And Mr. Voyles'
communications are on the left-hand side.
MR. BROWN: No objection.
THE COURT: All right.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. So this is your notification to Mr. Voyles. You're asking about -- you tell him, in that second bubble up there, that a guy named Scott Hall called you last night saying that he paid for part or all of an effort that obtained an image of the server and a bunch of other machines in Coffee County and that the full board had approved the imaging of equipment. Do you know if that is true?

That's your words?
A. Yes.
Q. And he really doesn't answer, does he?
A. He does not.
Q. Okay. At that point, when Mr. Voyles avoided the question, did you contact any government officials about what you had learned in Coffee County?
A. I did not contact --
Q. And let me -- that was a poorly ended question.

At that point, did you contact any government officials about what Mr. Hall had called you about?

MR. BROWN: Can you specify what you mean by government officials?

MR. BELINFANTE: Sure.

MR. BROWN: Does that include county and state and -MR. BELINFANTE: Fair enough.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Let me try one more time, Ms. Marks.

On March 8 -- or excuse me, March 9, 2021, after
Mr. Voyles doesn't answer your question, did you then reach out to the Secretary of State's office or any state, federal, or county law enforcement to inform them of the call you had with Mr. Hall?
A. No.
Q. No?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Ms. Marks, if you could go back to the GBI investigative summary.
A. Just a moment.

What page?
Q. Using the numbers on the bottom, Page 109 of 392.
A. Okay.
Q. You see in that first paragraph on the third line the sentence that begins Marks. It says Marks went to multiple lawyers of hers and advised them of the information she had received from Hall about the potential computer trespass at Coffee County, Georgia. Marks' lawyers advised her that until they could prove that it happened they would need to sit on the information.

Do you see that?
A. I see that.
Q. Is that an accurate statement that you gave to the GBI?
A. I did not give that statement to the GBI.
Q. Okay.
A. This is a GBI's inaccurate summary purportedly of what $I$ told them. But I did not make that statement to the GBI.
Q. What statement did you make?
A. I talked to them for three and a half hours. So --
Q. Were you advised not to produce the information?

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that is attorney-client
communication.
THE COURT: Sustained.
I gather they don't take -- they don't have tapes of
this?
MR. BELINFANTE: I'm not aware of any. We don't
represent the GBI. And this -- I think we all got in discovery disputes about that. So ...

THE COURT: No. I'm not looking for them. But that
is -- my understanding is their practice is not to take audiotapes.

MR. BELINFANTE: That may be. I don't know the
answer to that.
THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I answer? May I -THE COURT: Sure.

THE WITNESS: I believe they did take a tape
recording, and $I$ believe it says so in this document.
THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Toward the end, I believe it does.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Are you aware that the first time the Secretary of State's office learned of Mr. Hall's call to you was in the February 2022 deposition of Gabriel Sterling?
A. No, I am not aware of that.
Q. You watched or you -- I don't know if it was by Zoom or not. But you were either present or there via Zoom during Mr. Sterling's deposition in February of 2022, were you not?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. Did you provide the tape to the State prior to February of 2022?
A. No, we did not --
Q. I mean, I'm sorry, the recording of your call with Mr. Hall?
A. No, we did not do that.
Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that the State knew of your call prior of February of 2022 with Mr. Hall?
A. Of the call itself, I would have no idea.
Q. Did you explain to the Georgia Bureau of Investigation why you did not talk to law enforcement about the call?
A. I don't -- I don't remember whether that was a subject
that they asked me about.
Q. Why did you not produce the call to the Secretary's office earlier than February of 2022, given that the call was in March of 2021?
A. Within hours of getting the call, I shared my concern with my attorneys and shared my concern that this might be just one more bogus allegation. And we concluded that, particularly after I talked to Rick Barron the next day, this may not at all be credible and that discovery would be opening soon and we would attempt to learn more in discovery before we let it have an air of credibility that it may not have deserved.
Q. Because you recognized, at least in 2021 , that there were a lot of allegations about Georgia elections that did lack credibility; correct?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Mr. Pulitzer, for example, is one that you believe lacked credibility?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Okay. And is it true that after you received or after you had the call that you began questioning anyone you could find out more about the event in Coffee County?

And by the event, I mean the SullivanStrickler unauthorized access of the election equipment.

MR. BROWN: Object to the form. The way it is framed it sounds like she knew at the time she was seeking additional
information exactly what the event was.
MR. BELINFANTE: I'll withdraw. I'll rephrase.
THE COURT: Yeah.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, is it true that after the call with Mr. Hall, and in a short period of time -- so let's just say March 2021 -- you began trying to determine if what Mr. Hall told you on the phone was true?
A. Began trying to determine -- I don't know that I -- that I undertook some massive effort to like go do open records requests and that sort of thing. But I did have some questions about it and, in fact, reached out and asked some questions about Mr. Hall particularly.
Q. Who did you reach out to?
A. The first person I called, just as soon as I hung up the phone that night, was Ms. Jeanne Dufort, who is a Coalition member, and shared with her -- I was primarily focused on my absolute fear that our litigation files had been hacked. And I mainly talked with her about that and just shared with her and get her ideas on that.

And then I told her about the kind of wild allegation about this Coffee County imaging of the equipment. And she had heard nothing about that either. And somewhere on that call is where I believe that I came up with the idea of talking to Rick Barron the next day, who was the election manager at Fulton

County or election -- excuse me, election supervisor at Fulton County because I could tell that Mr. Hall was quite obsessed with Fulton County.
Q. Other than Ms. Dufort and Mr. Barron and your counsel, did you reach out to anyone else to try to determine the credibility of Mr. Hall's statements to you on the phone?
A. I have a hard time exactly placing it in time. But some opportunity presented itself for me to talk to reporters about it. Because as the spring went on, we began to learn more about various actors' attempts to access voting systems across the nation.

And I began to ask reporters, could there possibly be any credibility to this Coffee County claim as there was with other -- with other states?
Q. At the time, though, that -- in February of 2022 during Mr. Sterling's deposition, you didn't have the security camera footage?
A. That is correct. We did not.
Q. Okay. So the only information you still had in February of 2022 was the phone call from Mr. Hall; is that right?
A. No, that wouldn't be correct.
Q. Okay. What additional information had you gained between the March 7 call and the February 2022 deposition of Mr. Sterling?
A. You are phrasing the question a little more narrowly. But
over the course of November, December, January and into the spring --

THE COURT: Of '22?
THE WITNESS: -- of 2021. Yes. Of 2020 to 2021, there were various things that bubbled up about Coffee County in lawsuits and in public discussions that continued to build my curiosity about Coffee. Everything from, you know, the lawsuits that began in November of 2020 and, you know, carried through that we were all hearing about.

So the information about Coffee more generally was not limited to just the hour's worth of phone call. BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Okay. But given that new information, you still did not contact the Secretary of State's office and provide --

MR. BROWN: Object. Mischaracterizes her testimony. She was talking about prior information that she had about Coffee County generally, not just about --

MR. BELINFANTE: I will rephrase.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Given the information you just described, you still did not contact the Secretary of State's office with the information gained from or with the knowledge of the Scott Hall recordings; is that right?

THE COURT: She has already asked and answered that. So -- and you've asked her about -- she's talked -- you have
asked her about other things. She's talked to you about that there was litigation. You are aware of that litigation. He was -- so I don't know what more you're asking for.

MR. BELINFANTE: Okay.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. You did continue to talk to Cathy Latham after you talked to Scott Hall; correct?
A. I did.
Q. Did you ever ask her about the allegations that Scott Hall made?
A. I may have. Right now $I$ just -- it is not clear in my mind.
Q. How about Mr. Voyles? Did you ask him again after the text message we saw in Defendants' Exhibit 412?
A. What $I$ recall is that sometime after it was more or less confirmed and there had been press articles about it, I believe I reached out to him again and said, hey, look Coffee County is in the news. Paraphrasing something like, do you know anything about this?

I am totally paraphrasing now, and it has been quite a while.

But I reached out to him after some long period of time of not communicating with him and asked him.
Q. Okay. And that would have been after Mr. Sterling's deposition in February of 2022?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You attended either virtually or in person the deposition of Willard Stone, a Coffee County Board of Elections member, on September 1st of 2022; is that right?
A. Oh, Mr. Wendell Stone?
Q. Wendell Stone. I'm sorry. I used to work for Wendell Willard, so that is why I probably messed that up.
A. Okay.
Q. Is that true? You attended his deposition?
A. Actually, it was a $30(\mathrm{~b})(6)$ deposition. Not his personal deposition.
Q. And the $30(\mathrm{~b})(6)$ was of the Coffee County Board of Elections?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were you surprised to learn at that deposition that the Coffee County Board of Elections did not know about the SullivanStrickler access?

MR. BROWN: Objection. Do you mean that Mr. Stone testified that the board didn't know about it?

MR. BELINFANTE: Well, it is a $30(\mathrm{~b})(6)$ binding the county, but sure.

THE COURT: I don't know that we have that in front of us. Do we have that right now?

MR. BELINFANTE: No. But $I$ can get it if that is --
I didn't think the issue was the --

MR. BROWN: No. The way you framed the question is you're asking her two things. Number one, did you believe him; and, number two, did you know that before he said it. So you need to unwrap that. You need to unpack that.

MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. I can do that.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Do you recall Mr. Stone testifying on behalf of the Coffee County Board of Elections that the board did not authorize SullivanStrickler to enter and image the equipment?
A. I do recall his testimony to that effect.
Q. Okay. And do you recall him testifying that the board did not even know that SullivanStrickler had come in and imaged the equipment?
A. I don't recall that specific testimony. But that would not be surprising. That would seem consistent with his general testimony that day.
Q. Okay. And do you recall him testifying he did not know about Doug Logan or any of the Cyber Ninja affiliates coming in and imaging the equipment or anything else to that nature?
A. I don't recall that specific testimony. But it doesn't surprise me.
Q. Okay. You were here for Investigator Blanchard's testimony in this case; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall him being asked -- and I'm paraphrasing --
if he had known about the Scott Hall or if he had more information about the activities of SullivanStrickler in Coffee County that it would have led to a different response?

MR. BROWN: Different response when?
MR. BELINFANTE: When he knew. Well, I mean, that is kind of the point, isn't it? Let me -- I'll --

MR. BROWN: He did know about the reporting.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Were you here -- you were here for Mr. Blanchard's testimony?
A. I was.
Q. Okay. And did you understand Mr. Blanchard to testify that had he had all of the information he could have investigated more?
A. No, I don't really recall that.
Q. You have publicly criticized the State for being, quote, slow to respond or slow to investigate the issues in Coffee County; isn't that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you've publicly stated the State has been, quote, repeatedly looking the other way when faced with flashing red light of serious voting security problems; is that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you are describing Coffee County when saying that; is that right?
A. I don't know exactly what quote that is. But I could have been describing Coffee or much more generally.
Q. Okay. That is fair.

MR. BELINFANTE: May I approach the witness, Your
Honor?
THE COURT: Sure.
Why don't you provide whatever -- show it to the counsel first before you come up.

MR. BELINFANTE: I am.
Now may I approach the witness?
THE COURT: Do they have any objections, before you go up?

MR. CROSS: I think it depends on what he is doing.
MR. BELINFANTE: I'm just approaching the witness.
MR. CROSS: I have no objection to that.
THE COURT: Then tell her -- what is the concern?
MR. CROSS: Well, it is a newspaper article, so I
think it just depends on where he is going, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Are you planning to share it with me?
MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, if you could turn to Page 2 of 6 of what has been premarked Defendants' Trial Exhibit 1259.
A. Yes.
Q. And the second to last paragraph.

This is an article, by the way.
Who wrote this article, Ms. Marks?
A. It looks like Kate Brumback of Associated Press.
Q. And you know Ms. Brumback, don't you?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. Do you recall telling Ms. Brumback that the State has been, quote, repeatedly looking the other way when faced with flashing red lights of serious voting system security problems?
A. I recall generally saying something like that.
Q. Okay. And that would include the incidents in Coffee County for which individuals have been indicted and some have pled guilty; is that right?
A. That would certainly include that; correct.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I would move to enter
into evidence Defendants' Trial Exhibit 1259.
THE COURT: No. This is just an article. She acknowledged that she had -- that she made that statement.

MR. BELINFANTE: Okay.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, do you still have Defendants' Exhibit 194 in front of you?

THE COURT: What is it?
MR. BELINFANTE: The Tweet with the picture of
Mr. Pulitzer on the front where we focused on the comment in
the back about South Carolina.
THE WITNESS: Let me look for a moment.
MR. BELINFANTE: Sure.
THE COURT: If you recall, I asked you to delete the first page from the exhibit, just for future notice.

MR. BELINFANTE: I'm sorry. What, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Was this the one where I told you to
delete the front page?
MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor. And I said I might be coming back to it.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Belinfante, 194 you said?
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Defendants' Trial Exhibit 194. There is another sticker on there that says CGG --
A. I've got that.
Q. Okay. And I think we talked about this before. The individual who is testifying in that picture is Jovan Hutton Pulitzer; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And in the series of Tweets you are --

THE COURT: I don't have it any longer.
Here it is. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. In this series of Tweets, you are criticizing, fair to say, Mr. Pulitzer's testimony before the State Senate
committee; is that right?
A. That is fair to say.
Q. Okay. You went on to provide a more lengthy rebuttal to Mr. Pulitzer's statements to the committee; is that right?
A. I prepared a written rebuttal to be posted online. It wasn't sent to the committee, I don't believe. I might have.
Q. Okay. Was it posted online?
A. I think in my Tweet number two where I say my annotations, that was probably a link to my annotations on his testimony.

MR. CROSS: Your Honor, could we get the relevance?
We're past 2:00. We still have Mr. Sterling. I don't understand how this matters.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, in fairness I think our case is still taking far less time than --

THE COURT: All right. I don't have any problem with how long your case is. But $I$ don't know what the relevance is.

MR. BELINFANTE: I'm --
THE COURT: You know, I was asking you that before when I said let's just toss the first page and you didn't say, oh, there is something coming.

MR. BELINFANTE: I said there may be, I thought. If I didn't, I apologize. That was certainly my recollection and intent.

THE COURT: Okay.
But what is the relevance?

MR. BELINFANTE: The relevance is -- and I'm about to get to what we have marked as State's 1137, which is the rebuttal Ms. Marks has just talked about, and $I$ have maybe four questions about it.

THE COURT: Well, show the rebuttal.
MR. BELINFANTE: I think they have it now. Do you
not? Oh, I thought -- would Your Honor like a copy?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. CROSS: It looks like it has something to do with patents, Your Honor. I don't understand what the relevance is.

THE COURT: What page do you want us to look at?
MR. BELINFANTE: Well, start on Page -- I have
questions about Page 2, Page 4, and that's it.

MR. CROSS: It looks likes this is all about scanning and the adjudication process, which doesn't seem to be at issue.

MR. BELINFANTE: This is the problem. And I'm not trying to be belligerent. But if I could just ask the question, then $I$ could respond to what the objection is. But much like the motion on 5.17 , it is premature.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. CROSS: Go ahead.
Sorry. That is for you to say, Judge.
We'll withdraw the objection and see where it goes. But I don't see the relevance.

THE COURT: Well, the problem is I would have to read all of Mr. Hutton Pulitzer's comments single spaced, which are -- it is about mail-in ballots, which are actually not the issue before us. And we have a quick two-sentence response from CGG.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I'll be happy to offer a proffer if that would help.

THE COURT: Go ahead and do that.
MR. CROSS: Josh, can I help real quick?
MR. BELINFANTE: Sure.
MR. CROSS: Your Honor, I think for efficiency sake, if you want to allow him to ask his questions conditionally and you can decide later --

THE COURT: That's fine.
MR. CROSS: -- what to do with it, that probably
helps us move more quickly.
THE COURT: That's fine. I just want to point out though, if this was a serious piece of evidence I would have preferred you to have drawn my attention to it when I asked about the first page. But that is fine.

MR. BELINFANTE: My apologies, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I'm just not sure it is.
Go ahead.
MR. BELINFANTE: May I approach the witness?
THE COURT: Yes.

```
And I will proceed as suggested, as with many other things, will look at -- consider its admissibility on a conditional basis. And you can proffer this and I'll decide later, after I have heard it --
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor. And I'll try to move efficiently.
THE COURT: -- after I've had a chance to actually
seriously review the document as opposed to scanning it. BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, does this document represent the -- I'm trying
to find your word again -- annotations in the Tweet from January 1, 2021, on Defendants' Trial Exhibit --
```

A. Probably so, I'm just obviously flipping through it as we sit here.
Q. Sure.
A. Probably so.

MR. BELINFANTE: All right. With that, Your Honor, I would move to admit Defendants' Trial Exhibit 1137.

MR. BROWN: Object, Your Honor. There is no -- he hasn't asked a question -- I don't know what the relevance of this is. Is he going to point to --

THE COURT: Is there something that you can --
MR. BELINFANTE: Sure. I didn't want to read the document into the record unless it was admitted.

THE COURT: I conditionally will allow it, but I'm --

I may reject it, but I'm going to allow you to proceed right now.

MR. BELINFANTE: Understood. I misunderstood. My apologies again. BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. All right. Ms. Marks, if you could look at Page 2 of Defendants' Exhibit 1137.

The first annotation from CGG reads, there has been no credible allegations of counterfeit ballots in Georgia. This is a fabricated claim set up for unethical reasons.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Do you still believe that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. So you understood at least in January of 2021 that part of the challenge that the Secretary of State's office was dealing with in terms of allegations about the 2020 election dealt not with the electronic voting equipment but with hand-marked paper ballots?
A. Well, presumably all kinds of ballots.

And by the way, you asked me about January 2021. I'm not sure exactly when I did these annotations. I see I posted it January 1. Okay. That's fine.
Q. All right. And after that part we just looked at, there is a statement from Mr. Pulitzer. And then you respond again
there are no credible allegations of ballots being repeatedly
scanned to add more votes.
Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. And you believed that on January 1 of 2021; is that
right?
A. I did believe that at that time.
Q. Okay.
MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, that is what I was going
to do with the document, and so I don't know if you want to
make a ruling on its admissibility at this point. I'm just
moving along, and so I'll leave it to the Court.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the Coalition has no
objection to that.
THE COURT: To their admission?
MR. BROWN: To the admission of the document for
whatever it is worth.
THE COURT: All right. What about the Curling
plaintiffs?
MR. CROSS: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Then I'll admit it.
I think it was not a good use of time though.
Mr. Pulitzer has already irritated me in the length
of his one line no paragraph. So he is obviously somebody who
is very proud of himself, from his remarks. I'm glad for him. Go ahead.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, we're going to pull up on the screen Exhibit 728, which has already been admitted. It is the National Academies report.

Are you familiar with this document?
A. I am.
Q. Okay.

MR. BELINFANTE: Can you go in the preface,
Mr. Montgomery, scroll down to -- I believe it's Page VI -- I'm sorry, XI, 11.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Do you see the sentence that starts the second paragraph, however, by the time the committee met -- by the time the committee met for the first time in April 2017, it was clear that the most significant threat to American election system was coming not from faulty or outdated technologies but from efforts to undermine the credibility of election results?

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Do you agree that a threat to American elections is our efforts to undermine the credibility of election results?

THE COURT: All right. I'm not going to allow you to proceed. That is a whole philosophical issue. And it is not a
proper question here.
MR. BELINFANTE: All right. No further questions at this time then.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Marks.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. I want to pick up on the very last exchange involving Mr. Pulitzer.

Is it important for you as an advocate and as an interested citizen in fair elections to be careful about the public allegations you make about the integrity of the election system?
A. It is important.
Q. And is it, therefore, important for you to gather the facts before hurling unfounded allegations?
A. Yes. Yes, it is. And we certainly try to do that. We try never to hurl unfounded allegations.
Q. And in the case of Coffee County, did you undertake any efforts to find out what happened?
A. I certainly did.
Q. We'll get into that in a minute.

It was interesting you responded that you had contacted Rick Barron the day after you talked to Scott Hall. But I notice that even though you mentioned it twice, Mr. Belinfante
didn't want to go there, I guess.
Tell me about your conversation with Rick Barron.
A. I believe it was the morning after I had heard from Mr. Hall. It was clear that he was obsessed with Fulton County. So I called Mr. Barron who was, you know, then the election supervisor and Fulton was a defendant in the case.

And said Rick, have you ever heard of a guy named Scott Hall? And he immediately launched into telling me about --

MR. BELINFANTE: Objection. Hearsay. Nonparty.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the importance of this is the
impact it had upon Ms. Marks, obviously. We're not concerned with the truth of the statements. Only that they were made. She has personal knowledge of what Rick Barron told her. THE COURT: It is admitted on that limited basis. BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Go ahead.
A. That Mr. Barron in -- generally told me in pretty good detail about Mr. Hall's menacing visits to the Fulton County Board of Elections doing things like flying drones over the parking lot, over the dumpster, following employees and harassing employees and basically cautioned me to be very careful with respect to personal safety, other issues as it related to, you know, having much contact with Mr. Hall.

And he let me know that Mr. Hall had continued to hurl wild allegations about the election and Fulton County's
involvement in the election.
Q. In March of 2021, were you concerned about the personal safety of either you or members of your organization in relation to the contact made by Mr. Hall?
A. Yes. And as, you know, the days and months went by -days and weeks went by, I became more concerned the more I understood about Mr. Hall and the -- the chaotic environment still surrounding the controversies of the 2020 election. Q. Ms. Marks, you mentioned in the cross-examination that at the time that you got the call from Scott Hall I believe discovery was stayed in this case; is that right? Or is that your recollection?
A. Yes. Discovery had not opened. It opened a few months later.
Q. And just generally do you recall when it reopened?
A. Yes. It was -- I believe it was in June of 2021.
Q. And when it reopened, without getting into any attorney-client or work product, did the Coalition or Curling or both of them initiate formal discovery of the State relating to unauthorized access to county election equipment in the State of Georgia?
A. Yes. It was one of the first things that we served discovery requests on.
Q. And then in the following year, did Coffee County -- did the Coalition follow up those discovery requests with more

## discovery requests?

A. Absolutely. Quite intensive discovery. Taking up most of 2022. And that is after I initiated multiple efforts at -before discovery opened in open records requests to try to understand more about what could have happened in Coffee.
Q. Were you -- in making the Open Records Act request, were you in any way hiding the information that you learned from Mr. Hall?
A. Well, since they were public open -- they were public documents, certainly not.
Q. And then after the recording was played in Mr. Sterling's deposition, did you see any immediate response by the State to start up their investigative resources to investigate the Coffee County incident?
A. Well, we had hoped to. But we did not. In all of our inquiries to Coffee County about what kind of investigation might be beginning, they told us repeatedly we've not heard anything from the state.
Q. What was the -- were there any public statements, say, for example, by Gabe Sterling after the telephone recording was repeated in front Mr . Sterling in his deposition about what the State thought about Coffee County and the allegations?
A. Yes. So the deposition, as I recall, was February 24th, 2022. And, you know, there began to be a little more surfacing of questions.

And then on April 29th, 2022, Mr. Sterling was speaking to a meeting at the Carter Center that was videotaped and he very emphatically insisted that the Coffee County allegations had been that the server was imaged but that it was untrue. That the system had held and that -- or the controls had held and that it was completely untrue. Did not happen.
Q. Now, there was some discussion about what you knew when and what the Secretary knew when.

Did you know in February of 2021 that the Secretary of State had hired Robert Sinners to come in house for the Secretary of State's office?
A. Did I know that in February of 2021?
Q. Correct.
A. No, I don't think $I$ knew who he was at that time.
Q. Did you know in the spring that Doug Logan of Cyber Ninjas' fame had left his business card on Misty Hampton's desktop computer?
A. Are you asking me if $I$ knew that in the spring of $2021 ?$
Q. If you knew that when the Secretary knew that in the spring of 2021.
A. I did not know that.
Q. And did you know in June of 2021 that the Secretary had picked up the EMS server and the ICC work station?
A. No, I did not know that.
Q. After the videotape -- the audiotape was played for the

State defendants during the deposition, you had your own deposition taken; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And it was taken by Mr. Tyson; is that right?
A. It was my 30 (b) (6) --
Q. $\quad 30$ (b) (6).
A. -- deposition, yes. And it was taken by Mr. Tyson.
Q. Okay. And in that deposition, did the State defendants indicate one way or the other whether they had any interest in finding out what else you knew about Coffee County?

MR. BELINFANTE: Objection. Calls for speculation into the mind of Mr. Tyson.

MR. BROWN: It does not.
THE COURT: Did the State defendants indicate one way or the other whether they had any interest in finding out what else you knew about Coffee County?

Well, what was your impression as to whether they were -- you were being asked for any further information?

THE WITNESS: I was not. I raised the issue of Coffee County during the deposition. But there were no questions at all about Coffee County that were asked of me. BY MR. BROWN:
Q. So the audiotape had been played a month or so before; right?
A. A little less than a month before. A few weeks before.
Q. And they had the formal deposition of your organization; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you even introduced the topic of Coffee County; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And they didn't ask you any questions about it; right?
A. That is correct.
Q. Now, as the months went on and you started with the efforts for you to investigate actually what happened in Coffee County, your -- your organization and the Curling plaintiffs took a number of depositions; correct?
A. Correct. And that was, again, after we -- that was after discovery opened, of course. But, you know, after we had already spent some time prior to discovery trying to get public documents.
Q. Right. And did the State defendants -- in an effort to figure out what happened, did they launch their own discovery of the Coffee County incident?
A. Not anything that I'm aware of. Not anything that has made the public eye.
Q. But they were -- they came to the depositions; right?
A. They certainly were there for every deposition that I was in or watched.
Q. And -- they watched?
A. I said any deposition I watched they were there.
Q. Were you present for the deposition of Eric Chaney?
A. I was.
Q. And Eric, did he take the Fifth Amendment in response to some questions?
A. I think about 250 times.
Q. And the plaintiffs, I can't remember me or Mr. -- I believe it was Mr. Cross asked him a bunch of questions about Coffee County?
A. Certainly.
Q. And did the State have the opportunity, at the end of the deposition, to ask Mr. Chaney all the questions that the State must have had by then since they were concerned about what happened in Coffee County? Did they have that opportunity?
A. They did have that opportunity.
Q. And did they take that opportunity?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Let me play the tape.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we're going to play the entirety of the State's examination of Mr. Chaney. It is a two-minute tape.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I have two objections. One is I'm not sure that playing a prior consistent statement is something that is permitted.

Number two -- and this is more just going to the protocols that we've adopted -- I'm not going to use this as an
admission or a grounds to exclude, but this is not something that was produced to us in terms of what they intended to use.

I'm not going to say that is an objection that you should rule it on. If we get into that later and we're accused of it, then $I$ just want to note that for the record. This isn't a direct exam.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm doing recross. I didn't know that Mr. Belinfante would go down the road he went down. And we objected to some of it. And now it is fair --

THE COURT: He has never -- he was at the deposition.
MR. BROWN: I think they designated this.
THE COURT: They designated --
MR. BELINFANTE: Yeah. I just don't want --
THE COURT: I just wanted to understand.
All right. Go ahead.
MR. BELINFANTE: If we get into something down the road, I just want it marked. That's all.

THE COURT: I could tell you to play it at another time. But go ahead.
(Playing of the videotape.)
THE COURT: Wait a second. Wait. Would you please stop it for a second. Thank you.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, much like the article that I put in front of Ms. Marks where she testified, gave her statement, here we have a situation where she has testified as

```
to what Mr. Chaney said. I don't see the importance and
it's -- of him in using hearsay evidence to validate what she's
already testified to.
    MR. BROWN: Your Honor, it is not hearsay. We have
the sworn testimony. It is their designation. We are showing
that -- she's already said she was there. I'm going to ask her
if that is Mr. Chaney testifying.
    And the Court is entitled to hear that -- the
testimony.
    THE COURT: Does she have more after this, or is this
something you prefer to do --
    MR. BROWN: She has more.
    THE COURT: All right. Well, go ahead.
    That is related to this in some fashion?
    MR. BROWN: Yes.
    THE COURT: All right.
    You can go ahead now. Wind yourself back.
```

            (Playing of the videotape.)
    BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Now, Ms. Marks, that was I think Mr. Pico-Prats asking the
questions?
A. Yes.
Q. And you attended virtually or in person all of the other depositions; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And is it fair to say that the State's examination of the Coffee County witnesses resembled Mr.Pico-Prats' tough examination of Mr. Chaney about you in particular; right?

MR. BELINFANTE: Objection. Tough examination.
Let's back up a little bit.
THE WITNESS: Yes. In almost every deposition of the Coffee County witnesses, that seemed to be the only thing that the State was really asking about is what kind of communications they had had with me, how long they had known me. That seemed to be their primary focus. BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did they ask any sort of law enforcement-related questions of the witnesses?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Okay. And the Coalition and Curling also issued a number of third-party subpoenas; correct?
A. Yes. We issued quite a number of third-party subpoenas.
Q. And did the State? Do you recall?
A. I don't think that they issued any subpoenas either with respect to this litigation or separately for Coffee County. Q. And is it your understanding that the SEB, which is also a defendant, not just the Secretary -- whether the SEB itself has subpoena powers apart from what may be undertaken through the federal court?
A. Yes. It is my understanding that the State Election

Board, the SEB, does have subpoena power.
Q. And are you aware of any efforts that the SEB took during this entire time after they had the Hall tape to issue one subpoena to any witness anywhere in Georgia about Coffee County?

MR. BELINFANTE: Objection. If Counsel could just
clarify if he means pursuant to this litigation or an investigation.

BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Either one.
A. It is my understanding that for the State Election Board that they issued no subpoenas either for -- with respect to Coffee County witnesses or the Coffee County breach witnesses either with respect to this litigation or with respect to investigation.

And I think that was reinforced by Secretary Raffensperger's statement to 11Alive saying that they did not issue subpoenas to Coffee County witnesses.
Q. And -- but the SEB was active investigating some things during that time period, wasn't it, with respect to you?
A. Oh, they were certainly investigating multiple things about me and Dr. DeMillo and Ronnie Martin and others.
Q. But we have you three perps here in the room today. Ms. Martin, Dr. DeMillo, and you were the subject of a SEB investigation during the time after they had the Hall tape?
A. Well, for me it was multiple investigations. But yes.
Q. And what were the three of you accused of doing? Was it involved with Coffee County or anything like that?
A. Certainly not involved with Coffee County.

Dr. DeMillo and I were accused of, in 2019, at one of the pilot -- not pilot, but excuse me, the -- one of the first BMD elections, we were accused of standing too close to a voting machine when we were doing observation and working with the New York Times crew to explain to them the new voting system. We were accused of standing a little too close.
Q. Okay. So how long did it take the SEB to investigate that allegation?
A. They started announcing their investigation within days of the election day where we were observing, and it took months of time.
Q. And when -- did they drop the charges finally?
A. Those charges they did drop in June of 2023.
Q. '23. Just six months ago?
A. Yes. Well, and the allegations were from 2019.
Q. And so at the time they weren't investigating the Coffee County breach, the most consequential breach of Georgia's or anybody's election system, the SEB was investigating Dr. DeMillo --

MR. BELINFANTE: Objection. Leading.

BY MR. BROWN:
Q. -- a chaired professor at Georgia Tech, Ronnie Martin, and yourself for standing too close to a BMD?

MR. BELINFANTE: Objection. Counsel testifying.
THE WITNESS: Well, they were -- that is true with respect with Dr. DeMillo.

THE COURT: Well, I -- well, the question is there. Go ahead and answer.

THE WITNESS: They were investigating Dr. DeMillo and me for standing too close. And they were investigating Rhonda Martin and me for purportedly disturbing voting at a location we had never even heard of, much less been to. BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And did you have to hire an attorney to defend you in that?
A. We did.
Q. Did you pay that attorney?
A. We did.
Q. No, you didn't.
A. We did.

MR. CROSS: Did that hit a little close to home,
Bruce?
MR. BROWN: I object.
THE COURT: You object.
MR. BROWN: May I voir dire my own witness to be

```
sure?
```

                    Anyway, I'll withdraw that. That was just in jest.
    BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Okay. You were asked -- let me shift gears a little bit and go back to the November, December 2020 time frame.

Earlier in your examination about Coffee County, Mr. Belinfante asked you about some of the issues that were stirring -- about a number of issues, but one of them was Coffee County.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall that testimony?
A. I do.
Q. And I believe you referenced some litigation; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to hand to you Coalition Plaintiffs' Exhibit 12. Ms. Marks, can you identify Coalition Plaintiffs' Exhibit 12?
A. Okay. This is a transcript of a hearing in front of Judge Batten here at the district court, and it was on February -- excuse me, November 29, 2020.
Q. And was it your understanding that Ms. Powell, in this litigation, was seeking access to election equipment?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: Ms. Powell is counsel for the plaintiff?

Is that what you mean?
MR. BROWN: Yes. Yes. I should make that clear.
MR. CROSS: For the plaintiffs in that action.
THE COURT: Right.
BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And -- hang on just one second.

If you -- and, Ms. Marks, you learned about this
litigation at or about the time or later? When did you learn about this litigation?
A. I was probably generally aware of it as it was filed. It was a pretty high profile case, you know. This was Pearson V Kemp, and a lot of people referred to it as the Kraken case. So I was aware of it as it was proceeding.
Q. And the --

MR. BROWN: I move to admit, Your Honor. This is from PACER.

THE COURT: I need to know what the relevance is at this point. I'm not --

BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, in that lawsuit, did the State take a position of whether it would be dangerous or not to have the images of election equipment made by unauthorized or even authorized people?
A. Yes. The defendant, in addition to Governor Kemp, I believe included the Secretary of State, and I believe it is on

Page 13.
Q. Well, first of all, who is representing the defendants, Page 1?
A. The Attorney General's office, Attorney Willard and Attorney McGowan.
Q. Okay. And then go back to Page 13, I think Line 20 or so.
A. Right. Did you want me to read from it where it says -Q. Yes.
A. That in that case where security and reliability of the DRE machines, which have now been retired, even Judge Totenberg recognized you cannot willy-nilly allow individuals from outside of state and county custody and control procedures to have access to those machines. It poses a security risk for Ms. Powell's minions to go in and image everything, download the software, and figure out for future elections a way to hack in so that their preferred candidates can win.

That is, in effect, what they are seeking here. They want to image, just as they said, not only the data of the machines but the entire software package, security protocols that are set up. That is something that no federal court can possibly countenance. Even if they had the appropriate defendants here, which they don't, you cannot allow, during the midst of an election cycle, a third party to come in and get the proverbial keys to the software kingdom.
Q. Okay. Now, the -- so the State would have had knowledge,
just as you did, that there were people like Ms. Powell that were trying to get at the State's software; right?
A. Yes. And I believe that there were multiple counties here that she had named that she wanted the software from, including Coffee and one of the plaintiffs here was Cathy Latham of Coffee County.
Q. And so -- oh, so Cathy Latham was a plaintiff in this lawsuit?
A. Yes.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I had moved to have that
document admitted.
Josh, you didn't have any objection, did you?
MR. BELINFANTE: No.
THE COURT: Okay.
COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: That was Coalition 12?
MR. BROWN: Coalition 12.
THE COURT: It is admitted then.
BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, I'm going to change gears a little bit and go back to the first part of your deposition when you were talking about CGG and what it does.

CGG is -- has been active in many states; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. What other states?
A. Colorado. Initially we had a lot of focus in Colorado.

We've done work in South Carolina, also North Carolina, certainly Georgia.

And then we will tend to get involved on, you know, short-term projects in other states as they arise to the extent we have any bandwidth to do it. These days we're having to turn down a lot of requests for help from activists in other states.
Q. What are some of the projects that you have had to divert resources from in order to support activities in Georgia relating to the BMDs?
A. Well, there's kind of a shamefully long list. But one of the things that is a very current topic that has been a topic for us since about 2014 that we're just unable to participate in -- and that is the new level of argument about internet voting.

Internet voting, unfortunately, is gaining popularity again. And state after state is considering internet voting. And it is something we would like to be very much engaged in that fight. And we've just had to stand down in the last year or so. Although we've gotten many requests to help -- to help in that fight.

Another current topic is ranked-choice voting or IRV voting. And it is something where we have probably a good bit of expertise. But, unfortunately, just the inability to respond to the requests that we have received, everything from

Boulder city council to locally, this has been -- locally here in Georgia, this has been a recent issue of ranked-choice voting.

And we would have very much like to continue education efforts to explain why that is not a transparent and good election system.
Q. And in terms of what resources you -- of the resources that you have diverted to Georgia, is all of it simply litigation?
A. No, it is certainly not simply litigation.
Q. Have you undertaken to oppose or fight or educate people in means other than through litigation?
A. Certainly.
Q. How so?
A. And not simply the topic of the voting system security per se. But we have held -- haven't done it in a while, but we have held educational webinars for county election officials, for voters. We participate. We participated with other voting rights groups in educational programs.

One of the things that we have done in addition to litigation on the voting system security front is we have spent an inordinate amount of time filing formal petitions for rule changes with the State Election Board.
Q. And I'm going to go through some of those just real quick with you.
A. Okay.
Q. Ms. Marks, let me hand you what has been marked as Coalition Plaintiffs' Exhibit 10 .

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And just what is this collection of documents generally?
A. This happens to be an email with attachments that $I$ wrote to Judge Duffey as chair of the State Election Board on March the 20th, 2023, as I had begun to gather, from public records requests, information that showed that Misty Hampton had been allowed to program the voting system in Treutlen County after she had been fired or forced to resign in Coffee County.

MR. BROWN: Move to admit Coalition Exhibit 10, Your Honor.

MR. BELINFANTE: Two objections. One, hearsay for anything other than what Ms. Marks is saying.

Two, the fact that a county nonparty makes a hiring decision is not relevant to the actions of the State.

MR. BROWN: Right. We're not -- well, first, the purpose of the exhibit is to establish the Coalition's efforts to effect change in Georgia outside of the litigation contest, number one.

Number two, yeah, we're not -- in terms of the other facts in here, I mean I don't know why the State would oppose them, but we're not asserting them for the truth of the matters

```
asserted.
```

MR. BELINFANTE: Candidly, I have not read all of the 20 pages that you just handed me, so ...

MR. BROWN: Yeah. This is Coalition Plaintiffs'
Exhibit 10 --
MR. BELINFANTE: Oh, sure.
MR. BROWN: -- that we gave to you about a month ago.
MR. BELINFANTE: Yeah. And I assure you I have not
read every document you gave to us about a month ago as well.
MR. CROSS: What have you been doing, Josh?
THE COURT: I'm confused for a different reason, which is we've got the cover letter and then there is a bunch of other documents relating to a number of different things, including Ms. Hampton's invoices, but -- and an Open Records Act request.

I mean, are you showing this -- I guess it is her
letter. She can identify it. And she -- and if she's presenting the letter for purposes of just simply showing this is the sort of thing that $I$ was trying to bring as a leader of this organization to the chair's attention, that's one thing. Whether it is true or not true --

MR. BROWN: That's correct.
THE COURT: Do we need all these attachments, I mean, if that is really the purpose of it?

MR. BROWN: We do not. I mean, it may be fair to
include them in there since they are all together. But no.
THE COURT: So these were all attached to her
original letter?
MR. BROWN: That is correct. Or that is my
understanding.
BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, is this -- is Coalition Plaintiffs' Exhibit 10, which is a 20-page exhibit -- is that all part of the same collection of documents, from your perspective?
A. Yes. I believe that is correct. Without examining every one, that is -- this would be the collection of documents I sent to Judge Duffey to give him the background to express our concern.
Q. And just for the record, this is an email to Judge Duffey.

Although he at the time was a defendant in this
litigation, this communication did not relate to the litigation per se; correct?

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, objection. If it doesn't -- well, again I still don't see the relevance to this. I also don't see the need to introduce the exhibit if the witness has testified.

And according to Mr. Brown the evidence is consistent with her memory --

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we get to -- go ahead, I'm sorry.

MR. BELINFANTE: That's all right.
And finally, there's hearsay throughout the document. So it is -- you know, there's all sorts of problems for it for limited relevance given that it is not about the case.

MR. BROWN: Well, we are entitled to introduce admissible evidence that is consistent with the witness' testimony. It is not like a rule. It doesn't have to contradict your own witness -- there is no rule of evidence that the only evidence that $I$ can get into evidence is evidence that is bad for my client.

THE COURT: Yeah. That people --
MR. CROSS: It would be a heck of a rule.
MR. BELINFANTE: That is only in administrative court.

THE COURT: That has got to be in the pretrial order. MR. BROWN: I was sick that day.

THE COURT: All right. Let me just help you about this. I mean, it is not -- it is not admitted for the truth of any of the statements inside of it. It is admissible for purposes of her saying -- the plaintiff saying, you know, we were again -- we were advocates for change in the election system and for -- in election integrity. And then she writes to the chair of the -- Judges Duffey, who was chair then at that point of the State Election Board.

So that's the only thing it can be admitted for, as I

```
see it. But it is not admissible for the truth of the matter.
```

    MR. BROWN: No. It is relevant for standing and
    diversion.
THE COURT: I understood that.
MR. BELINFANTE: And I'm sorry, Your Honor, to be a
bit dense. But just for clarity's sake, the evidence is not
going to be admitted or used for the hiring of Misty Hampton by
a third-party, Treutlen County.
THE COURT: No. It is just her raising these issues
to Judge Duffey in his capacity as chair of the State Election
Board.
MR. BELINFANTE: About their advocacy?
THE COURT: In connection with their advocacy.
MR. BELINFANTE: Okay.
THE COURT: That is what it is about. That's the
relevance. Only for that purpose.
MR. BROWN: Right. There is other evidence of the
Treutlen County already in evidence. So that is right, Your
Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Let me hand to you what has been marked as Exhibit 2.
What is Exhibit 2, Ms. Marks?
A. Exhibit 2 is a follow-up letter that I sent to
Judge Duffey in his role as the chair of the election board on
November 26, 2022, to follow up on a complaint I had filed in

June of 2022, a complaint about the failure of the Secretary of State's office to comply with the audit law and audit requirements that were then in Georgia's statutes.

And it was one of many follow-ups. And the complaint itself is attached as it was attached to the email I sent to Judge Duffey.

MR. BROWN: I move to admit, Your Honor.
MR. BELINFANTE: No objection.
THE COURT: It is admitted.
This one dealt with post election audits; is that right?

THE WITNESS: The Exhibit 02, yes, it was with respect to post election audits.

THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, let me hand to you what has been marked as Coalition Plaintiffs' Exhibit 5.

And can you identify that document for the record, please?
A. Yes. It was one of several rules petitions that we at Coalition for Good Governance submitted to the State Election Board in 2023 for a petition for rules adoption. And this one happens to relate to counties being able to evaluate their voting system security.
Q. And, Ms. Marks, putting together proposed rules, does that take some time and resources on your part?
A. It certainly takes me some time. I'm not a professional at doing this. So yes, this took considerable time for me to put together and talk with our other volunteers about. I asked them for ideas, help putting this together.

And so yes, it is a time-consuming effort.
MR. BROWN: Move to admit Number 5, please.
MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, our concern with
Number 5 -- and it depends on how Mr. Brown is going to use it -- is on Page 3 there is a discussion of health checks. We were not permitted to offer testimony on health checks.

And so if the only evidence in the record on them is this letter, that would be prejudicial to the State.

THE COURT: I don't have it. So I can't look at it. I got it. Sorry.

MR. BELINFANTE: We'll withdraw the objection.
That's fine.
THE COURT: All right. It is admitted.
MR. BROWN: Thank you.
BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I have one more.

Ms. Marks, let me hand to you what has been marked as
Exhibit 6.
What is Exhibit 6, for the record?
A. Just a moment. I'm flipping through it.

Okay. This is another rules petition that we submitted to
the State Election Board in April of 2023, and this is really in response to the Coffee County breaches and other attempted breaches.

And that was asking the State Election Board to adopt rules to require the reporting of security incidents -- serious security incidents, such as the Coffee County breach, also require reporting of discovered security vulnerabilities, and also require mitigation for security incidents.

And so those were the general topics covered by this particular request.
Q. And has the security incident reporting rule request been acted upon by the board?
A. They did act upon it. And they denied it. They denied this and said that they would name a committee to work on adopting security incident reporting and mitigation rules. However -- and they said they would have something -- they would have rules adopted -- planned to have rules adopted back in the fall of 2023. And nothing has come of that.
Q. Now, you've also presented complaints and proposed rules relating to logic and accuracy testing; is that right?
A. Yes. We have done that twice and have failed.
Q. And all of this activity or a lot of this activity is directed at the ballot-marking devices or how much of it is?
A. Yes. While certainly the rule we just talked about for reporting of security incidents would relate to any type of
equipment, whether it be Poll Pads or EMS servers or, you know, a ballot-marking device, certainly.

But the LAT rule that we've asked now to be adopted to come in compliance with the statute, it would relate to all equipment. But the big gap today is with the ballot-marking devices. It is almost impossible for them to follow the law.
Q. And so -- and are you diverting resources from other projects that the Coalition is working on or might be working on to fund those efforts with these rules petitions?
A. Certainly. There would be many other things that we would prefer to be doing other than these.
Q. The -- I want to get back. I missed a question about the Scott Hall telephone call.

There was a mention of hacker --
A. Yes.
Q. -- in the recorded conversation?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have an understanding, at the time, of which hacker he was talking about?
A. I never have known which hacker he was talking about. He told me it was a young man and that it was a young man who was the son of one of his friends. But he never identified this hacker that he said he had engaged to hack into CGG's litigation files.
Q. Were you ever able to determine whether anyone had hacked
into your litigation files?
A. We were not. But I did the best I could as an unskilled layman to look to see if there appeared to have been any disturbance in the files and watched online to see if I could find any suggestion that our files had been leaked.

And that is as far as I went, other than asking our volunteers to also do those kinds of searches to see if they saw any indication of a leak of our files.
Q. So although his statements about Coffee County turned out to be closer to the truth, it could very well be he was lying about the hacking into your litigation database?
A. Right. So much of what he said in his other allegations was, you know, completely baseless, it was impossible to know what he was telling the truth about or not.

MR. BROWN: If I could just take a minute and talk to co-counsel.

THE COURT: Okay.
(There was a brief pause in the proceedings.)
MR. BROWN: No further questions.
THE COURT: I had a question -- so did we admit all
your -- those last kind of petition documents?
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. I believe so.
COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: Number 6?
MR. BROWN: 106 [sic].
THE COURT: I don't remember your offering --

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We move to admit 106.

THE COURT: Are there any objections?
MR. BELINFANTE: No objection.
THE COURT: It is admitted.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. OLES:
Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Marks.
A. Good afternoon, Mr. Oles.
Q. Thank you.

You already know who I am, so I won't waste time with that.

But I do have a couple of questions for you. And do you -- you were asked a number of questions concerning the other activities that your organization engages in, in addition to litigation. I would say non-litigation type activities.

And does some of that include the monitoring of the implementation and the operation of the Dominion BMD system?
A. In Georgia, yes.
Q. Yes. Okay. All right. Thank you.

Do you recall in this case completing a supplemental declaration on or about February 12th, 2021?
A. I've done so many declarations I would have a hard time identifying it by date. But I would be happy to look at it and --

MR. OLES: May I approach, Judge?
THE COURT: Yes.
THE WITNESS: Yes. I recognize it now, Mr. Oles.
BY MR. OLES:
Q. All right. Thank you.

I just placed in front of you what $I$ will represent is a copy of your supplemental declaration.

Does that refresh your recollection as to --
A. Yes.
Q. -- having completed it?

All right. Thank you.
THE COURT: What is the date of it?
MR. OLES: It is November -- no. I'm sorry.
February 12th, 2021.
BY MR. OLES:
Q. So I have just a few questions for you around that.

Let's see. So if I understand correctly, you were monitoring events that took place in Gwinnett County -- you were -- in November of -- on or about November 3rd, 2020; is that correct?
A. Yes. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And while -- as a result of observing the activities on that occasion, did you have cause to uncover whether or not there were ballots that could not -- that were having difficulty being processed on the Dominion servers?
A. It wouldn't be fair to say that either CGG or I uncovered that information. That information was quite public. And television cameras were all around and lots of press. And I was one in the crowd paying attention to that. But we did not uncover that.
Q. Okay. Could you briefly explain what the nature of the issue.
A. Boy, that is -- I might not be able to recall the details of that. But very generally Gwinnett County, during the presidential election, was having problems scanning the absentee ballots -- absentee by mail ballots, if I recall correctly. And they had tried multiple scans and were getting inconsistent counts.

More than that, I really have to think hard to see if I can remember any more.
Q. Okay. So you -- as you sit here today, you're not able to recall whether or not the cause of that issue was adequately explained or uncovered?
A. I don't think we ever learned what the root cause of the problem was.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't believe I ever did at least.
Q. All right. Do you recall whether or not there was a similar issue in Floyd County?
A. We are certainly aware of a failure to record a batch of
ballots in Floyd County in November 2020. But I can't tell you that it had the same root cause or even the same effect. I just know that there were ballot scanning problems in both counties.
Q. Okay. Did you observe technical experts from Dominion coming in on that occasion?
A. We observed gentlemen who we were told were representing Dominion as technicians. I did not ever meet them or learn of their credentials. But that is what I was told.
Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not on that occasion they made any software changes to the system?
A. I do not know, myself, whether or not they made software changes to the system. But I think in my declaration it probably states that Harri Hursti, who is one of our experts, observed what he thought appeared to be those technicians making the changes.

MR. BELINFANTE: Objection.
THE COURT: Objection sustained. It is hearsay.
MR. OLES: Thank you.
BY MR. OLES:
Q. Do you know whether or not the technicians were successful in resolving whatever was the problem with the ballot processing?
A. I do not know.
Q. Do you know whether or not there were any ballots that
remained uncounted on that occasion?
A. That remained uncounted or --
Q. Yes.
A. I have a recollection of ballots -- some ballots in Gwinnett being uncounted at the time of certification. Whether that was ultimately resolved, I just don't remember right now.
Q. Okay. Would it help to take a look at Number 14 of your declaration?
A. Okay.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would object to relevancy. This has to do with -- mainly in the waste of time provision of 403. This has to do with scanners. And our scanner challenges were thrown out by the Court, and they are no longer in the case, and we're not seeking to replace the scanners. And neither is Mr. Oles' client.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, our position is that scanners do have some relevance to the case. But we would object at least as to Paragraph 14, a discussion about it, as it is hearsay and speculation of Mr. Hursti.

MR. OLES: Judge --

THE COURT: I don't have the document. I don't have what we're talking about --

MR. OLES: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: -- so I'm at a loss. I just have been listening. But that has its limits.

And you're talking about what?
MR. OLES: Paragraph 14, Judge.
THE COURT: Well, the problem with Paragraph 14 is
Ms. Marks is reporting on, for most of the paragraph, what Mr. Hursti reported to her about his conversation with Mr. Marino, a Dominion technical expert. So I don't think I can allow that to come in. It is sort of double hearsay. Then I -- you know, the last -- the last sentence can
come in. I estimated at the time -- at the time the election was certified at least 1600 ballots remained uncounted. That is the second to last. The final one, does that address your concern, Counsel?

MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right.
BY MR. OLES:
Q. Ms. Marks, did you subsequently participate in a statewide hand count audit?
A. I participated as an observer. I was not an auditor.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not that audit that you observed discovered any more ballots than were originally counted?
A. In Paragraph 15, that is what I report. And I probably would not have remembered it had you not refreshed my recollection with this. But yes. That seems correct.
Q. Okay. Do you recall how much the difference was in the hand count from the original?

MR. BELINFANTE: Objection, Your Honor. Irrelevant. The counties, even as this says, are performing the audit, not the State.

THE COURT: All right. I just think we're going pretty far afield.

MR. OLES: All right, Judge. I'll move along. BY MR. OLES:
Q. Ms. Marks, are you aware in the manual count -- well, let me back up a little bit.

Are you aware or do you recall that after the results were certified of that election that the Secretary of State then ordered a full manual count of the ballots in the presidential contest?
A. That's not how $I$ would phrase that.
Q. How would you phrase it?
A. After the initial certification of the machine count -no. That is not right. I'm sorry. Let me rethink about this. Prior to the initial certification of the machine count, there was a hand count audit ordered. I would not necessarily call that a hand count of the ballots. But a hand count audit that was ordered by the Secretary.
Q. Okay. Do you recall whether or not there was an issue with the same $Q R$ code signature mismatch in that audit that has
been testified to a number of times in this case?
MR. BELINFANTE: Objection. Vague. There's lots of testimony about $Q R$ codes. I'm just not sure which one counsel is talking about.

THE COURT: Do you want to clarify?
BY MR. OLES:
Q. Well, again, Ms. Marks, in your capacity as an observer of the hand re-count, did you -- do you have knowledge of whether or not there was an issue that arose involving the QR codes?
A. Let's break this apart a bit. There was not a hand re-count.
Q. I'm sorry. As you described it, the hand count.
A. Okay. So do you mind repeating the question for me so I try to answer it accurately?
Q. My question was: In the conduct of that hand count that you observed, are you aware of whether or not there was an issue or a problem that arose involving the $Q R$ code signature matching?
A. There -- I have never heard anything that involved the $Q R$ code with respect to that hand count audit because the $Q R$ code was not even being looked at. I don't think it was a factor in any considerations for that hand count audit.
Q. Okay. Let me walk you forward a little bit. Were you involved in either monitoring or auditing the Gwinnett County 2022 primary?
MR. BELINFANTE: Objection, Your Honor. At this
point, I think it is getting well outside the scope of the
examination that I provided of.

THE COURT: I think that is so as well. If there is something that is within the scope or at least somewhat related to it -- but you're going into, now, different election -elections.

So if you think you're going to ask this and you think it is proper rebuttal testimony, then you could call the witness as rebuttal. I don't know what it is rebuttal to.

MR. OLES: Well, the only thing I was trying to accomplish here, Judge, the $Q R$ code signature mismatch error has come up a number of times within this trial. I do believe it fits within the area of the activity that Ms. Marks has described that the organization has engaged in.

And it also falls within the definition of the Dominion BMD system as defined both in Your Honor's order on summary judgment as well as the pretrial order.

THE COURT: I didn't hear you asking about the $Q R$ code in this context.

MR. OLES: Okay.
THE COURT: Restate your question.
MR. OLES: Thank you.
BY MR. OLES:
Q. My question was to you, Ms. Marks: Walking forward to the

Gwinnett County 2022 primary, were you involved in that?
A. I have observed so many elections. I don't remember.

Perhaps you could refresh my recollection on this. I just don't recall at the moment.
Q. Okay.

Well, perhaps that one is not as clear as I would hope.
MR. OLES: All right. Well, that will be all I have for the witness, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.
May this witness be excused?
MR. BELINFANTE: I do have some follow-up questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Could I clarify something before I --

You proffered before the GBI investigation, and I -since -- I don't understand exactly how the whole investigation comes in. I can understand that you had every right to -- of course, to examine the witness on her statements. And she corrected one of them that was in this. But -- and that is proper use of it. But I don't see how the rest of it gets in as -- at this point.

MR. BELINFANTE: I'm sorry, Your Honor. You are talking about the GBI?

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, we do not have an issue
limiting that to the pages that we put in front of Ms. Marks and asked about. So it was a plaintiffs' document, and we were honestly trying to avoid a --

THE COURT: I see.
MR. BROWN: How many pages is that?
MR. BELINFANTE: I think it is only two or three.
(There was a brief pause in the proceedings.)
THE COURT: I think we just need to make clear that this is not a statement that was -- that she signed or something like that, which she -- obviously -- this is obviously a statement that somebody is summarizing --

MR. BROWN: Right, Your Honor. And there's --
THE COURT: -- if it comes in at all.
MR. BROWN: The paper is hearsay. And it is
reporting what -- well, anyway, the reliability of it I think has been remarked upon by the witness, by the only witness that has been here to talk about the GBI investigation.

They didn't call the GBI here. They didn't try to get it in evidence. So all we have, all we know, is that the report, to this extent -- and maybe not throughout. But in particular this is not accurate.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I would disagree with that, because I asked the witness specifically when she found something she thought was inaccurate what made it inaccurate or what she recalled. And my recollection of the testimony is
that she could not recall. That is different from saying the report says the light was green and the testimony is I said the light was red.

MR. BROWN: The record will speak for itself. But
Mr. Belinfante is incorrect. She specifically refuted the characterization of her statement in the GBI report. Very credibly I might add.

MR. CROSS: Could I just add? It sounds like the only two purposes for which this was offered was to refresh her recollection or to impeach. Neither of those are the proper basis to admit evidence. So if those are the two purposes, it doesn't come in. And that seems to be the only purposes we have heard.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, why don't you proceed and we can discuss it when we are --

MR. BELINFANTE: Okay.

> RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, this will be much shorter.

You testified earlier that you had concerns about your
personal safety and that of the members of the Coalition with regards to Scott Hall.

Do you remember that?
A. I do.
Q. And that still did not cause you to contact law
enforcement. Is that your testimony today?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. You said also that you had hoped that the State would do something once it heard the tape in Mr. Sterling's February 2022 deposition.

Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. If that was your hope, why didn't you produce it earlier to the State so that it could have done something?
A. Certainly the State knew a whole lot more than I did about all of the goings on in Coffee County.
Q. Is it your testimony that the State knew SullivanStrickler came into Coffee County in January by February of 2022?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So they didn't know that.

All they knew was the Cyber Ninja card --
(Unintelligible cross-talk)
THE WITNESS: -- it's just not my testimony.
(There was a brief pause in the proceedings.)
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. I'll end it at that. So they didn't know that; correct?
A. I didn't say that. I just said I did not know whether they knew that or not.
Q. But you wanted the State to act; right? You wanted the State to investigate what was happening in Coffee County?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And to that point, Ms. Marks, you would agree with me that when the State conducts an investigation into particularly alleged criminal behavior, it is not limited to what outside counsel does in civil litigation? Do you understand what I'm asking?
A. I don't really understand what you are asking.
Q. That's fair.

You had a series of questions with Ms. Brown -- or Mr. Brown, sorry -- Mr. Brown about the State's questioning of witnesses during Eric Chaney's deposition about the State's issuing of subpoenas and things of that nature.

Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You would agree with me that when the State of Georgia, whether it is the Georgia Bureau of Investigation or the Secretary of State's office, conducts what is at least a quasi criminal investigation it is not acting solely through outside civil counsel?

Would you agree with that?
MR. CROSS: Objection. She can't -- how could she know the answer to that? That is a legal conclusion.

MR. BELINFANTE: That's what she testified to earlier.

MR. CROSS: I'll withdraw the objection. I'm not --

I'll withdraw.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Let me ask it this way. Ms. Marks, you would agree with me that --

THE COURT: I think it is too -- I mean, that is a legal question for her.

MR. BELINFANTE: That's fine.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, you would agree with me, though, that the State's efforts to investigate Coffee County are not done solely through the guise of this litigation; is that fair?
A. They shouldn't be.
Q. Right. And that is why -- and you talked to the GBI; correct?
A. I did talk to the GBI.
Q. And you're aware that the State referred the matter to the Georgia Bureau of Investigation as well; correct?

I'm sorry. That the Secretary of State's office referred the matter to the Georgia Bureau of Investigation?
A. It has always been a little confusing to me as to whether it was the Secretary of State or the State Election Board that referred the matter to the Georgia Bureau of Investigation and under whose auspices the investigation was to take place.
Q. Well, both are defendants in this case, the Secretary and the SEB.
A. Right. But that is not what you asked me.
Q. That's fine.

Ms. Marks, if you could turn to Coalition Plaintiffs'
Exhibit 2, which is your email to Judge Duffey from November 26 of 2022.
A. All right. Just a moment.

Okay.
Q. On the second page -- well, third full page of that document, at the bottom it says Page 3 of 4, is your letter to the members of the State Election Board.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Now, you write in the second paragraph that meaningful post election audits are essential as one partial and incomplete risk mitigation measure for the identified vulnerabilities in the BMD system as advised by CISA in their June 3rd, 2020 advisory.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. But it is your position and the Coalition's position that you can't conduct meaningful audits on the BMDs, isn't it?
A. You cannot conduct meaningful audits that confirm the voter's will in terms of the election outcome.
Q. So there is nothing that the State Election Board could do anyway to satisfy the Coalition's concerns about audits because

BMDs are used in Georgia elections; isn't that right?
A. No. That is --

THE COURT: I'm sorry. You are talking too fast for me to understand.

MR. BELINFANTE: Sorry, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. I didn't even get the
question.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. So if the -- and I'll rephrase the question too.

If the State Election Board ordered audits in an election
that uses BMDs, it is the Coalition's position that those
audits cannot be meaningful because the BMDs are, in your
words, inherently unauditable; isn't that right?
MR. BROWN: Objection. Vague. Meaningful.
MR. BELINFANTE: Well, it is her word, Mr. Brown.
First word, second paragraph.
THE WITNESS: You are asking me whether -- if the State Election Board ordered audits? Well, this letter is not about the State Election Board ordering audits.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. My question, though, is the State Election Board could not order any type of, in your words, meaningful post election audits in any election in Georgia where BMDs are utilized; isn't that right?
A. Are you asking me about the State Election Board's powers,
or are you asking me about whether or not risk-limiting audits can be effective for ballot-marking devices?
Q. I'm asking you whether there could be a meaningful audit of an election involving ballot-marking devices as Georgia utilizes today?
A. Not -- such an audit of election outcomes for BMD-conducted elections cannot be meaningful.
Q. Okay. And there could be no audit to give -- in an election using ballot-marking devices to answer the question of whether a voter's vote was counted as cast -- isn't that right? -- according to the Coalition?

MR. CROSS: Objection, Your Honor. Vague.
Are we -- are we including accessibility or not?
MR. BELINFANTE: Not -- I'm including anytime the BMD is used, like Dr. Stark said.

THE WITNESS: If I'm understanding your question correctly -- and I'm not sure that I am --

THE COURT: How do you understand the question?
THE WITNESS: I think he is asking me whether or not audits determine whether an individual's vote is counted as cast.

MR. BELINFANTE: What I --
THE COURT: Is that --
MR. BELINFANTE: Go ahead.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. What I'm asking you, Ms. Marks, is: In an election where BMDs are utilized, is there any audit that could address the Coalition's concerns that its members' votes are not counted as cast?
A. There is a lot wrapped up in that question. But in general, a risk-limiting audit of a -- an election run primarily by ballot-marking devices cannot confirm the outcome. But no audit is going to be able to determine that an individual's vote was counted at cast.
Q. All right. Ms. Marks, could you turn to Curling Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 5, please, the September 5, 2023, letter to the State Election Board.
A. I have it now.
Q. Okay. Could you turn to Page 4 of that document.
A. Yes, I do have it.
Q. The second paragraph says the Secretary of State has disingenuously attempted to rebut the Halderman report and the CISA findings by promoting the discredited Dominion sponsored MITRE report with Georgia local officials. Those local officials have limited information on the failings of the promoted MITRE report, the fact that cybersecurity scientists have called for the retraction of that report and the concerning results of scientists meetings with MITRE representatives to cover the many errors and false assumptions
in that report.
Do you see that?
A. I see that.
Q. Have you read the MITRE report from July 20, 2022?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

MR. CROSS: Objection, Your Honor. There is a ruling on this.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, they have opened the door wide open.

MR. CROSS: How so?
MR. BELINFANTE: You have put in a document where the witness is talking about her communications to the SEB about the MITRE report. She is criticizing the MITRE report. She's now testified she read it. I can -- I should be able to ask her about her position on the MITRE report, which would require --

THE COURT: I'm -- this is -- just at this point we're not going to go that far. I mean, the --

MR. CROSS: What document are you talking about, Josh? I don't know if I have it.

MR. BELINFANTE: 767. Oh, I'm sorry, the one that I'm quoting is Curling 5.

THE COURT: We are so in the weeds at this juncture that I can't even track your own -- the exhibits. So if you
want to show it to me, but I'm really not inclined. If you want to show -- if somebody can give me another copy, I'll be happy to look at it.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I'm happy to show you mine. It is just highlighted, the piece here.

THE COURT: That's all right. If you don't mind. Otherwise have somebody else give me theirs.

MR. CROSS: And, Your Honor, as I understand it, this was offered only to go to the issue of diversion of resources. I mean, I would ask Mr. Brown if we could just redact any reference to MITRE. Certainly it would be a heck of a gotcha if that was opening the door because I would have objected to this document.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor --
MR. CROSS: It was not offered for that purpose, and no one mentioned it for that purpose.

MR. BROWN: And we --
MR. BELINFANTE: To that point --
THE COURT: All right. I'm not allowing it. This is -- please redact the reference to the MITRE report. This is just a true diversion. This has only to -- you offered it for diversion of resources. It is not an invitation to go into her discussions with MITRE.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Could you look at Coalition Plaintiffs' Exhibit 12,
please, the transcript in front of Judge Batten.
A. Just a moment.

You said Page 12?
Q. Coalition Plaintiffs' Exhibit 12.
A. Okay.
Q. The transcript before Judge Batten.
A. I do have that.
Q. Okay. This was filed in this court on November 30th, 2020?

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Just one second.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. That is when it was filed in Batten. Sorry.
(There was a brief pause in the proceedings.)
THE COURT: I'm sorry.
MR. CROSS: Your Honor, before we move on, just to kind of perfect the record, on Coalition 5, I think just to be safe, we would just ask that this be withdrawn entirely in fairness to the State, because I don't think anybody meant to open that door. Rather than redact it, if the Coalition has no objection, just withdraw it.

MR. BROWN: We will withdraw it, Your Honor. It was submitted simply with the other documents to show diversion of resources.

THE COURT: All right. It is withdrawn.
MR. CROSS: Thank you.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, do you have Coalition Plaintiffs' 12, the transcript in front of Judge Batten -- or of the hearing before Judge Batten in front of you?
A. I do have it in front of me.
Q. Do you know when you learned of this transcript?
A. No, I don't know when I learned of the transcript.

It is pretty old.
Q. You were aware, though, of the Pearson v. Kemp or what we could call the Kraken case, weren't you?
A. When?
Q. When it was pending in November of 2020.
A. I was generally aware of it. I wasn't conversant with every claim.
Q. Okay. Do you have a disagreement with Mr. Willard's statement on Page 13 that it poses a security risk for Ms. Powell's minions to go in and image everything, download the software and figure out for future elections a way to hack so that their preferred candidates can win?
A. I completely agree with his statement and completely agree that it is -- it would be giving the proverbial keys to the software kingdom to those sectors.
Q. And did you agree with that statement on March 7, 2021 ?
A. Yes. Certainly.
Q. Ms. Marks, do you recall whether the hearing in the

Pearson case -- did you -- well, let me ask this.
Did you listen or otherwise observe the hearing before Judge Batten on the preliminary injunction in the Pearson case?
A. I can't recall whether I -- I think it was maybe a Zoom or telephonic hearing. And I can't recall whether I listened to it live or heard about it later. I just don't recall right now.
Q. Okay. Do you recall Ms. Powell arguing that the machines were vulnerable because of the study of Dr. Halderman as the basis to proceed in the Pearson case?
A. If you're asking if I recall that that is what she was arguing that night, I don't. But generally she was making any kind of argument she could about reasons that she wanted access to the system.
Q. Okay. Last set of questions, Ms. Marks.

You were --
MR. CROSS: Your Honor, I'll just object to that.
This was in November of 2020. The report didn't come out until 2021. So I'm not sure what he's referring to.

MR. BELINFANTE: I can quote the order if you would like or the transcript.

MR. CROSS: You are reading the transcript?
MR. BELINFANTE: Yes.
MR. CROSS: What portion?
THE COURT: Are we just going back to what she

```
already answered?
```

MR. BELINFANTE: I think so, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Are you objecting -- since she's already answered, are you wanting to strike the answer or you want to object the question? I mean, she's satisfactorily answered it.

MR. BELINFANTE: And if --
MR. CROSS: Yes, I was objecting here because I thought she was confused. He referred to a report. There was no -- I just don't know what he's talking about. I think they are missing each other, so I would move to strike the answer as -- and the question.

MR. BELINFANTE: Let me -- I'll -- before the Court rules on that, if I could ask another question. If it resolves it, then we can strike the other.

THE COURT: All right.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, are you aware that Sidney Powell quoted the work of Dr. Halderman, not necessarily the report that

Mr. Cross is talking about -- but the concerns that Dr. Halderman had at least in December of 2020 about the Dominion BMDs as a basis for her argument before Judge Batten?

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll object to relevancy of this line of questions. The --

MR. BELINFANTE: Mr. -- go ahead. I thought you were done.
MR. BROWN: I just don't see the connection between
any of this.

THE COURT: So what did she cite?
MR. BELINFANTE: She cites portions of the Court's order on the preliminary injunction, which at that point was citing to the studies that were conducted at that time on the Dominion machines.

THE COURT: Because, let me just say, I read a number -- almost all the filings. They often cut and paste the orders -- my orders, without regard to whether it was accurate or not or confusing or misleading, and I am concerned about either -- both the fairness and the reliability of going into what Ms. Powell may have cited to Judge Batten in 2020 in that connection or in a brief. Because there was so much that was inaccurate and so much that was cut and paste.

It was -- now, I can't tell you as to -- and I'm not going to go back and be a witness in this proceeding about that either. But I observed it, and I read everything that was filed in that time, whatever court it was, because people were attaching all sorts of things.

And I was concerned, of course, about the integrity of this Court's rulings. But it takes it to a different level of concern when you are asking them what did you think to this witness about Ms. Powell's quoting this.

And it just -- it is not really productive in terms
of your examination at least. And maybe you'll -- you're making a record. But $I$ don't think it is persuasive to me. I understand.

I think the witness understands the point you're getting to. And you can ask her -- if you want to ask her what is the difference between your position and Ms. Powell's, you can do that. But to be relying on bringing the court into it, which $I$ don't think is your intent in any way, but that is what inevitably --

MR. BELINFANTE: And if I may, Your Honor, just to address that and perhaps even offer a proffer if we could do it that way.

My point is not to draw the Court into it. My point is to provide evidence that the witness was on notice at the time she saw the proceeding before Judge Batten.

THE COURT: You mean when she watched the videotape, if she watched it.

MR. BELINFANTE: Yeah. And I can clear that up. I thought it was contemporaneous. But at the time of that she was on notice that Ms. Powell had cited the concerns -- and again, the quoted part is the cybersecurity expert's convincingly present evidence.

They have now introduced evidence of Ms. Powell trying to get to Coffee County. And so if the witness knew at that time of the link between Sidney Powell and Coffee County
and then received a phone call, that speaks to several things which $I$ would rather not do in front of the witness, but I think the Court can see where I'm going with it.

That is the point of it. It speaks to the reasonableness of -- or not even the reasonableness, the conduct of the witness given particularly that they have introduced the motions hearing before Judge Batten themselves.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the conduct of the witness is not at issue in the case. It is the conduct not of my client but of Mr. Belinfante's client that is at issue in this case. And -- that is the first objection.

The second objection is that the State also knew. So if he's trying to compare relative knowledge, the State was in that proceeding. In fact, counsel was here that was in that proceeding. They knew also. So I don't see the relevance of it.

MR. CROSS: Your Honor, I wonder if an easier way to deal with this is, it may be plaintiffs are willing to stipulate that it was common knowledge at this time, publicly known, that Ms. Powell had made claims about the reliability of the outcome of the November 2020 election and had cited some things from court rulings and Dr. Halderman. That is not disputed by anybody.

And if we want to, I think we would stipulate that that was common knowledge and that was public.

MR. BELINFANTE: I think there's two issues here, Your Honor, that have not been addressed yet. I'm not trying to belabor the point. I'm truly not.

One, the Coalition plaintiffs, as well as the Curling plaintiffs -- but this is a Coalition plaintiffs issue -- are coming to the Court in equity. They are asking the Court to enjoin the State in part because they claim that the State acted slowly, inappropriately and wrongly as it relates to Coffee County.

Any person coming to the court in equity must have clean hands. The Eleventh Circuit has case law on this involving federal common law.

The test is did the defendant conduct themselves or did the party seeking equity come to the court and do something that hindered or prevented the defendant for doing something in the very case. This evidence is relevant to that.

MR. CROSS: Your Honor, I guess my only response to that is this is the first $I$ can recall in six years of a clean hands defense. And to raise that with the penultimate witness, this is waived. There was no discussion of this in any pretrial filings, in any pretrial conference. If we were going to have put on a case dealing with clean hands, we needed to hear that before now. So if that is the only relevance, then this is out.

MR. BELINFANTE: Well, it is not the only relevance.

It also speaks to if Ms. Marks is going to -- as she has testified -- again, she has testified that she did not believe and she thought Mr. Hall's statements were incredible or lacking credibility.

Plaintiffs have also argued that the state should have known all of this just from a card being left on a desk.

THE COURT: No, not just from a card being left on a desk. No. Come on.

MR. BELINFANTE: At the time --
THE COURT: Dominion sent out a notice itself that -about the Cyber Ninjas at the same time. There were other issues. So, I mean, I'm not saying -- trying to reach any final conclusion here. But that is a little bit of an exaggeration.

MR. BELINFANTE: At the time the testimony of the State's investigator was that he did not --

THE COURT: All right. I'm not going back to that. We're dealing with this witness. And, you know, you can argue all you want and you will, I'm sure, enormously persuasively when we get to closing arguments about exactly that. But this is not the time.

MR. BELINFANTE: All right. Understood, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Ms. Marks, do you recall being asked questions about your
deposition as a $30(\mathrm{~b})(6)$ witness for the Coalition on March 17, 2022?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recall being asked by Mr. Tyson about a request for admission Number 12 that says you admit that you have no evidence that any component of the election system was actually hacked prior to or during the election of November 3rd -- and it is 2020 -- although it's not in the transcript as that -and that was denied?

Do you recall that question?
A. Generally, yes. Not so specifically, but ...

MR. BELINFANTE: May I approach the witness, Your
Honor?
THE COURT: Are we going into a whole new subject?
MR. BELINFANTE: It is literally like one question
and then my examination will be done.
THE COURT: That's fine.
MR. BELINFANTE: I can't say one question, just
because foundation and all that stuff.
THE COURT: All right.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Could you turn to Page 200 --

THE COURT: That is a lawyer thinking.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Can you turn to Page 250, please, Ms. Marks?
A. I'm sorry. 250?
Q. Yes, ma'am. You probably want to start on 249. But the part -- what I would like to do is, you testified -- what I would like you to do is take a look at your statement, paragraph beginning on Page 249, Line 23 running through Page 250, Line 16. And then I'll ask you a question about it.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you recall testifying in your $30(\mathrm{~b})(6)$ deposition about unauthorized access in Coffee County in November of 2020?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you would agree with me that SullivanStrickler gained unauthorized access in January of 2021?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.

MR. BELINFANTE: No further questions, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't we -- you think
you're going -- if you're going to have any further questions --

MR. BROWN: No more questions, Your Honor.
MR. CROSS: No, I don't have any questions.
THE COURT: I want to make sure we ended up where we
needed to on the --
MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I'm sorry. Mr. Miller pointed out one thing. And I don't think there will be a

```
problem with this.
```

Given that the Exhibit 5, the one that references the MITRE report has been withdrawn, we would move to strike all of the testimony about that exhibit as well.

MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. I need to go back and read where -- about the -- I think you got -- I want to just confirm where we were on the FBI report.

MR. BELINFANTE: GBI.
THE COURT: GBI. Excuse me.
So the rest of the report can't come in. You got -questioned her about the one thing that that you thought was inconsistent. She said -- you know, you-all have different views of what -- of what she acknowledged. And it is in the transcript. So I don't know why the statement needs to come in because it is still hearsay.

MR. BELINFANTE: I think the issue, Your Honor -and, again, I don't recall the specific questions. There may have been questions where I said you told the GBI something or you are aware the GBI did something this way. So, you know --

THE COURT: You-all can look at it again. And I will too.

All right. If we have to have her back here for that, we will.

MR. BELINFANTE: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Can this witness be excused? And we'll just revisit the report again once we're a little fresh.

MR. BELINFANTE: Sure.
THE COURT: Thank you very much.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Are you going to proceed with
Mr. Sterling at this time, or do you have another witness?
MR. BELINFANTE: Mr. Sterling is our last witness.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BELINFANTE: He is here.
THE COURT: Do you want to take a five-minute break before you start with Mr. Sterling?

MR. BELINFANTE: That would be great.
THE COURT: All right.

## (A brief break was taken at 3:57 PM.)

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, before Mr. Sterling
testifies, I wanted to bring to the Court's attention Mr. Miller and I have spoken about an issue that the Court has raised on three occasions with witnesses, and we wanted to address it because it seemed to be understandably an issue of concern to the Court.

And the issue is whether in the sampling of the RLAs -- in the sampling done to do the RLAs, that any account was taken -- whether account was taken in the demographics,
whether racial or geographic sampling, and whether that was an issue that either party thought was a concern.

Our joint position is that it is not an issue by either party. I -- and we can present this to you however you would be comfortable. But I have spoken with Mr. -- I have communicated with Mr. Stark and he confirmed that the way that the RLA is -- the way the math on the RLA is done is that the sampling is strong -- the math is strong enough that you do not need any kind of balancing for demographics or for any other subcategory of the population.

And to do so would be very hard for an election official to do in advance of the RLA. And so it is not taken into account, and it is deliberately not taken into account.

THE COURT: In advance of the RLA?
MR. BROWN: Well, the RLA takes a sampling of the ballots.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. BROWN: But in the sampling, the selection of ballots does not take into account demographics. And the math is strong enough to overtake whatever sampling errors there might be by doing it that way.

THE COURT: That's fine.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And that's helpful. I just -- I think if we were going to introduce a whole -- that issue, though, and
whatever else they did, it would have been helpful for me to understand that you were -- that there were a number of new things coming in that $I$ never knew he was going to be testifying about. But that's fine. You have addressed that.

MR. MILLER: We just wanted to clarify.
THE COURT: That's great.
MR. MILLER: That is not part of the Coalition plaintiffs' claims.

THE COURT: No. No. And that was only my concern as to it being materially more or different than what -- he is an expert and identified as an expert. And all I knew of his testifying about is what he had testified in the past he had two affidavits, all that were -- were -- preceded this, and were in like in '20 and -- maybe ' 20 and '21. Maybe -- they might have both been from '20. And there had been no other update. That is what this all was about.

Anyway, that is helpful. Thank you very much.
MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, as you probably have gathered, the State is calling Gabriel Sterling.

THE COURT: Yes.
Good afternoon again. Remember you are under oath still.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
Whereupon,
GABRIEL STERLING,
after having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sterling.

While you were on cross-examination you were asked some questions about your biography, but I wanted to fill it out a little bit more.

Could you tell the Court: You have a college degree?
A. They're in political science from the University of Georgia.
Q. And after graduating from college, what did you do for employment?
A. Sort of a crossover, because even while I was in college, I was involved in running political campaigns. So starting in 1990, I did several campaigns. Actually '89 I started. Then '90. Then in my final -- my senior year of college, I left for a while to become the political director for the Bush/Quayle campaign for Georgia in the primary. Went back to college for a quarter, because we were on quarters back then.

THE COURT: Went back to what?
THE WITNESS: College.
And then went back again for the general election, the same role as political director.

Then from there was -- did coastal work for the

Coverdell runoff campaign.
And immediately upon leaving college, even before I left, I began as the campaign manager for Charlie Norwood in the Tenth District of Georgia. District that ran from Augusta all the way over to Gwinnett through Athens where I was attending the University. And he won -- in a surprise, won the primary in a runoff. And then he won a large margin of victory in the '94 sweep. And the first Republican ever to win there.

From there went to DC. And become a story of legend to a degree. I turned down the chief of staff job because I told Dr. Norwood that I could run a campaign all day long but I didn't know anything about running a political -- a Congressional office.

And I went from being the number one person there, assuming I would be number two, no, I went down to number four, the legislative assistant. And that chafed a little bit. So I stayed there through April -- April or May of '96. Then came back into political consulting again. Ran the only winning Republican campaign for a State House seat that year in Barrow County for Warren Massey in a race that was eventually decided in a re-count by 14 votes.

THE COURT: You're a specialist then.
THE WITNESS: To a degree. Yes, Your Honor.
Then I left political consulting directly for a couple of years and went into business with my father in
both -- in business consulting. And we ended up having equity position in a chemical company and -- in Jacksonville, Florida, or just south of Jacksonville, Florida. And I ended up taking a role there for some period of time.

And then the owner of the company -- we had a parting of the ways of the minds. And so that ended in the early 2000s. And I started my own chemical manufacturing company based out of Alpharetta.

And around 2004, I got back into political consulting in part because in 2005 I was the co-chairman of the campaign for Sandy Springs to become a city.

And we ended up winning that race with 96 percent of the vote, I believe, in Sandy Springs. And then I became sort of a specialist on helping to make new cities. Ran the campaigns for Johns Creek. The firm did Milton, Brookhaven. We didn't do Dunwoody. I think we had something to do with Chattahoochee Hills. But you get the basic idea.

And then I worked at a firm called Landmark Communications for several years after that where we worked on statewide campaigns all the way down to city level campaigns.

So I have essentially worked in almost every level of campaign you can work with, mainly within the State of Georgia.

And in 2019, once the -- well, once Secretary-elect Raffensperger -- I was part of the transition team. And it was sort of a Dick Cheney moment where they decided to -- I was on
a transition team. They decided to make me the chief operating officer within the organization. That was the job I had pretty much since January of '19.

So sort of a compressed bio, but you get the basics. BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Understood.

And one thing you left out, have you, yourself, been an elected official within the State of Georgia?
A. Yes. I was elected March 15, 2011, to Sandy Springs city council in District 4. And I served there through January of 2018.
Q. Okay. Focusing now on your role with Secretary

Raffensperger since I believe you said 2019, do you attend any conferences with other secretaries of state across the country?
A. Several. We -- traditionally we go to the National Association of Secretary of States' Conference, which they have a winter conference and a summer conference. Those -- the winter conferences are always in Washington, D.C.

And they are done -- for the winter conferences, they are done in conjunction with the National Association of State Elections Directors. So the vendors kind of cross there, and we have a lot of interaction with other elections officials beyond just the Secretary of States themselves.

Then because of what has happened through 2020 and 2022, I have attended numerous other county elections conferences
throughout the United States, California, Idaho, Rhode Island -- let's see -- it has been a few that I go to speak to.
Q. And at those conferences are there panels or presentations on cybersecurity?
A. Essentially I can't remember one that I have been to where there hasn't been discussion on cybersecurity.
Q. Mr. Sterling, are you familiar with an organization referred to as GAVREO?
A. GAVREO?
Q. GAVREO. Thank you.
A. $G-A-V-R-E-O$.
Q. That's it.
A. The Georgia Association of Voting Registrars and Elections Officials, yes.
Q. What is that?
A. That is essentially the association of the individuals who run elections in the State. That goes from the superintendents which are generally elections boards, but also probate judges, and then there are elections directors and staffs.

We have, I think, the second largest group of that in the United States. Texas being the largest because they have a few more counties than we do. But we have one of the largest ones in the United States.
Q. And when you say those officials, are those county officials or state officials?
A. They are county officials who are members of the organization, but they put on the conference in conjunction with the Secretary's office because of training and essentially how we have to work together on these items.
Q. Have you attended any of the training sessions?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you involved in the deployment of the Dominion voting equipment in Georgia?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What was your involvement?
A. I was essentially the project manager. I was the voting system implementation manager for the voting system of Georgia.
Q. Are you familiar with House Bill 316 from the 2019 legislative session?
A. Of course.
Q. Did House Bill 316 impose any deadlines on the Secretary's office regarding the deployment of voting equipment?
A. We needed to have it in place by the presidential preference primary, which was set for March of 2020.
Q. Okay. And roughly when, if you recall, did House Bill 316 become law?
A. It would have been signed by Governor Kemp in March or April. But we actually put the request for proposal into the field not upon his signature but upon the passage by the Senate of the final version of the bill to match the House knowing
that the Governor was going to sign it because we had such a limited time to do the procurement process and do the deployment after that.
Q. Roughly how long did you have to procure and deploy the new Dominion equipment?
A. We had to deploy and train. We couldn't just have it there on presidential preference election day. So in real life ten months, give or take.
Q. And looking ahead to 2020, anything make that more challenging come about February or March?
A. Well, here is the good part about in terms of the deploying side. We got the first pieces of equipment in -starting in October of 2019. And then we had an internal goal in my mind of February 1st, but we actually got the final truck rolled out of the initial purchase on February 14 th.

About three weeks -- well, during that time we started hearing something about a virus hitting in Asia. And the PPP, the early voting began in February. And the second week of that was when the health care emergency was declared by both Governor Kemp and President Trump.
Q. Just for the record, when you say PPP, what do you mean?
A. Presidential preference primary.
Q. Were you able to meet the deadline imposed by the General Assembly?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Mr. Sterling, I would now like to draw your attention to the 2020 general election in November.

Do you recall after that election questions about the integrity of Georgia's election process?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What were the nature of those criticisms or attacks depending on --
A. They were various and sundry. I mean, all the way from stolen absentee ballots to machines flipping votes, algorithms, the fractional votes. I mean, the more farcical ones of transmitting votes to Germany and Spain and Italian space lasers sending them back through the thermostats on machines. Down to people taking handfuls and armfuls of ballots into the concession area in Fulton County to change them. Let's see. Suitcases full of ballots coming out from underneath.

I could go on for several hours, but I think the general people basically understand the level and nature of most of those.
Q. Did $I$ hear you correctly that the criticisms were both about the voting equipment, the technology, but also paper ballots?

MR. CROSS: Objection. Leading. And inconsistent with what he just said.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Were the attacks after the November 2020 election limited

```
to the voter -- or the voting technology, the new Dominion
```

system?
A. No. And as I said earlier, ballots under the -- being brought out from underneath, those were hand-marked paper ballots.

In the Fulton County case where there was claim they were taken into the lunch area, also hand-marked paper ballots. So it was across the board of every single type, because that was the situation at the time.
Q. Were absentee ballots -- or was there anything unique about the 2020 election and the use of absentee ballots?
A. In the nature of the way they were used, they were consistent with the existing law. The situation was -historically in Georgia we had been an in-person voting state.

So one of the things, we had a decision in -- I want to say late March to use the existing laws and send out prefilled with a barcode on them to match the voter's name and pull them directly up into the screen absentee ballot request forms because we were a no excuse absentee state. And we still are.

And we sent those out to every active voter, which was a little over 7 million. And we made the decision in late March, and I think by April 14th or 15 th we were able to get all them out the door, in large part because the large printers that were there had a lot of cancellations from travel companies, specifically Delta canceled all their print runs, so we were
able to do that with the variable data and get it out the door, like I said, pretty quickly.

And for that we initially used HAVA money before the CARES money had passed. That was the coronavirus funds from the federal government. So then we switched those dollars out and used the CARES money for the absentee ballot program.

Secondarily to that, historically counties had been the fulfillment arm for doing absentee ballots. What we did was we worked through Dominion, our vendor, to get a subcontractor with Runbeck in Arizona who took the burden off the counties.

And we as a State decided the counties were burdened with having to deal with personnel issues more than anything. And the cost, it would have been way out of the normal range what they would have had to do to fulfill those. So the State decided to use those federal dollars and take that on to take that burden off of the county.

And a secondary reason for us doing that is we had massive concerns they wouldn't be able to fulfill. And if they did fulfill, they would likely fulfill with many of the wrong ballot styles, which could have potentially disenfranchised voters in the primary from receiving the correct full ballot.

And there was a lot of quality assurance tools in Runbeck that helped to assure that they got the correct ballot style. Because in the June primary, because we had -- we suspended the March presidential preference primary. But there had already
been around 280-some-odd thousand voters that had cast votes.
THE COURT: Let me just hold you there.
Going too fast for you?
COURT REPORTER: He is. And we have had a long talk
about it.
THE WITNESS: I thought I was going pretty good this
time. So ...
THE COURT: I thought it was more understandable, but we are, unfortunately, at 4:30. And as fast as our wonderful court reporter is, there is only so much she can do.

So just a little slower.
I'm going to hand you this note.
THE WITNESS: I'm efforting very -- as hard as I can, Your Honor. But I will try harder.
(There was a brief pause in the proceedings.)
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. I think $I$ can ask and move it a little bit.

To your recollection, was there a state of emergency in place during the summer of 2020?
A. Yes.
Q. And also for the record, you talked about HAVA money. Can you just explain what that is?
A. HAVA money is federal dollars that are given to states to spend for elections. It stands for the Help America Vote Act, which was originally passed after the presidential election in

2000 to help modernize and professionalize elections across the United States.
Q. All right. Do you recall there being any significance to a voter's signature as it relates to absentee ballots in the 2020 primary and general election?
A. The signature is used, at that time, for two specific purposes. A signature has to be present on the request form and a signature has to be present on the returned absentee ballot envelopes so that you can identify, make sure that that individual voter is the one who requested that ballot originally.
Q. Okay. After the November 2020 general election, did the Secretary's office take any action to address expressed concerns that voters had about the integrity of the election?
A. We attempted to be hyper transparent and communicative to the point where we were doing sometimes two press conferences a day. We also used the existing law that allowed us to do audits, which we had already previously decided internally to do a risk-limiting audit, and chose to do the hand re-tally of the presidential race. Those were some of the big items that we did.

We also contracted with Pro V\&V to go and do selective hash value tests in different counties on different equipment to make sure that they had the correct hash value to show that nothing had been tampered with on the software side.
I'm trying to think what else we -- we also -- in Cobb
County we did, in conjunction with the Georgia Bureau of
Investigation, a review of signature matching because there was
a claim from an elections temporary worker in cobb County they
had been instructed not to use the signatures as
identification.

And in that, they reviewed 15,000-plus absentee ballot signatures and they found that none were incorrect. They found two that should have been cured. Because one of the changes between the 2018 election and the 2020 election is a ballot cure provision was put into the law that if there was an issue in identifying the voter they were given a period of time to cure those absentee ballots and prove they were who they said they were.

MR. CROSS: Your Honor, just for the sake of efficiency, we spent the entirety on the examination so far on the November 2020 election. We are not contesting the outcome of that election. So if we could get an understanding of the relevance.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, the administration of elections, the challenges to the election either on hand-marked paper ballots or cyber -- or machine-based are relevant.

In addition to that, Mr. Sterling has already testified given -- well, I mean, I can answer this with him in the room. But the -- about problems or unique aspects of the

2020 election as it relates to absentee ballots given that we have allegations that absentee ballots are cumbersome or somehow burdensome.

He just talked about audits.
And I think all of this is relevant to the case. If -- I fail to see how it is not relevant. It is what is the State doing. It also speaks to what is going on in November, December, January, et cetera, of 2020.

MR. CROSS: Your Honor, just briefly, if we weren't approaching 5:00 today, I would say let him have at it, but --

MR. BELINFANTE: Then, Your Honor --
MR. CROSS: Hold on. Let me finish, Josh.
We spent a lot of the day on other things, and now we're addressing an issue that is not in dispute. And it is all four years ago. Right.

So the question before the Court is what are the measures that are in place today that protect the future. And he is talking about things they did to verify the 2020 outcome. So just for efficiency, I think we can move beyond that.

THE COURT: Well, they are entitled to put on a defense. I think this is a little more than what we need. But if you really want to go down this path, maybe we should start tomorrow then.

MR. BELINFANTE: I'm fine to do that, Your Honor.
MR. CROSS: Wait to do what?

THE COURT: Then maybe we should start tomorrow.
MR. CROSS: I would rather let him go and do what he is going to do so we maximize the time. Because we have got to close on Thursday. I don't think anybody thought we were going to do a whole day on Ms. Marks.

THE COURT: How much more do you have here? I mean,
I know --
MR. BELINFANTE: I'm done with 2020 election in like
five more questions. I will have questions about 2022 -THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. BELINFANTE: -- you know, but yeah. I mean ... THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Mr. Sterling, you said a moment ago that there were audits conducted. And I believe your testimony was we used audits.

Who actually conducted the audits in November 2020?
A. The counties.
Q. Okay. Did the Secretary's office consult with anyone about how to conduct the audits in 2020?
A. We did a contract with Verified Voting with Ben Adida.
Q. Okay. Does the Secretary's office maintain a relationship with Verified Voting?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Why?
A. Because we by law have to institute RLAs.
Q. Okay. Do State officials currently conduct audits of elections?
A. State officials do not conduct the audit, no. But we contract with Verified Voting to use the Arlo tool to allow the counties to do that.
Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding of whether the Secretary has the authority to order counties to conduct more audits, sitting here today?
A. We cannot.
Q. Okay. I think you said Verified Voting was with

Mr. Adida. Did you mean something else?
A. Oh. VotingWorks. My fault. It is late in the day. VotingWorks is his company. My fault.
Q. All right. Mr. Sterling, I am going to -- if you can, are you familiar with the Secretary of State's office's decision in Spalding County in 2021 in an issue involving servers?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What was done in Spalding County in 2021 regarding servers?
A. The -- there was a concern brought to us by the county that they believe a door had been left unlocked where the election management system resided, their Express server. THE COURT: Now, you're going fast and I'm having trouble. Go ahead. Just -THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: -- what happened in Spalding County?
THE WITNESS: There was a concern that was raised that a door had been left unlocked where the EMS lived, where the election management system resided.

Out of an abundance of caution, we exchanged that server out and brought the other server back to the Center for Elections in Cobb County.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the firm SullivanStrickler?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you have any understanding of whether SullivanStrickler had access to the Spalding County servers?
A. I have seen no evidence of that fact.
Q. Do you recall who was the chairman of the Spalding County Board of Elections in 2021 when the Secretary acted as you just described?
A. His last name was Johnson. Ben. Ben Johnson. THE COURT: Ben.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Do you know anything about Mr. Johnson?
A. Again, it is my understanding, from public statements and social media, that he is a bit of an election denier and QAnon -- I don't know -- supporter, but he is done -- he is a QAnon type and a Stop the Steal type is my understanding.
Q. All right. Moving forward to the 2022 general election,

Mr. Sterling, as compared to the 2020 general election, did the Secretary's office receive the same volume of challenges to the integrity of the election in 2022?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did the state deploy any new equipment for the 2022 general election that was not present during the 2020 general election?
A. We did a large scale pilot in about a third of the state of the new cellular-based Poll Pads, which are the check-in devices for the voting system.
Q. And are those Poll Pads in use today?
A. We have subsequent to that now purchased and changed out all the Poll Pads to be the cellular-based Poll Pads, yes.
Q. Okay. And to the best of your knowledge, did the General Assembly change laws governing absentee ballots that went into effect at least by the 2022 election?
A. Yes, sir. And the Election Integrity Act, there were several changes made around them. One of the largest being, as far as we were concerned, on the training side because we were using the driver's license number as the verifier of identity on those. And also on the absentee ballot portal, which is the online way you can request an absentee ballot, use a driver's license number as well.

And in that they required, essentially, a wet signature to be scanned and sent in. So -- and you can use the last four of
the Social for the return or the driver's license number. But in order to do the request, it had to be the driver's license number. And that is the way the law sits today.
Q. Okay. What is the significance, if any, of the signature of a voter as it relates to absentee ballots today and going forward in Georgia elections?
A. It is less significant because it is not used as the identifier. You still have to sign the oath the same way you sign the oath when you vote in person.
Q. All right. Let's -- if I -- what does the phrase election security mean to you?
A. It is kind of a broad -- it is a series of different various things depending on the context in which you are asking the question.
Q. What are some aspects that go into election security?

MR. CROSS: Your Honor, as long as he's not offering this as an expert, $I$ don't have an objection.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, it is not as an expert.
It is as an administrator.
THE WITNESS: In election administration --
THE COURT: I'm sorry. I just need to stop for one
moment.
(There was a brief pause in the proceedings.)
THE COURT: I'm sorry.
MR. BELINFANTE: That's okay.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Mr. Sterling, what are some of the factors or aspects that go into what you consider to be election security?
A. Physical security. Chain of custody of the equipment and ballots and ballot paper. The transport of paper from the manufacturer to the counties. Inspections of facilities that hold them. Cybersecurity. Training around cybersecurity. Cyber hygiene.

Trying to make sure that -- I mean, it is -- because it is different aspects to the voting systems altogether. There's security around the voter registration system, around the machines, around, you know, access to the EMS, which is governed by law and rule.

I mean, it is a very -- it is a lot of different things that when you take them altogether it is processes and procedures. It is training. And there is a terminology used called defense in depth where you take all these processes and procedures and all the steps you take together with the personnel and training -- it's kind of soup to nuts, everything feeds into the security of some level. Cybersecurity is obviously part of it. But it is a lot of different things. Q. All right. Between the 2020 general election and the 2022 general election, did the Secretary's office do anything to enhance election security as you defined it?
A. We have --

MR. CROSS: Objection, Your Honor. I don't believe this has been disclosed in discovery. We covered this earlier.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, I think the question is what was arguably not disclosed in discovery, which we again don't agree with, was specific responses to the CISA or Dr. Halderman report. He has just now defined election security far more broadly than that.

MR. CROSS: Well, this is the first time we have heard Mr. Sterling define election security at all. He is not offering it as an expert. So I'm not sure what the relevance is. But if they are going to have him talk about specific mitigation measures, that was required to be disclosed either in response to our discovery requests or under Rule 26.

It has never been a secret they were going to rely on him as a witness and Rule 26 is clear. They have to identify the witness, the topics, and they have to provide any discovery that goes along with that.

We have never seen this.
MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, they have taken two 30 (b) (6) depositions of Mr. Sterling. To say that they have not had access to information is simply irreconcilable with the record, number one.

Number two, there have been over -- I can't imagine how many documents produced in this case. It is wrong to say that they have no idea what the State has been doing. Half the
notebooks we have, Your Honor, are filled with articles, SEB meetings, and other things, all of which the plaintiffs have had notice of.

To say that a witness cannot testify about any actions taken on election security, which he has denied broadly, and not just what Dr. Halderman reported in Michigan, which was the dispute earlier, is simply wrong.

MR. CROSS: That is not our position. I asked this witness in October of 2022 -- we can pull it up -- what steps they had taken to implement the CISA advisory. He is bound by that testimony.

If Mr. Belinfante wants to have him reiterate that testimony, that's fine. I have no objection to that.

THE COURT: Well, as I understand what you are stating, Mr. Cross, is you are saying that the witness did not -- had nothing to respond or offered nothing in response concrete to your request as to what they did in light of CISA's recommendations in the report.

As I understand what Mr. Belinfante is saying is I'm asking him about other security matters broadly defined in a range of ways that are not necessarily cybersecurity.

MR. CROSS: Okay. Then I guess --
THE COURT: Did I --

MR. BELINFANTE: That's right, Your Honor. Yeah.
I -- candidly, I think we've addressed the CISA issues, and I
was not seeking to have that -- that is why I asked him to define election security to begin with.

And so because he defined it as a ton of different things, that was the purpose of the question is to find out just generally. I don't expect the witness to go into literally everything, but --

MR. CROSS: And the confusion came from he included cybersecurity and cyber hygiene. So if he's not going to get into any steps on cybersecurity, cyber hygiene, Halderman, CISA, then we can see where it goes.

MR. BELINFANTE: With that, if that -- because I took the objection to be more broad. I can rephrase the question and perhaps --

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
MR. BELINFANTE: -- assist with that.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Other than cybersecurity as articulated by Dr. Halderman and reflected in a CISA recommendation, did the Secretary's office take steps between the 2020 general election and the 2022 general election to address election security concerns?

And I don't need all of them, Mr. Sterling.
A. Okay.

MR. CROSS: Cybersecurity in general, is that where we are?

MR. BELINFANTE: Excluding the Halderman/CISA
mitigation efforts as identified.
THE WITNESS: We partnered with the Department of Homeland Security to do physical inspections on every county as to where the equipment is held and look at those.

THE COURT: Can you speak up a little bit more? Thank you.

THE WITNESS: I'm trying to speak -- when I speak up, I go faster. So I'm trying to gauge myself on how to do that.

One of the largest things that we did was we felt that the voter registration system was a -- something that had to be addressed for two reasons. One, it was old -- aging, and aging systems tend to have more problems -- if I can say the word cybersecurity, from a cybersecurity side and from a functioning side.

And with the passage of $S B 202$, there were specific things called for in that law that could not be done in the former election night voter registration system.

So we started with a vendor and chose a platform in 2021 and built out a whole new system. Inside of that system we made changes to how people could access it.

In the old system they had to have -- they had multiple people under the same emails with the same passwords. Now we've individualized it. And even one other addition we did to that -- as of Sunday night, you might have seen in the news, Fulton County had a ransomware attack. When we heard
there was a possibility of it, we were able to turn on a tool there that basically shuts down all of their county users so they can't access our system while they mitigate and figure out what is going on with that.

I was supposed to be on a call today -- but obviously
I was here -- to hear about what where we stood on that.
That's a rather large one.
We have done more training on this. We also -- our investigators, when they go down, they kind of make sure that the log is being kept for people to go into the EMS contained locked area. It is -- again, it is something we talk about and stress all the time.

So that's -- in the broadest sense, we do physical. We have done cyber. We have done training. We have made other changes that I'm told I now can't talk about. So -- but those are broad questions of security.

We emphasize it all the time with the counties. Because the counties, at the end of the day, have all this equipment and they have the bodies who are dealing with the equipment and the voter. They interact with all these systems. So helping to train them up. And we've gotten them a baseline of training as well that we pay for at the state level for cybersecurity and cyber hygiene as well.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Mr. Sterling, in fairness, I think my question was about
between the general election of 2020 and the general election of 2022.

Did I understand you to go beyond the 2022 election in terms of the Secretary's efforts?
A. The only additional thing we did -- that stuff was all in between.
Q. Okay.
A. We have done DHS in between then and since then as well. The Department of Homeland Security inspections.
Q. Okay. All right. Do you recall being asked by Mr. Cross on cross-examination a week or so ago whether, quote, the vulnerabilities that Dr. Halderman identified in his July 2021 report should be mitigated?
A. Yes.
Q. If I told you that it has been said the State did not attempt any mitigation efforts, would you agree with that statement?
A. Of course not.
Q. Do you recall Mr. Cross asking you about phone calls you had with Dominion about Dr. Halderman's findings or the CISA advisory?
A. Yes.
Q. Why would you call Dominion about cybersecurity issues?
A. In large part because it was their system and they are our contractor. And the contract would obviously put

```
responsibilities on them to a degree to help us mitigate
``` anything that might be a vulnerability or handle it through one way, shape, or form.
Q. Does the Secretary's office deem itself bound by what Dominion suggests?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall Mr. Cross asking you about an August 2021 Sandy Springs Perimeter Chamber of Commerce event?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall being asked a question about election security at that event?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall who asked you the question?
A. My state representative, Shea Roberts.
Q. To your knowledge, does your state representative represent clients against the State?
A. That is my understanding.
Q. Okay. And do you recall Mr. Cross asking you whether your stated reaction at that meeting was to say that Dr. Halderman's report was, quote, a load of crap?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And to be fair, in August of 2021, had you read Dr. Halderman's report?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Let me ask you a question that Mr. Cross did not
then. Why did you say that at the Perimeter Chamber of Commerce meeting?

Excuse me, Sandy Springs --
A. Sandy Springs.

The -- I think technically it is Sandy Springs Perimeter Chamber and now it is just Perimeter Chamber. There is some fight about the name.

I had been in election administration, at that point, for several years. And obviously Dr. Halderman was a known entity within that world. And from my understanding of having multiple conversations with people, he had told Secretary Clinton to contest the 2016 election because of the potential hacking of Russians, of machines.

He is viewed, from my understanding -- no election administration people that \(I\) know would consider him to be somebody reliable to work with on these kind of issues.

MR. CROSS: Objection, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: He's --
MR. CROSS: Character assault. It is all hearsay.
MR. BROWN: It is all hearsay, multiple hearsay. He is saying other people have told him, and so he is saying that for the truth of what the other people have told him. It is completely hearsay.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, he's --
MR. CROSS: Mr. Belinfante knows Juan Gilbert's
testimony is in the record that Dr. Halderman is the leading expert on this and whom he would turn to for a cybersecurity assessment.

MR. BELINFANTE: For hacking.
MR. CROSS: Which is what his report is about.
MR. BELINFANTE: Mr. Sterling is testifying. Let me
try to answer the objections.
THE COURT: I think that he can answer this
without -- I mean, he can speak to his feelings about him from 2016. But I think that, frankly, to have on the record anything that would be quite as -- if you want to say this and we want to put it under seal, I would consider that.

But mostly, I mean, there is nothing that's factually based. You can say -- and you can suggest something to me. But I don't think -- I don't think that that fairly says why -even explains his comment. I mean, it may be his gut feeling.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, if I may, the plaintiffs have made a lot of arguments about Mr. Sterling's statement. It was in their opening argument. They never asked him to explain why he did it. If they are going to use that, he is entitled to explain why he did it. He is not testifying about what other people told him for the truth of the matter asserted. It is to explain his conduct.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let him explain his
conduct. But is it really right to -- go ahead, Counsel.
MR. CROSS: I was just going to say, Your Honor
he -- first of all, what Mr. Belinfante is saying is not
accurate. He has been asked to explain that, including in a
deposition. And I think that testimony may already be in.
    But more importantly, I don't have any problem if the
witness wants to say people provided information to me that led
me to reach that conclusion. What he can't do is go the next
step and say what all that hearsay and stuff is. That is where
he is trying to go.
    But if -- no problem if he just says I relied on
something somebody told me and that is where I landed because I
hadn't read the report.
    THE COURT: Well, that is a little bland, but --
    MR. CROSS: That is the nicest thing anyone has ever
said to me.
    MR. BELINFANTE: I think the witness has given his
answer. I'll try to move it along.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Mr. Sterling --
                            MR. CROSS: We're moving to strike that answer, to be
clear.

MR. BELINFANTE: Oh, well, then, yeah, we would object to that for all the reasons \(I\) have said.

MR. CROSS: I don't have a problem if he asked the question again and we get an answer that doesn't get into
hearsay.
MR. BELINFANTE: He's entitled to express the basis of his opinion. The hearsay would be if it is for the truth of the matter asserted, which we're not using it for. It is to explain conduct that has been made an issue in this case.

MR. CROSS: We'll defer to Your Honor as to what weight you give it.

THE COURT: What?
MR. CROSS: We will defer to Your Honor on what weight, if any, you give it so we can move on.

THE COURT: Well, I have a problem. If he doesn't have any personal knowledge of what he said about the 2016 election, I think that that is an improper statement in terms of -- he has no personal knowledge of it, then it is -- but it is what it is.

I don't know what -- it is really not even -- what he may have told Secretary Clinton is not relevant here. So I'm going to strike that sentence from the record.

You know, from his perspective, he can say that people have told him from the people he knows that he is not reliable. That is -- I mean, it is not substantive evidence in the sense it is obviously beyond hearsay. We don't know who he is talking to. But if you want to offer that as an explanation, that is yours. But it is hearsay.

MR. BELINFANTE: Okay.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Mr. Sterling, when deciding --

THE COURT: So I will not consider it for the truth of anything.

MR. BELINFANTE: Yeah. We're not asking the Court
to. Yeah. Sure.
THE COURT: And we'll strike the sentence I have
identified.
MR. BELINFANTE: Fine.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Mr. Sterling, when considering questions of election policy, does the Secretary's office limit its review and analysis to cybersecurity?
A. Of course not.
Q. Okay. Does the Secretary's office consider

Dr. Halderman's work to be limited to cybersecurity?
A. Yes. And only cybersecurity.
Q. What other factors does the Secretary's office have to consider when deciding questions of election policy?
A. The main ones being the law we have to follow, both federal and state. Feasibility. Trainability. Usability by the voters. Usability by the county elections officials. Repeatability. Ease of use.

Because you can create the most secure system on the planet that nobody can vote on or we can have the most open
system in the world that is completely insecure. You have to balance between those things.

And cybersecurity is this narrow scope right here and we have to deal with voters, elections, building ballots. How do you -- how do all these things interplay. Cost.

Like I said, repeatability and training are giant things we have to take into account because we have, in the State of Georgia, counties the size of Fulton that are highly resourced but have lots of management issues down to Taliaferro County which has I think a half-time employee. And we have to set up rules with the State Election Board and training that all of them can use.

So it is -- a lot of different factors feed into -cybersecurity being obviously part of it. But it is not the only and controlling thing that exists.
Q. And how many full-time employees are in the Secretary's Election Division?
A. I think currently we are funded for 23. I could be off by one or two either way.
Q. Okay. Moving on, are you familiar, Mr. Sterling, with the Bipartisan Policy Center?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is that?
A. It is a -- it is sort of a think tank organization based out of DC. That is what it says it is. It is a bipartisan
group that looks at policies overall in various different things. It was founded by two sets of Senate majority leaders -- let me see, Bob Dole, Howard Baker, Tom Daschle and George Mitchell -- in the mid 2000s.
Q. Has it reviewed Georgia elections?
A. One of the sections they have focus on is elections in general, and they have looked at the laws and election administration of all 50 states and the District of Columbia, yes.
Q. Okay. Did that review cause you any concern?
A. No.
Q. Why?
A. Because they ranked us as tied with Colorado for number one in the country for election administration.
Q. Mr. Sterling, I'm going to ask you to now address some of the competing ideas of remedial policy measures in -- at issue in this case. I'm going start with some questions about the BMDs.

Do you have a general understanding of the State's contract with Dominion?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Presume, for argument's sake, that plaintiffs asked the Court to enjoin the State's use of proposed election system, which is defined as in precinct scanner/tabulators for 2D barcodes generated by BMDs pursuant to the State's contract
```

with Dominion.

```

Presume they asked the Court to enjoin the State's use of proposed election system as I just defined it for future elections.

What would --
MR. CROSS: Are you asking him to presume this?
MR. BELINFANTE: Yes.
MR. CROSS: This is a hypothetical?
MR. BELINFANTE: Well, it is based on y'all's third amended complaint Paragraph 132 and 71. That is where the language came from.

MR. CROSS: Okay.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. But yes, I'm asking you to presume that that is what has been asked.

What would happen in terms of election administration in Georgia if the Court prevented the State from using the in precinct scanners/tabulators for 2D barcodes generated by BMDs?

MR. CROSS: Calls for speculation.
THE WITNESS: As I understand your reading of it -oh, sorry.

MR. CROSS: Did you say scanners?
MR. BELINFANTE: I said in precinct
scanners/tabulators for 2D barcodes generated by BMDs.
MR. CROSS: I would also argue it's irrelevant.

We're not seeking that relief.
MR. BELINFANTE: That is in the Curling third amended complaint Paragraphs 132 and 71.

MR. CROSS: We have been clear in trial we are not seeking to enjoin the use of scanners.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the pleadings are overtaken by the pretrial order.

MR. BELINFANTE: Over what? I'm sorry.
MR. BROWN: By the pretrial order, as a matter of law.

MR. BELINFANTE: All right. Well, we won't -- I'll move on from what the plaintiffs asked for.

THE COURT: They have been pretty clear about this in
here. I mean, maybe to my surprise at times. But they have been clear that they have not been seeking this particular form of relief.

MR. BELINFANTE: All right.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. What would -- do you have an understanding of whether Georgia law requires BMD usage for in-person voting whether advanced voting or an election day?
A. Current law requires it for both purposes.
Q. Okay. And if the Court were to prevent the State from enforcing that requirement, how would that impact election administration in Georgia?
A. In the upcoming election?
Q. In November 2024. Yes. Forget the primary, November 2024.

MR. CROSS: Objection. Speculation, Your Honor.
MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, he has certainly demonstrated his familiarity with the Dominion equipment, with administering elections in Georgia. I think he can say what would happen in this case.

Now, if the plaintiffs want to stipulate that there is no way to determine what their now proposed relief is, then I think we can shorten the hearing pretty quickly.

MR. BROWN: I don't understand that statement.
MR. CROSS: I'm just preserving an objection. Your
Honor can take it for what it is worth.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, did you have anything more?
MR. BROWN: We do not object to the question, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: All right.
Go ahead.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Do you need me to repeat the question?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. If the Court were to prevent the State from enforcing that uniformity requirement, use of BMDs on advanced election day -- or advanced voting and election day voting, how
would that impact election administration in the State for the November 2024 election?
A. If I'm going to be frank about it, I think it would be impossible not -- it would be very, very difficult. It would cause a slew of issues. And I about guarantee people would get the wrong ballots and there would be disenfranchisement.

We haven't had a chance to train or set rules on how to deal with hand-marked paper. And it would be a huge burden on the counties who have not budgeted for anything along those fronts. It would be -- my mind, it would be nightmarish.
Q. Does the State have an interest in using the current Dominion BMD equipment?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that interest?
A. Again, the uniformity brings a lot of advantages, for training and for usage, \(I\) mean -- and, frankly, to protect voters. And the early voting environment especially, but also in the election day environment, you are assured, especially now with the introduction of our cellular-based Poll Pads, that every voter who is voting in person is getting the exact right ballot style.

If we move away from that, there is no guarantee and there is no way of actually knowing if an individual voter got the correct ballot or not. With the current -- with the new cellular-based Poll Pads we can do that.

THE COURT: With the new --
THE WITNESS: Cellular-based Poll Pads.
We're the first state in the United States. We now
can have a guarantee of that by -- we can look at the log files.

The only thing to get in the way of that is if an individual at the county level didn't district a voter properly. And obviously human beings are the biggest fail points in every election ever because we are the most flawed part of the systems.

Secondarily, the cost aspect for the counties. Under the current system, 95 percent or so of voting is done on the eight and a half by eleven BMD ballots that we in the State contract locked in at a cost of 13 cents per ballot for counties. If we go away from that, it is an unknowable cost.

It is just -- it would be highly difficult to do. We don't have rules. We don't have time to do training on any of this because we are in elections literally as we speak.

The UOCAVA overseas ballots went out last weekend. We are in this period of time through March. The qualifying for the next election is the first week of March. We will begin building ballots literally that week. Some structural things are already being done on that front.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may interrupt. It is not responsive. The question was for the 2024 -- I thought it
was for the ' 24 November election. Maybe I'm mistaken. He's talking about the current UOCAVA --

THE COURT: All right.
MR. BROWN: -- ballots that are not for the 2024
presidential election.
THE WITNESS: My point in that is that we are in the election season now. November of 2024 does not stand by itself.

MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE WITNESS: It is human beings who do that --

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I object to relevance. We are not seeking an injunction for March.

THE COURT: Your request is for an injunction relative to the conduct in the November election.

MR. BROWN: I'm not sure it is limited to just
November, but he's --

THE COURT: Well, November or thereafter.

MR. BROWN: No. November and it might be prior to that, but it is not right -- the one going on right now.

And it wasn't responsive to the question. The question was what would be the impact to the State if you have to change for November 2024. And he is answering an entirely different question, which may also be objectionable, but it wasn't even asked.

BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Mr. Sterling, does the UOCAVA ballots, as you just described, impact -- have a relevancy for the November 2024 general election?
A. If I had been allowed to finish my answer on this, we are in an election now. The individual human beings who run the elections of the counties are essentially in the election environment all the way through now through December, if there is a runoff in any of these elections of 2024.

There is no set time or place where we can work on training, changing rules, doing all that stuff. There is simply not time allowed to do it. And if you tried it without doing all those things, it would be massive failure in November of 2024. And that is why it is a relevant answer to that question.

And it shows, again, a lack of understanding of how elections have to be built on top of one another.
Q. Slow down. Slow down.

Mr. Sterling, if a county -- if the Court -- the State were not able to enforce the uniformity requirement and a county wanted to not use the BMD system, who would pay for the shift, so to speak, to whatever else they would use?
A. The counties.

MR. BROWN: Object. Assumes there would be additional costs.

THE WITNESS: There would absolutely be additional costs.

THE COURT: I'm going to allow him to answer.
BY MR. BELINFANTE:
Q. Would there be additional costs?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what would those additional costs be?
A. Paper, paper management, potentially more mobile ballot printers. I think it would likely require more personnel, especially on early voting, which is one of the most expensive lifts we have.

To claim there aren't any extra costs is, again, a total misunderstanding of how election administration is done.
Q. And who would bear the brunt of those costs? The State or the counties?
A. The counties would singularly bear that cost.
Q. All right. If the Court were to order in the

November 2024 election that it would have to proceed allowing the use of the BMDs but without the use of the \(Q R\) code, is that something that could be done by November of 2024?
A. That is not possible to be done for some of the exact same reasons I listed out before. You could only change the software if you are not in an election environment. Because 5.17 and 5.5 are not compatible. So you can't build ballots on one and use it on the other.

And you have to move entire counties at a time to do that. You can't have -- you could -- but it would be a nightmare -run part 5.5 part 5.17, which is when we have looked at 5.17, we said the earliest we could potentially do it, if we received the funding, is to begin, at the earliest, December '24, likely in January of ' 25.

In large part, too, because we did a pilot --
MR. CROSS: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interject. But now, again the issue of the \(Q R\) code was specifically raised by Dr. Halderman as well as CISA. We're now getting a response on why they couldn't mitigate the \(Q R\) code, including software 5.17, there has never been discovery on.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, the question came from the Court's summary judgment order. I'm not recalling from CISA seeing something about the \(Q R\) code being removed from the ballot. I am happy to stand corrected. But I remember walking through those efforts, and I just -- I don't recall what Mr. Cross is talking about.

MR. CROSS: There's two different CISA advisories. Just put that aside. One addresses the QR codes. Dr. Halderman clearly addresses the \(Q R\) codes. And we have a discovery request that goes specifically --

THE COURT: You have a discovery --
MR. CROSS: We have an interrogatory we have
```

addressed before. I think it is the second set of

```
interrogatories from 2021. And we just heard that they were not going to get into mitigations of what Dr. Halderman identified.

The \(Q R\) code is one of the biggest focuses of his report. And this is the first we've heard on anything on this.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, this is not a mitigation
issue. This is a question of -- because the mitigation presumes that there is a vulnerability that needs to be addressed.

This is an election administration question that goes to what the Court said would be something it could potentially order. It has nothing to do with Dr. Halderman.

MR. CROSS: Why else would the Court order that? The only reason the Court would order that is because you are convinced that we have met our burden that there is a vulnerability on the \(Q R\) code that rises to a constitutional violation.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, we --
THE COURT: I'm going to tell you this, I think we have to at this point because I cannot -- we have really been going at it. And I have given you my best. But I -- we're going in circles at this point. And I don't think I can be as helpful to you or in -- so I think we just -- I will take this -- you know, you can explain it more in -- without the witness or everyone here. We can talk for a few minutes and
you can try to explain to me what you-all -- or you can do it now.

But I don't think -- you are welcome to finish the conversation and I'll think about it. And that probably -- why don't you go ahead and finish the argument. Then I'll rule on it, and I'll think about it overnight and go back and look at what --

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, the only couple of things I would add: The interrogatory that Mr. Cross is discussing, we objected to. It was not -- as I understand it, it was not disputed. And I don't think that Mr. Sterling, or more importantly the State of Georgia, can be so hamstrung in a question about future prospective injunctive relief that it can't even hear what the election administration consequences are.

It is not responding to cybersecurity issues or Dr. Halderman. It is responding to what the Court said it could do.

MR. CROSS: I completely agree with what
Mr. Belinfante said that they should not be hamstrung. They should be able to put on whatever evidence they want as long as they comply with the discovery rules. And we will talk this through with Your Honor in the morning.

The last thing I will say is, it is not accurate that they only objected. They provided a very specific written
response which they chose not to supplement.
That is the end of it. I'm happy to talk more --
THE COURT: This is the one we were talking about before?

MR. CROSS: Correct.
THE COURT: You are saying they didn't supplement as to any -- and would you just -- because I looked at it a lot before, but I don't have it because we have moved a lot since then.

MR. CROSS: Right. We can provide it.
THE COURT: And you were asking for basically any updated information in his letter to you.

MR. BELINFANTE: Right. Which by its nature is not the question I asked. The question is if that happened how would it impact election security or how would it impact election administration.

If I had asked him have you done anything to address Dr. Halderman or the CISA report, that would be different. This is --

THE COURT: All right. So your question is simply then -- state it again for me just so I understand.

MR. BELINFANTE: If the Court -- and I want to be precise because I pulled the language from the summary judgment order.

If the Court were to order providing for the use of
printed ballots for vote counting without the use of \(Q R\) codes, how would that impact election administration in the November 2024 election?

And just to preview, I was going to ask on a going forward basis, you know, more detailed questions about cost and things like that. So I want to be transparent with everybody so we don't ask another question and end up in the same place tomorrow morning.

MR. CROSS: And that is helpful. I think where we may have missed each other is that was the question that was asked. The answer went into software about 5.17 versus 5.5.
5.17 I understand from what we read publicly is designed in some way to address things like the \(Q R\) code and maybe some other things. So that would be directly responsive to Dr. Halderman and those vulnerabilities.

As long as we're not getting into that, I don't have any problem with him guessing about what the world looks like without QR codes.

MR. BELINFANTE: And I think, Your Honor -- not to belabor the point. But I think there is -- we have had this discussion. But I don't think it would be good to have the conversation in front of the witness in terms of the significance of 5.17. So we can either pick it up tomorrow -but I do think it is similar to what -- without getting into the substance of the testimony, we have been down this road
with Mr. Evans.
MR. CROSS: If we talk just today, we may figure this out, because it sounds like you --

THE COURT: Maybe you can talk some more and simplify it some.

MR. CROSS: Yeah. We may work this out.
THE COURT: I'm very sorry to have to ask you to come back tomorrow.

MR. CROSS: I think that was happening, no matter
what, Judge.
THE WITNESS: I fully anticipated it, so I'm happy to be here tomorrow.

THE COURT: You fully anticipated. You say I have been with this crowd before.

All right. Can \(I\) excuse the witness?

Do you have anything else you wanted to wrap up that
was easy compared to this?
MR. BELINFANTE: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: How much more do you have with this
witness?

MR. BELINFANTE: In terms of pages, three.
MR. CROSS: Very small print. I can see it.
MR. BELINFANTE: Yeah. It is not secure. It is a paper ballot, that's why.

Honestly, I think the rest of it, because I don't
have -- as I have explained to plaintiffs' counsel, I have one document I'm going to use with the witness. So I don't think he is going to be on terribly much longer.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I'm going to excuse the witness. If counsel wish to talk with me, let me know now so I can stick around for a little while.

THE WITNESS: Do I leave now?
MR. BELINFANTE: You may leave now.
THE WITNESS: You tell me to leave.

THE COURT: Yes, you can leave.
MR. KNAPP: That was the shortest testimony ever.
THE WITNESS: It is interrupted. It keeps on going.
(The witness exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Was there anything else?
MR. BELINFANTE: Not for the State, Your Honor.

MR. CROSS: Nothing pressing today. We can let you go.

THE COURT: All right. I mean, we have some work to do still ourselves. So I just didn't want to start too much later.

Okay. I'll see you at 9:30 then.
MR. CROSS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
(The proceedings were thereby adjourned at 5:24
PM.)
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allegations [17] 81/2 82/23 105/10 125/13 129/9 140/9 140/17 141/1 143/12 143/16 143/18 144/25 146/22 147/3 155/19 172/12 222/2
alleged [2] 96/24 186/4
alleges [1] 67/12
alleging [1] 32/9
allow [10] 98/20 138/12 139/25 140/1 142/24 159/11 159/22 178/7 224/4 250/3
allowed [7] 9/10 42/19 106/10 163/11 220/17 249/5 249/12
allowing [2] 193/19 250/18
ALLOY [1] 3/7
almost [9] 36/11 46/2 48/6 86/6 93/4
153/6 171/6 198/9 211/21
alone [1] 84/16
along [6] 99/11 141/12 179/8 229/17 238/17 246/9
Alpharetta [1] 211/8
already [27] 40/9 42/22 47/4 79/21
90/11 90/16 94/7 102/21 105/21 106/14 110/25 111/23 128/24 141/24 142/5 149/14 152/3 152/6 167/18 173/11 197/1 197/3 218/25 220/18 221/23 238/4 247/23
also [54] 10/24 11/6 11/15 15/4 22/15 23/24 24/10 24/19 25/15 26/10 40/22 43/16 43/19 44/12 47/5 72/8 72/21 78/16 82/20 84/19 86/14 91/16 92/10 96/13 96/19 98/10 111/11 153/15 153/21 161/1 165/20 170/6 170/8 170/19 172/7 181/16 185/3 200/12 200/15 202/1 202/5 213/18 216/20 217/7 219/21 220/17 220/22 221/1 222/7 226/21 233/8 243/25 246/17 248/23
altered [1] 39/1
although [5] 75/21 161/20 165/15 172/9 203/8
altogether [2] 228/10 228/15
always [4] 50/25 53/20 187/20 212/18 am [23] 19/6 36/5 48/14 50/2 53/23 58/13 70/24 79/22 86/1 95/16 97/14 105/2 107/21 113/6 124/9 129/20 133/9 142/8 173/11 190/17 198/11 224/14 251/16
amend [1] 23/25
amended [5] 25/16 67/16 68/3 243/10 244/2

\section*{A}

Amendment [1] 150/3
America [2] 219/24 258/3
American [3] 71/4 142/17 142/22
among [1] 83/12
amount [3] 15/19 78/12 162/22
amounts [1] 26/16
AMY [1] 1/11
analysis [1] 240/13
ANDREU [1] \(2 / 10\)
ANDREU-VON [1] 2/10
Andrew [1] 100/17
anew [1] 99/3
annotation [1] 140/8
annotations [4] 136/8 136/9 139/11 140/22
announcing [1] 155/13
another [15] 37/15 53/2 81/25 85/18 111/13 112/12 135/13 151/18 161/22 169/25 193/2 197/13 206/8 249/17 255/7
answer [27] 34/12 37/16 40/17 53/15 53/17 55/1 119/7 121/14 122/6 123/23 123/24 156/8 180/14 186/22 190/9
197/4 197/10 221/24 237/7 237/8 238/17 238/20 238/25 249/5 249/14 250/3 255/11
answered [8] 24/7 24/18 62/17 77/19
128/24 197/1 197/4 197/5
answering [1] 248/22
answers [1] 86/16
anticipated [2] 256/11 256/13
any [112] 1/8 12/9 20/19 20/21 22/22
22/24 22/25 23/6 25/6 26/11 52/25
53/20 56/11 63/5 64/6 68/24 69/19 72/1
72/6 73/9 73/13 81/9 83/1 83/12 83/14
84/4 88/13 88/19 93/7 93/10 96/1
101/18 103/24 113/9 113/12 120/11 121/17 121/21 122/7 123/16 124/20 127/12 131/18 133/11 135/21 136/15 143/19 145/17 146/7 146/12 146/19 148/9 148/15 148/18 149/6 149/25 153/12 153/19 154/2 154/4 160/12 161/5 166/19 170/25 172/3 172/5 172/8 173/3 175/15 176/11 176/25 178/21 180/22 189/22 189/23 191/3 193/10 196/12 198/2 199/8 201/10 201/20 201/21 202/12 203/6 204/18 204/21 206/24 207/9 207/9 212/13 214/5 214/16 220/3 220/13 225/11 226/5 227/4 229/16 230/4 231/9 234/16 238/5 239/10 239/12 242/10 247/17 249/9 250/12 254/7 254/11 255/17
anybody [3] 194/18 200/23 223/4 anybody's [1] 155/22
anyone [5] 125/20 127/5 171/25 223/18 238/14
anything [37] 7/22 8/1 8/3 16/5 39/3 45/14 83/21 86/9 88/12 94/4 94/5 94/5 98/16 119/5 129/18 131/19 146/18 149/19 149/19 155/3 163/16 180/19 210/12 215/9 217/10 218/12 225/20 228/23 235/2 237/11 240/4 245/15 246/9 252/5 254/17 256/16 257/14 anytime [2] 58/4 190/14 anyway [4] 157/2 183/15 188/25 208/17
anywhere [1] 154/4
apart [3] 66/5 153/23 180/10
apologies [2] 138/21 140/4
apologize [3] 41/16 53/24 136/22
appeal [1] 47/15
appear [9] 20/11 20/14 28/12 54/6
100/20 102/25 103/12 105/25 120/6
appeared [2] 172/3 176/15
appears [8] 12/23 28/2 54/12 59/15
60/18 106/1 107/11 109/9
Appel [3] 60/6 60/16 100/17
apply [2] 52/2 85/23
appreciate [4] 7/6 7/19 99/16 100/8
approach [15] 17/22 19/24 27/11 59/3 60/13 74/8 102/16 105/16 109/3 119/20 133/4 133/10 138/24 174/1 203/12
approaching [2] 133/14 222/10
appropriate [4] 44/10 93/19 98/8 159/21
approved [1] 121/10
April [8] 22/11 142/16 147/1 170/1
210/17 210/17 214/23 217/22
April 14th [1] 217/22
April 2017 [1] 142/16
April 29th [1] 147/1
April 2nd [1] 22/11
are [252] 1/7 5/5 5/6 6/10 8/25 14/20
15/18 16/22 19/4 19/21 20/7 21/6 24/9 24/9 24/13 26/12 28/6 29/2 29/21 30/11 30/25 32/11 33/23 34/3 34/9 34/10 35/7 35/11 35/18 37/4 37/6 37/14 37/17 37/17 38/13 39/19 39/20 41/19 43/17 43/20 44/13 44/22 45/25 46/1 46/2 46/4 46/5 46/11 46/14 46/16 48/6 48/8 49/19 50/1 51/23 52/8 52/15 52/18 53/19 53/20 54/21 55/8 55/25 55/25 56/2 56/5 56/17 61/22 62/6 62/23 63/7 64/2 64/4 65/8 69/9 74/19 77/12 79/23 83/25 84/2 84/3 84/7 85/5 86/6 86/10 86/11 87/16 88/15 91/1 91/6 91/7 91/9 91/10 92/4 92/7 93/4 93/10 93/14 93/15 93/15 94/15 94/16 94/20 95/1 95/2 95/4 95/5 96/16 98/18 99/25 100/5 100/18 101/25 104/13 104/25 106/22 106/22 107/4 107/5 109/21 111/15 111/15 114/16 114/16 120/23 121/1 124/6 127/25 129/2 132/24 133/19 135/20 135/24 138/3 138/3 141/1 142/7 147/18 152/5 154/2 159/17 159/19 161/8 164/16 165/1 166/5 171/7 173/3 175/25 177/13 178/20 179/4 179/10 179/12 180/16 182/22 184/10 184/11 184/15 186/7 187/10 187/24 188/14 189/1 189/3 189/12 189/17 189/23 189/25 190/1 190/13 190/13 191/3 191/4 192/20 192/24 196/22 196/25 197/3 197/4 197/10 197/17 198/23 200/18 201/5 201/6 203/14 205/20 206/7 208/21 212/18 212/19 212/19 213/3 213/7 213/18 213/19 213/24 214/1 214/1 214/13 217/19 219/9 219/23 221/17 221/22 222/2 222/16 222/17 222/20 224/14 225/9 226/9 226/11 227/13 227/15 228/2 229/11 230/1 230/14 230/15 230/21 231/24 233/16 233/19 234/24 237/20 241/6 241/8 241/16 241/18 241/20 243/6 244/4 244/6 246/18 247/8 247/9 247/18 247/20

247/23 248/4 248/6 248/12 249/5 249/7 250/23 250/24 252/14 253/3 253/15 254/6
area [5] 46/5 181/14 216/14 217/7 233/11
aren't [2] 16/23 250/12
arguably [2] 83/14 229/4
argue [7] 42/21 42/24 48/4 86/20 86/23
202/18 243/25
argued [2] 18/17 202/5
arguing [2] 196/8 196/12
argument [12] 44/2 44/4 47/13 47/21
86/14 88/16 99/6 161/14 196/13 197/21 237/19 253/5
argument's [1] 242/22
arguments [3] 87/24 202/20 237/18
arise [1] 161/4
Arizona [1] 218/10
Arlo [1] 224/4
arm [1] 218/8
armfuls [1] 216/13
arose [2] 180/9 180/17
around [17] 17/11 54/7 54/11 76/1
95/25 105/7 112/12 174/16 175/3 211/9
219/1 226/18 228/7 228/11 228/11
228/12 257/6
array [1] 98/12
article [10] 59/25 60/5 60/9 60/16 60/19 133/17 134/1 134/2 134/17 151/23
articles [2] 129/16 230/1
articulate [1] 62/23
articulated [1] 231/17
as [300]
Asia [1] 215/17
aside [2] 76/1 251/20
ask [54] 11/7 11/24 18/14 27/24 31/24 36/15 36/20 37/7 42/3 42/20 46/11 48/11 57/20 63/5 78/17 80/6 81/25 86/1 87/5 90/16 90/18 91/14 104/17 104/18 106/15 107/21 113/7 119/10 120/21
127/12 129/9 129/13 137/18 138/12 149/6 150/11 152/6 153/12 181/8 187/3 192/15 193/10 194/17 196/1 197/13 199/5 199/5 204/6 219/17 235/25 242/15 255/4 255/7 256/7
asked [51] 27/6 55/2 58/14 62/17 63/13 69/18 79/13 86/16 117/11 119/5 125/1 126/12 128/24 128/25 129/1 129/23 131/25 135/4 138/19 139/20 140/21 148/18 148/21 150/7 157/4 157/7 169/3 171/3 173/14 183/2 183/23 188/1 202/25 203/4 209/6 230/8 231/1 234/10 235/10 235/13 237/19 238/3 238/24 242/22 243/2 243/15 244/12 248/24 254/14 254/17 255/11
asking [51] 9/14 12/9 16/11 21/12 35/19 37/5 38/8 38/13 38/15 39/9 41/22 45/3 46/4 46/11 47/2 51/1 63/24 79/17 104/14 113/19 119/19 121/5 129/3 131/2 136/18 147/18 152/20 153/8 170/4 172/6 181/19 186/6 186/7 189/17 189/25 190/1 190/3 190/19 191/2 196/11 198/23 201/6 227/13 230/20 234/19 235/7 235/18 240/5 243/6 243/14 254/11
asks [1] 115/4
aspect [2] 45/1 247/11

\section*{A}
aspects [4] 221/25 227/15 228/2 228/10
assault [1] 236/19
Assembly [5] 15/7 15/16 19/5 215/24 226/15
Assembly's [6] 20/3 20/12 20/16 21/10 21/24 22/3
asserted [4] 45/10 164/1 237/23 239/4
asserting [2] 108/23 163/25
assertions [1] 87/16
assess [1] 44/20
assessment [2] 71/9 237/3
assist [1] 231/15
assistance [2] 25/7 40/8
assistant [1] 210/16
Associated [1] 134/3
association [4] 212/16 212/20 213/13 213/16
assume [5] 6/16 28/14 29/23 59/17 104/6
Assumes [1] 249/24
assuming [3] 55/25 69/1 210/15
assumptions [1] 191/25
assurance [1] 218/22
assurances [1] 38/19
assure [2] 164/8 218/23
assured [1] 246/18
Athens [1] 210/5
ATLANTA [6] 1/2 1/25 5/2 54/15 258/8 258/10
attached [4] 1/4 165/2 168/5 168/5
attaching [2] 1/7 198/20
attachments [2] 163/7 164/23
attack [2] 84/4 232/25
attacks [2] 216/6 216/25
attempt [5] 11/15 18/2 105/4 125/10 234/16
attempted [4] 65/22 170/2 191/18 220/15
attempting [2] 32/9 51/24
attempts [1] 127/10
attend [2] 80/2 212/13
attended [5] 130/2 130/9 152/23
212/25 214/5
attending [1] 210/6
attention [8] 7/18 84/3 107/20 138/19
164/20 175/4 206/18 216/2
attorney [8] 84/11 123/11 145/18
156/14 156/17 159/4 159/4 159/5
attorney-client [3] 84/11 123/11 145/18
attorneys [2] 118/22 125/6
attorneys' [1] 83/24
attributes [1] 69/16
audio [11] 111/8 113/1 113/11 113/12
113/20 114/1 114/3 114/5 114/19
114/19 115/18
audiotape [2] 147/25 148/23
audiotaped [4] 114/20 115/19 116/21 118/1
audiotapes [1] 123/21
audit [20] 26/22 110/10 168/2 168/2
178/18 178/20 179/4 179/21 179/22
179/25 180/20 180/22 190/3 190/6
190/8 191/3 191/7 191/9 220/19 224/3 auditable [1] 56/7
audited [3] 60/2 61/7 61/17
auditing [6] 9/16 9/18 9/19 9/20 60/1 180/24
auditor [1] 178/19
audits [27] 9/15 9/17 9/17 56/1 56/2
68/24 168/10 168/13 188/14 188/21
188/22 188/25 189/10 189/12 189/18
189/19 189/23 190/1 190/20 220/18
222/4 223/14 223/15 223/16 223/19 224/1 224/8
August [2] 235/7 235/22
August 2021 [1] 235/7
Augusta [1] 210/4
auspices [1] 187/23
authenticate [1] 93/3
authenticity [1] 106/14
authority [5] 14/3 108/23 110/2 117/18 224/7
authorize [1] 131/8
authorized [1] 158/22
available [7] 13/6 43/17 86/7 94/15
94/16 94/20 95/4
avoid [2] 78/14 183/3
avoided [1] 121/16
awarding [1] 23/2
aware [34] 70/19 70/24 73/9 76/5 76/10 76/14 80/13 80/21 82/11 82/17 88/19 104/25 105/2 105/6 117/2 117/3 123/16 124/6 124/9 129/2 149/19 154/2 158/10 158/13 175/25 178/20 179/10 179/12 180/16 187/16 195/9 195/13 197/17 205/20
away [5] 33/22 87/22 99/22 246/22 247/15
awfully [1] 54/9

\section*{B}
back [43] 19/14 48/21 53/4 53/24 55/4 58/16 62/9 62/22 63/2 77/11 78/5 95/11 96/4 106/10 110/1 116/3 117/14 122/13 135/1 135/10 152/17 153/5 157/5 159/6 160/20 170/17 171/12 179/11 196/25 198/17 202/17 205/6 205/23 209/19 209/20 209/21 209/23 210/18 211/9 216/12 225/6 253/6 256/8
background [1] 165/12
bad [3] 19/2 98/1 166/10
Baker [1] 242/3
balance [1] 241/2
balancing [1] 207/9
ballot [98] 10/4 19/9 19/17 24/2 24/19 25/2 30/17 33/4 33/5 33/6 33/9 33/14 33/20 34/7 34/16 34/18 34/21 34/25 \(35 / 135 / 135 / 635 / 935 / 1135 / 1436 / 22\) 37/2 37/21 37/24 38/5 38/10 38/19 38/20 39/12 40/11 40/12 43/21 43/22 47/6 47/7 50/5 51/23 52/9 53/7 53/13 56/17 56/20 57/7 57/8 57/10 57/15 58/6 61/23 63/9 63/10 63/16 64/7 64/11 64/20 64/21 64/23 65/2 65/7 65/25 66/2 66/5 66/13 66/24 66/25 68/24 68/25 69/2 170/23 171/2 171/5 176/3 176/22 190/2 190/4 190/9 191/8 217/18 218/6 218/20 218/21 218/23 220/9 220/10 221/7 221/10 226/21 226/22 228/5 246/21 246/24 247/14 250/8 251/16 256/24
ballot-marking [22] 19/9 19/17 24/2

24/19 25/2 43/21 52/9 56/17 56/20 57/8 57/10 57/15 68/24 68/25 69/2 170/23 171/2 171/5 190/2 190/4 190/9 191/8 ballots [67] 11/19 33/24 41/4 41/21 42/11 42/17 42/24 43/15 45/3 45/24 50/13 52/2 52/23 55/24 61/6 63/6 63/7 63/13 64/1 64/3 66/19 105/4 138/3 140/9 140/19 140/20 141/1 174/24 175/11 175/11 176/1 176/25 177/4 177/4 178/10 178/21 179/14 179/22 207/16 207/19 216/9 216/13 216/15 216/21 217/3 217/5 217/7 217/10 217/11 218/8 220/4 221/13 221/22 222/1 222/2 226/15 227/5 228/5 241/4 246/6 247/13 247/19 247/22 248/4 249/2 250/24 255/1
bandwidth [1] 161/5
barcode [1] 217/17
barcodes [3] 242/25 243/18 243/24
Barron [8] 125/8 126/25 127/4 143/24
144/2 144/5 144/13 144/17
Barrow [1] 210/19
Barry [1] 17/10
Bartow [1] 26/22
based [14] 7/17 89/2 94/10 108/13
211/8 221/22 226/9 226/13 237/14
241/24 243/9 246/19 246/25 247/2
baseless [1] 172/13
baseline [1] 233/21
basic [2] 44/23 211/17
basically [5] 30/25 144/21 216/17 233/2 254/11
basics [1] 212/4
basis [9] 95/7 95/8 139/3 144/14 184/11 196/10 197/21 239/2 255/5
batch [3] 105/13 105/14 175/25
Bates [3] 74/21 94/18 94/22
Batten [11] 157/20 194/1 194/6 194/12 195/3 195/4 196/3 197/21 198/13 199/15 200/7
be [262]
bear [3] 100/1 250/14 250/16
became [8] 76/4 76/10 76/14 80/13 88/8 115/5 145/6 211/13
because [101] 9/10 15/10 15/18 23/16
27/10 31/1 31/2 31/11 33/23 35/20
37/24 39/4 45/21 46/5 47/19 52/12 55/7
55/19 58/14 61/18 63/19 65/23 76/4
76/16 78/11 79/17 82/9 85/6 85/17 86/1
86/1 86/17 88/3 89/12 91/10 98/25
99/18 100/3 107/21 115/9 116/1 117/16
125/12 127/2 127/9 180/20 183/23
188/25 189/12 193/12 194/18 196/9
197/7 198/8 198/14 198/19 201/7 203/19 205/16 206/21 209/14 209/20 210/10 211/10 212/24 213/21 214/3 215/1 217/8 217/19 217/23 218/24 218/24 221/3 221/9 223/3 223/25 226/19 227/7 228/9 231/3 231/11 233/18 234/24 236/12 238/11 240/24 241/7 242/13 247/9 247/18 250/23
251/7 252/7 252/14 252/20 254/7 254/8 254/23 256/3 256/25
become [4] 209/18 210/9 211/11 214/21
BEDARD [1] \(3 / 6\)
been [108] 8/19 9/18 9/23 12/6 15/20 15/25 18/19 26/14 37/21 42/16 45/11
been... [97] 47/4 54/8 54/12 65/21 65/23 66/20 66/21 66/25 67/11 70/3 70/11 70/12 83/10 84/16 87/12 89/2 93/1 94/7 99/18 102/2 102/7 102/19 115/1 119/24 126/18 129/16 129/20 129/24 132/20 133/2 133/23 134/7 134/12 140/8 142/5 147/4 148/23 156/12 159/10 160/22 161/12 162/1 162/2 163/2 163/10 163/12 164/10 167/21 168/16 169/21 170/11 172/3 172/5 177/24 180/1 183/16 183/17 187/20 201/2 205/3 205/19 208/1 208/15 208/15 209/1 212/7 213/2 213/5 213/6 214/22 217/14 218/7 218/13 219/1 220/25 221/5 221/9 224/21 225/3 229/2 229/14 229/23 229/25 234/15 236/8 238/3 239/5 243/15 244/4 244/13 244/15 244/15 249/5 251/12 252/20 255/25 256/14
before [65] 1/11 17/16 20/3 27/14 27/19 32/22 47/25 63/5 65/13 66/3 66/4 66/7 67/9 77/20 82/13 90/5 91/2 92/17 92/22 93/1 96/23 99/17 101/13 101/16 102/10 102/23 105/23 112/1 112/5 118/22 125/10 131/3 133/8 133/11 135/16 135/25 136/18 138/4 143/16 146/4 148/23 148/25 148/25 182/13 182/15 194/6 194/15 195/3 196/2 197/12 197/21 199/15 200/7 201/23 206/13 206/17 210/2 218/3 222/16 250/22 251/25 254/4 254/8 256/14 258/10
began [18] 52/9 75/16 76/4 76/10 76/15 81/1 105/6 112/7 115/9 125/20 126/7 126/9 127/9 127/12 128/8 146/24 210/3 215/18
begin [7] 18/7 18/11 101/7 110/1 231/2 247/22 251/5
beginning [4] 7/17 7/25 146/17 204/5
begins [1] 122/20
begun [1] 163/9
behalf [5] 2/23 45/22 58/25 88/21 131/7
behavior [1] 186/4
being [38] 9/20 25/5 32/17 32/20 32/23 33/5 66/13 68/17 73/25 85/4 87/22 99/8 114/1 131/25 132/16 141/1 148/18
168/22 174/25 177/5 180/21 202/6
202/7 202/25 203/4 208/10 210/14
213/21 217/3 220/3 226/18 233/10
234/10 235/10 240/20 241/14 247/23
251/15
beings [3] 247/8 248/10 249/6
belabor [2] 201/3 255/20
believe [60] 12/8 19/13 26/4 32/2 37/5
37/5 55/21 56/6 60/17 60/20 71/1 76/20 79/15 85/22 86/8 92/16 92/22 93/1
102/4 102/4 102/8 102/20 105/7 105/12 112/1 113/11 115/8 118/4 118/6 120/22 124/1 124/2 124/4 124/20 125/16 126/24 129/16 131/2 136/6 140/13 141/7 142/11 144/3 145/10 145/16 150/7 157/13 158/25 158/25 160/3
165/10 172/22 175/22 181/13 202/2 211/13 212/13 223/15 224/21 229/1
believed [4] 97/22 116/8 119/12 141/5
believes [1] 43/16
BELINFANTE [23] 3/5 3/7 4/6 4/9 4/12 8/24 44/12 45/1 47/2 78/4 84/24 88/20 113/14 135/11 143/25 151/8 157/7 184/5 230/12 230/19 236/25 238/2 253/20
Belinfante's [1] 200/10
belligerent [1] 137/18
below [3] 50/15 51/16 52/23
BEN [5] 2/6 223/20 225/17 225/17 225/18
BENCH [1] 1/10
best [7] 5/19 6/4 94/13 109/25 172/2
226/14 252/21
between [20] 6/16 11/25 58/6 66/5
80/6 80/18 94/14 120/5 120/7 127/22
198/1 199/6 199/25 221/10 228/22
231/19 234/1 234/6 234/8 241/2
beyond [5] 95/22 212/23 222/19 234/3 239/22
bid [1] 22/16
big [3] 88/6 171/5 220/20
bigger [1] 88/24
biggest [2] 247/8 252/4
bill [14] 19/5 19/7 19/19 20/15 22/6
22/11 23/8 23/21 23/25 47/25 214/13
214/16 214/20 214/25
binding [1] 130/20
bio [1] 212/4
biography [1] 209/7
bipartisan [2] 241/21 241/25
bit [15] 115/10 153/5 157/4 160/19 161/23 167/6 179/11 180/10 180/23 202/13 209/8 210/16 219/17 225/22 232/5
Blackmon [5] 76/18 76/22 76/22 77/6 77/7
Blake [2] 72/22 72/24
blamed [1] 107/24
Blanchard [1] 132/12
Blanchard's [2] 131/22 132/9
bland [1] 238/13
blast [1] 75/21
bleed [1] 68/12
blue [4] 97/18 120/15 120/18 120/23
BMD [48] 9/3 9/5 24/11 30/17 30/20 31/7 32/3 32/11 34/18 34/21 35/1 36/22 36/22 37/7 38/4 38/18 38/19 38/24 38/25 40/6 47/6 47/14 47/19 50/14 53/12 55/17 56/3 56/5 56/6 56/9 56/13 58/3 58/24 62/14 69/12 69/12 69/20 155/6 156/3 173/18 181/17 188/16 190/7 190/14 244/20 246/12 247/13 249/21
BMD-conducted [1] 190/7
BMDs [39] 19/22 25/5 25/6 25/16 25/21
26/2 29/1 29/2 29/10 37/3 37/14 39/19 40/1 42/23 43/17 45/24 50/4 53/6 56/14 59/14 61/22 62/3 62/5 63/11 69/9 161/10 188/21 189/1 189/11 189/12 189/23 191/3 197/21 242/18 242/25 243/18 243/24 245/24 250/19
board [57] 9/22 10/18 16/5 52/10 58/24 59/12 66/18 73/4 73/5 73/6 73/7 73/10 73/14 77/8 80/2 80/8 96/22 101/18 102/5 103/2 103/4 103/13 103/22 104/7 104/14 117/18 121/10 130/3 130/12 130/16 130/19 131/8 131/8 131/11

144/19 154/1 154/11 162/23 163/8 166/24 167/11 167/24 168/21 170/1 170/4 170/12 187/21 188/10 188/24 189/10 189/18 189/19 189/21 191/13 217/8 225/15 241/11
Board's [1] 189/25
boards [4] 16/3 16/16 110/1 213/18
Bob [1] 242/3
bodies [1] 233/19
bogus [1] 125/7
both [15] 24/15 38/13 100/18 113/4 145/19 176/3 181/17 187/24 198/12 208/15 211/1 215/19 216/19 240/20 244/22
bottom [11] 20/22 21/11 68/11 74/24 75/3 75/11 79/3 80/12 109/10 122/17 188/9
Boulder [1] 162/1
bound [2] 230/10 235/4
box [1] 65/10
Boy [1] 175/8
BOYLE [1] 3/10
BRAD [2] 1/6 106/2
branch [1] 47/24
breach [5] 94/3 154/13 155/21 155/21 170/6
breached [1] 82/19
breaches [6] 71/3 71/16 81/22 85/5 170/2 170/3
break [20] 6/13 6/14 6/16 6/22 7/11
19/11 42/7 66/5 70/3 70/4 78/5 82/9
91/25 95/11 95/16 100/14 101/6 180/10
206/12 206/16
breaking [1] 65/25
breaks [1] 7/1
breath [1] 99/2
brief [15] 6/18 49/21 78/2 92/12 95/16
101/14 110/13 172/18 183/7 185/19
194/13 198/14 206/16 219/15 227/23
briefly [3] 110/10 175/6 222/9
bring [4] 55/10 86/8 164/19 206/18
bringing [1] 199/7
brings [1] 246/15
broad [5] 44/17 44/23 227/12 231/12 233/16
broadest [1] 233/13
broadly [5] 57/3 62/20 229/7 230/6 230/20
broken [3] 6/22 52/12 52/14
Brookhaven [1] 211/15
brought [7] 45/7 56/16 86/1 89/1 217/4 224/20 225/6
BROWN [19] 2/15 2/15 4/7 43/5 44/9
44/15 67/21 85/16 85/25 92/9 93/21
165/22 169/8 186/9 186/10 186/10
189/15 193/10 245/15
Brown's [2] 42/4 113/24
BRUCE [3] 2/15 2/15 156/22
Brumback [3] 134/3 134/4 134/6
brunt [1] 250/14
BRYAN [3] 3/8 3/8 100/22
bubble [1] 121/6
bubbled [1] 128/5
budgeted [1] 246/9
bugs [1] \(37 / 8\)
build [2] 128/6 250/24
building [4] 82/14 91/21 241/4 247/22
built [2] 232/19 249/17

\section*{B}
bullet [7] 50/3 50/5 53/5 53/7 53/19 66/11 68/11
bunch [3] 121/9 150/7 164/12
burden [8] 64/18 64/22 68/8 69/13
218/10 218/16 246/8 252/15
burdened [1] 218/11
burdensome [1] 222/3
Bureau [7] 74/1 124/23 186/16 187/17 187/19 187/22 221/2
Bush [1] 209/18
Bush/Quayle [1] 209/18
business [6] 2/11 110/16 110/17 147/16 210/25 211/1
button [2] 37/9 39/12
buy [1] 100/10
C
California [1] 213/1
call [59] \(8 / 7\) 31/15 31/15 31/19 63/14
82/17 82/25 84/15 84/25 85/6 85/7 86/17 87/7 88/14 88/18 88/23 88/23 88/25 90/6 110/22 110/23 111/8 111/17 111/17 112/5 112/5 112/16 112/20 112/21 112/25 113/5 113/8 116/7 118/10 118/12 122/8 124/7 124/17 124/21 124/22 124/24 125/2 125/3 125/5 125/20 126/5 126/23 127/20 127/23 128/11 145/10 171/13 179/22 181/9 183/18 195/10 200/1 233/5 234/23
called [19] 9/23 10/8 13/18 17/15 21/22 68/4 72/12 110/18 111/21 112/7 112/7 121/7 121/22 126/15 144/5 191/23 211/18 228/17 232/16
calling [3] 17/10 17/13 208/19
calls [4] 62/7 148/11 234/19 243/19
came [11] 49/5 49/9 96/20 110/14
126/24 149/21 185/13 210/17 231/7
243/11 251/13
camera [1] 127/16
cameras [1] 175/3
campaign [8] 98/9 209/19 210/1 210/3
210/11 210/19 211/10 211/22
campaigns [5] 209/15 209/16 211/15
211/20 211/20
CAMPBELL [1] 2/6
can [173] 6/7 6/9 7/12 7/12 7/13 7/13 10/11 11/5 14/14 15/19 20/22 21/10 21/10 24/24 29/1 33/23 35/10 36/9 36/21 38/2 38/3 38/20 39/3 40/17 42/3 42/5 42/6 42/7 42/21 42/24 44/4 44/7 45/23 45/24 46/13 48/4 48/9 48/11 48/11 51/24 53/12 53/15 53/15 53/17 55/13 55/18 57/4 57/22 60/12 61/18 62/23 63/16 64/1 64/6 64/22 65/5 66/21 70/17 75/12 76/25 78/8 78/22 78/23 79/3 82/8 89/8 89/9 91/8 91/8 93/25 94/18 95/2 95/22 97/16 97/23 98/17 98/23 99/24 100/9 103/6 107/14 107/15 109/17 110/6 114/17 114/18 115/17 116/25 120/8 121/23 130/24 131/5 138/9 138/13 139/3 139/22 142/10 152/17 157/17 159/16 159/20 164/17 166/9 166/25 168/18 175/15 178/7 178/8 182/17 184/15 190/2 192/15 193/2 195/19 196/20 197/14 199/5

199/7 199/18 200/3 201/18 202/18 203/25 205/21 206/1 207/4 211/22 219/10 219/13 219/17 219/21 220/9 221/24 222/19 224/14 226/22 226/25 230/9 231/10 231/12 232/5 232/12 237/8 237/9 237/14 237/14 239/10 239/19 240/24 240/25 240/25 241/12 245/7 245/11 245/14 246/25 247/4 247/4 249/10 252/22 252/24 252/25 253/1 253/1 253/12 254/10 255/23 256/4 256/15 256/22 257/6 257/10 257/16
can't [37] 14/5 15/11 15/13 26/25 37/19
47/6 47/16 55/14 64/14 78/19 86/20 86/23 90/24 92/25 93/9 94/11 100/10 120/16 150/6 169/13 176/1 186/21 188/21 192/25 196/4 196/5 198/16 203/18 205/11 213/5 229/23 233/3 233/15 238/7 250/24 251/2 253/14 canceled [1] 217/25
cancellations [1] 217/24
candidate [2] 33/19 33/21
candidates [2] 159/16 195/19 candidly [2] 164/2 230/25 cannot [24] 39/6 40/10 41/3 46/15 46/16 50/6 53/7 60/2 65/18 65/19 68/23 93/2 95/25 103/4 103/22 159/11 159/22 188/22 189/12 190/7 191/8 224/9 230/4 252/20
capable [2] 68/17 99/25
capacity [2] 167/10 180/7
card [10] 84/20 84/22 87/4 87/8 110/16 110/17 147/16 185/16 202/6 202/7
care [3] 27/15 97/14 215/19
careful [3] 97/11 143/11 144/22
CARES [2] 218/3 218/6
Carey [1] 70/17
Carolina [13] 9/6 16/20 51/23 55/15 55/17 55/19 56/21 56/23 57/7 57/10 135/1 161/1 161/1
carried [1] 128/8
carry [1] 15/22
Carter [1] 147/2
CARY [1] 2/20
case [53] \(4 / 25 / 115 / 128 / 6\) 14/18 23/13 40/13 42/11 43/15 45/5 45/7 47/5 62/19 70/22 73/23 74/5 87/12 93/5 95/20 95/25 99/23 100/7 120/24 131/23 136/14 136/16 143/19 144/6 145/11 158/11 158/12 159/9 166/4 173/21 177/14 177/17 180/1 187/24 195/10 196/1 196/3 196/10 200/9 200/10 201/11 201/16 201/22 217/6 222/5 229/24 239/5 242/17 245/8
cases [3] 27/6 44/13 53/11
cast [35] 32/10 32/13 32/17 32/21 32/23 33/4 33/4 33/15 33/25 34/16 34/17 34/21 35/6 35/14 35/16 36/8 36/24 45/21 46/19 47/3 50/5 53/7 53/12 56/10 56/12 57/7 57/18 57/19 62/16 68/16 190/10 190/21 191/5 191/10 219/1
casting [2] 33/1 34/18
casts [1] 34/25
catch [1] 54/24
category [1] 21/22
Cathleen [1] 70/20
Cathy [10] 70/20 71/22 71/25 79/7 97/5

97/6 109/13 129/6 160/5 160/7
caught [1] 65/23
causal [1] 83/14
cause [7] 174/23 175/17 175/19 176/2
184/25 242/10 246/5
caused [3] 87/8 96/11 108/9
caution [1] 225/5
cautioned [1] 144/21
caveat [1] 61/16
cellular [5] 226/9 226/13 246/19 246/25 247/2
cellular-based [5] 226/9 226/13 246/19 246/25 247/2
Center [4] 110/11 147/2 225/6 241/21
centered [1] 54/11
central [3] 30/6 30/6 31/8
cents [1] 247/14
certain [2] 26/18 27/7
certainly [40] 10/23 15/15 17/4 18/14 18/18 25/5 27/2 34/19 34/19 61/16 61/18 71/15 76/14 85/23 101/22 111/2
111/3 118/8 119/16 134/14 136/22
143/17 143/21 146/10 149/22 150/9
154/21 155/4 161/2 162/10 162/13
169/1 170/24 171/2 171/10 175/25
185/10 193/11 195/24 245/5
certification [4] 88/7 177/5 179/18 179/20
certified [2] 178/10 179/13
certify [12] 73/20 90/7 96/12 101/19
102/11 102/13 103/4 103/23 105/1
105/4 108/10 258/8
certifying [2] 89/22 96/10
cetera [1] 222/8
CGG [9] 13/23 14/1 37/5 135/14 138/5
140/8 160/21 160/22 175/1
CGG's [4] 11/22 14/3 115/12 171/23
chafed [1] 210/16
chain [2] 79/6 228/4
chair [6] 97/4 163/8 166/23 166/23
167/10 167/24
chair's [1] 164/20
chaired [1] 156/2
chairman [3] 76/22 211/10 225/14
Chairman Blackmon [1] 76/22
Chairperson [1] 103/2
chairwoman [1] 72/5
challenge [3] 25/6 26/1 140/16
challenged [1] 15/25
challenges [4] 96/2 177/12 221/21 226/2
challenging [2] 25/16 215/10
Chamber [4] 235/8 236/1 236/6 236/6
chance [3] 113/15 139/7 246/7
Chaney [10] 76/22 77/9 81/13 102/5
150/1 150/11 150/19 152/1 152/7 153/3
Chaney's [1] 186/11
change [8] 23/17 160/19 163/21
166/21 216/14 226/15 248/22 250/22
changed [2] 19/16 226/12
changes [9] 44/19 162/23 176/11
176/13 176/16 221/9 226/18 232/20 233/15
changing [1] 249/11
chaotic [1] 145/7
Character [1] 236/19
characterization [1] 184/6
charged [2] 10/2 10/3

\section*{C}
charges [2] 155/16 155/17
Charlie [1] 210/3
Chattahoochee [1] 211/17
check [3] 52/22 67/18 226/9
check-in [1] 226/9
checks [2] 169/9 169/10
chemical [2] 211/2 211/7
Cheney [1] 211/25
chief [2] 210/10 212/1
choice [3] 39/4 161/22 162/2
choices [1] 50/17
choose [3] 64/19 66/11 66/24
chooses [1] 43/19
choosing [1] 17/19
chose [3] 220/19 232/18 254/1
CHRISTIAN [1] 2/10
circles [1] 252/22
Circuit [1] 201/11
circumstances [1] 73/9
circus [1] 95/25
CISA [13] 188/16 191/19 229/5 230/10
230/25 231/10 231/18 231/25 234/20
251/10 251/15 251/19 254/18
CISA's [1] 230/17
cite [3] 1/4 59/25 198/3
cited [4] 60/5 198/13 199/20 200/21
cites [2] 64/10 198/4
cities [1] 211/14
citing [2] 116/7 198/6
citizen [4] 84/5 97/11 97/25 143/11
citizens [1] 84/1
city [5] 162/1 211/11 211/20 212/9
258/10
civil [2] 186/5 186/19
claim [8] 83/24 127/13 140/10 195/14
201/7 217/6 221/4 250/12
claiming [1] 34/9
claims [5] 31/25 34/2 57/2 200/20
208/8
clarified [1] 24/10
clarify [7] 24/8 57/21 71/13 154/7
180/5 182/13 208/5
clarity [2] 57/1 120/14
clarity's [1] 167/6
Clark [1] 103/3
clean [3] 201/11 201/18 201/22
clear [25] 9/16 10/18 10/24 24/24
42/16 44/14 57/9 66/3 85/3 85/6 92/21
111/25 113/18 129/11 142/16 144/4
158/2 182/6 183/8 199/18 229/15
238/21 244/4 244/13 244/15
clearly [3] 8/13 89/10 251/21
clerk [2] 10/1 10/4
client [7] 84/11 123/11 145/18 166/10 177/15 200/9 200/10
clients [1] 235/16
Clinton [2] 236/12 239/17
clip [2] 111/17 115/18
clips [3] 113/6 113/11 114/2
close [6] 155/7 155/10 156/3 156/10 156/21 223/4
closer [1] 172/10
closing [5] 6/22 7/6 44/5 44/5 202/20
closings [2] 5/16 6/1
CM [2] 1/2 1/3
CM/ECF [2] \(1 / 21 / 3\)
co [6] 48/12 67/19 86/9 95/6 172/16 211/10
co-chairman [1] 211/10
co-conspirator [1] 86/9
co-counsel [4] 48/12 67/19 95/6 172/16
COALITION [94] 2/13 11/8 11/12 11/15 12/3 12/7 12/10 12/12 13/13 14/10 14/25 15/4 15/15 16/1 16/15 23/10 23/12 24/4 24/25 25/14 26/6 26/9 26/12 26/17 26/18 26/21 26/25 27/1 27/3 27/8 28/2 28/3 28/4 32/2 32/9 34/5 36/7 41/18 42/10 43/15 45/21 56/20 58/9 58/25 61/11 61/11 61/14 62/1 62/3 62/4 64/9 67/11 67/12 67/20 68/3 68/8 69/13 69/17 71/9 83/20 83/22 87/17 87/21 104/19 109/11 112/22 126/16 141/14 145/18 145/25 153/15 157/16 157/17 160/15 160/16 163/3 163/13 164/4 165/7 168/17 168/20 171/8 184/21 188/3 190/11 193/25 194/4 194/16 194/19 195/2 201/4 201/5 203/1 208/7 Coalition's [41] 12/21 25/9 25/20 26/1 28/13 31/25 37/3 37/13 38/25 39/18 39/25 40/1 40/4 42/12 50/22 51/8 51/10 56/8 57/6 59/13 62/14 63/2 64/2 64/18 67/5 68/21 68/23 69/8 69/11 82/19 87/18 104/10 112/10 112/11 115/6 115/22 163/20 188/20 188/25 189/11 191/4
coastal [1] 209/25
Cobb [3] 221/1 221/4 225/7
code [20] 37/10 39/15 50/12 51/16
52/23 57/22 57/23 179/25 180/17 180/20 180/20 181/12 181/20 250/19 251/9 251/11 251/15 252/4 252/16 255/13
codes [6] 180/3 180/9 251/20 251/21 255/1 255/18
Coffee [117] 70/13 71/1 72/1 72/4 72/16 72/21 73/3 73/10 73/14 74/1 76/11 76/18 77/7 77/8 80/2 80/8 80/15 80/20 80/23 81/3 81/21 82/12 82/21 82/23 83/3 85/12 86/11 87/13 87/16 88/5 90/6 90/20 90/24 91/14 91/17 94/3 96/21 97/20 98/10 98/12 101/17 101/18 102/11 103/1 103/13 103/22 104/7 104/25 105/3 105/10 107/23 108/9 111/1 116/15 118/19 121/9 121/18 122/23 125/21 126/22 127/13 128/5 128/7 128/10 128/17 129/17 130/3 130/12 130/16 131/7 132/2 132/17 132/24 133/2 134/11 143/19 145/24 146/5 146/14 146/16 146/22 147/3 148/10 148/16 148/20 148/21 149/4 149/9 149/18 150/8 150/13 153/2 153/7 153/20 154/4 154/13 154/13 154/18 155/3 155/4 155/20 157/6 157/9 160/5 160/6 163/12 170/2 170/6 172/9 185/11 185/13 185/25 187/10 199/24 199/25 201/9 204/9
coffin [1] 47/13
collateral [1] 91/22
collection [3] 163/6 165/9 165/11
college [7] 209/9 209/12 209/14
209/17 209/19 209/22 210/2
color [1] 120/25
Colorado [4] 9/24 160/25 160/25

\section*{242/13}

Columbia [1] 242/8
come [21] 48/24 62/22 82/2 97/18 131/12 133/8 147/10 159/23 170/18 171/4 178/7 178/9 181/13 184/12
196/18 201/14 202/8 205/11 205/15 215/10 256/7
comes [5] 30/17 35/24 39/13 182/17 183/13
comfortable [2] 43/7 207/5
coming [14] 55/4 58/16 72/13 89/24
114/2 131/18 135/10 136/20 142/18 176/6 201/6 201/10 208/3 216/15
comment [2] 134/25 237/16
commenting [1] 53/2
comments [1] 138/2
Commerce [2] 235/8 236/2
commission [8] 16/22 16/25 17/11
17/14 17/17 18/6 18/22 19/1
committee [8] 49/7 102/6 136/1 136/4 136/6 142/15 142/16 170/14
common [3] 200/19 200/25 201/12
communicated [1] 207/6
communicating [4] 13/14 75/16 82/13 129/23
communication [3] 13/7 123/12 165/16
communications [9] 13/16 75/22
75/25 84/12 120/23 121/1 153/9 192/13 211/19
communicative [1] 220/15
companies [1] 217/24
company [4] 211/2 211/5 211/7 224/13
compare [1] 200/13
compared [2] 226/1 256/17
comparison [1] 83/19
compatible [1] 250/24
competing [1] 242/16
complaint [26] 23/13 24/25 25/14
25/16 25/25 28/4 28/13 32/1 49/23 53/4 63/3 64/10 67/6 67/8 67/15 67/16 67/20 68/1 68/3 68/4 69/18 167/25 168/1
168/4 243/10 244/3
complaints [2] 81/12 170/19
complete [5] 8/14 61/21 79/6 83/11 96/4
completed [1] 174/10
completely [10] 20/17 89/18 99/6
147/6 172/13 195/20 195/20 236/23
241/1 253/19
completeness [4] 54/23 55/1 78/18 112/25
completing [1] 173/21
compliance [1] 171/4
comply [2] 168/2 253/22
complying [1] 83/18
component [1] 203/6
compressed [1] 212/4
computer [3] 1/21 122/22 147/17
COMPUTER-AIDED [1] 1/21
conceding [1] 21/17
conceivably [1] 52/1
concept [1] 33/22
concern [19] 34/6 45/23 51/8 51/11 81/22 116/6 125/5 125/6 133/16 165/13 169/7 178/12 198/23 206/22 207/2 208/9 224/20 225/2 242/10
concerned [11] 65/25 78/17 97/14

\section*{C}
concerned... [8] 115/5 144/11 145/2 145/6 150/12 198/11 198/21 226/19 concerning [4] 118/6 118/9 173/14 191/24
concerns [21] 42/12 42/12 46/18 51/10 51/11 56/16 56/20 57/2 76/11 76/15 80/14 80/19 82/12 184/20 188/25 191/4 197/19 199/20 218/18 220/14 231/20
concession [1] 216/14
concluded [3] 105/12 108/12 125/7
conclusion [6] 62/8 105/9 108/11
186/22 202/13 238/7
concrete [1] 230/17
conditional [1] 139/3
conditionally [4] 58/18 58/20 138/12 139/25
conduct [17] 68/8 93/14 180/15 188/21 188/22 200/6 200/8 200/9 201/13 223/19 224/1 224/3 224/7 237/23 237/25 239/5 248/14
conducted [5] 69/20 190/7 198/6 223/15 223/16
conducts [2] 186/3 186/17
conference [5] 201/21 212/16 212/17 212/17 214/2
conferences [6] 212/14 212/18 212/19
212/25 213/3 220/16
confidence [1] 57/18
confident [1] 100/4
configured [5] 29/3 29/19 30/12 31/3 56/18
confirm [4] 56/1 188/22 191/8 205/7
confirmed [2] 129/16 207/6
confronting [1] 84/8
confused [3] 34/4 164/11 197/8
confusing [4] 31/12 31/13 187/20 198/11
confusion [1] 231/7
Congress [1] 110/11
Congressional [2] 47/18 210/13 conjunction [3] 212/20 214/2 221/2 connection [4] 83/14 167/13 198/1 198/14
consequences [2] 44/21 253/14 consequential [1] 155/21
consider [12] 46/21 91/25 95/9 99/22 115/24 139/2 228/3 236/15 237/12 240/3 240/15 240/19
considerable [2] 110/2 169/2 considerations [1] 180/22
considering [2] 161/17 240/11
consistent [7] 14/22 108/8 131/15
150/22 165/22 166/6 217/13
conspirator [1] 86/9
constitute [1] 258/9
constitutional [3] 44/18 44/24 252/16
constitutionality [1] 62/10
consult [1] 223/18
consulting [4] 210/18 210/24 211/1
211/9
consuming [1] 169/5
contact [14] 76/18 77/6 77/7 118/10 118/14 119/2 121/17 121/19 121/21 128/14 128/21 144/23 145/4 184/25
contacted [2] 118/23 143/23
contained [1] 233/10
contains [2] 38/19 62/5
contemporaneous [2] 101/25 199/19 contend [1] 104/19
contention [1] 25/20
contest [4] 68/18 163/21 179/15 236/12
contestable [1] 61/5
contesting [1] 221/17
context [3] 96/18 181/20 227/13
continue [3] 43/20 129/6 162/4 continued [6] 4/2 8/6 23/24 108/18 128/6 144/24
continuing [1] 44/20
contract [8] 23/2 23/5 223/20 224/4
234/25 242/20 242/25 247/14
contracted [1] 220/22
contractor [1] 234/25
contradict [1] 166/8
control [2] 110/2 159/12
controlling [1] 241/15
controls [1] 147/5
controversies [1] 145/8
controversy [1] 73/15
convened [1] 97/2
conversant [1] 195/13
conversation [12] 36/16 110/13 112/14
112/14 115/8 115/9 115/13 144/2
171/16 178/5 253/4 255/22
conversations [5] 55/8 72/6 108/19
113/3 236/11
conversed [1] 71/22
conveys [1] 39/15
convinced [1] 252/15
convincingly [1] 199/22
cooperate [1] 119/14
copied [1] 117/4
copy [16] 12/21 28/2 28/12 54/6 59/16
60/19 102/20 103/12 107/12 107/14
109/16 120/6 120/25 137/7 174/7 193/2
core [4] 34/2 34/2 96/5 99/23
corner [1] 75/3
coronavirus [1] 218/4
correct [93] 8/25 9/1 9/9 9/12 10/20
11/1 11/10 11/17 12/5 13/20 14/8 16/18 16/21 19/3 23/11 26/4 26/12 26/13 30/4 32/5 33/21 38/15 38/17 39/23 50/19 51/1 51/7 52/14 56/6 56/15 56/19 56/22 57/13 65/16 67/21 67/23 69/1 69/10 69/15 75/19 91/11 104/5 108/21 109/12 109/15 110/19 110/20 110/23 111/20 111/20 116/9 116/13 116/17 117/20 118/14 124/13 125/14 127/18 127/21 129/7 132/19 132/23 134/14 135/19 147/13 148/2 148/3 149/5 149/7 149/11 149/12 152/24 153/16 160/22 160/23 164/22 165/4 165/10 165/17 174/20 174/21 178/25 185/2 185/21 187/14 187/17 204/13 218/21 218/23 220/24 235/21 246/24 254/5
corrected [5] 107/15 107/16 107/25 182/19 251/16
correctly [8] 34/8 37/6 39/7 53/21 174/17 175/12 190/17 216/19 correspondence [1] 90/14 cost [7] 218/13 241/5 247/11 247/14 247/15 250/16 255/5
costs [10] 64/12 64/20 64/22 64/23 249/25 250/2 250/5 250/7 250/12

\section*{250/14 \\ coughing [1] 76/6}
could [94] 6/12 18/7 21/3 23/5 47/14 49/23 52/5 53/20 54/5 56/3 57/1 57/20 61/20 65/14 72/10 74/6 75/5 76/16 78/4 81/18 84/14 85/15 86/3 93/7 98/17 104/6 105/11 107/9 108/4 112/16 116/10 116/19 117/17 117/25 118/5 120/14 120/24 122/13 122/24 125/20 127/2 127/12 132/13 133/1 133/22 136/10 137/18 137/19 140/6 146/5 151/18 154/6 172/2 172/4 172/10 172/15 174/24 175/6 181/9 182/3
182/13 184/1 184/8 185/9 186/21 188/3 188/24 189/21 190/3 190/8 191/3 191/11 191/15 193/10 193/25 195/10 196/13 197/13 199/11 203/22 209/9 210/11 216/16 218/20 221/18 232/16 232/20 241/18 250/20 250/22 251/2 251/4 252/11 253/18
couldn't [2] 215/6 251/11
council [2] 162/1 212/10
counsel [32] 5/3 7/11 24/8 48/12 67/7 67/19 67/19 74/10 94/14 95/6 99/6 100/16 102/21 103/10 105/21 111/6 111/8 113/5 127/4 133/8 154/6 156/4 157/25 172/16 178/13 180/3 186/5 186/19 200/14 237/25 257/1 257/5 count [26] 68/18 81/8 81/10 94/6 98/16 102/13 103/4 103/23 105/4 110/10 178/18 179/2 179/10 179/14 179/18 179/20 179/21 179/22 179/22 180/8 180/11 180/12 180/15 180/20 180/22 210/21
counted [35] 32/10 32/13 32/16 32/17 32/21 32/23 33/3 33/15 33/20 34/8 34/8 34/16 35/6 35/14 35/16 35/21 35/21 36/8 36/23 37/21 45/20 46/18 47/3 56/10 56/12 57/7 57/18 57/18 61/7 62/16 178/22 190/10 190/20 191/4 191/10
countenance [1] 159/21
counterfeit [1] 140/9
counties [26] 40/5 43/20 160/3 168/22 176/4 179/4 213/22 218/7 218/10 218/11 220/23 223/17 224/5 224/7 228/6 233/17 233/18 241/8 246/9 247/11 247/15 249/7 249/23 250/15 250/16 251/1
counting [1] 255/1
country [3] 11/16 212/14 242/14 counts [6] 35/4 37/11 39/16 68/16 108/25 175/13
county [181] \(5 / 2\) 10/1 10/1 10/3 12/10 12/11 16/3 26/22 43/19 52/14 57/11 57/11 58/23 65/3 65/15 66/4 66/25 70/13 70/22 71/1 71/22 72/1 72/4 72/16 72/21 73/3 73/10 73/14 74/2 76/11 76/19 77/7 77/8 80/2 80/8 80/15 80/20 80/23 81/3 81/21 82/12 82/15 82/21 82/23 83/3 85/13 86/9 86/11 87/13 87/16 88/5 90/6 90/14 90/20 90/24 91/14 91/18 94/3 96/9 96/21 97/20 98/10 98/12 101/17 102/11 103/1 103/13 103/22 104/7 105/3 107/23 108/9 109/25 110/10 111/1 115/2 115/3 116/15 118/19 121/9 121/18 122/1 122/8 122/23 125/21 126/22 127/1

\section*{C}
county... [94] 127/2 127/3 127/13 128/5 128/17 129/17 130/3 130/12 130/16 130/21 131/8 132/3 132/18 132/24 134/12 143/19 144/5 144/18 145/20 145/24 146/14 146/16 146/22 147/3 148/10 148/16 148/20 148/21 149/4 149/10 149/18 150/8 150/13 153/2 153/7 153/20 154/5 154/13 154/13 154/18 155/3 155/4 155/21 157/6 157/9 159/12 160/6 162/17 163/11 163/12 163/17 167/8 167/18 170/2 170/6 172/9 174/18 175/9 175/24 176/1 180/24 182/1 185/11 185/13 185/25 187/10 199/24 199/25 201/9 204/9 210/20 212/25 213/24 214/1 216/14 217/6 218/16 221/2 221/4 224/16 224/18 224/20 225/1 225/7 225/12 225/14 232/3 232/25 233/2 240/22 241/9 247/7 249/19 249/21 county's [5] 101/18 105/1 105/10 108/23 144/25
couple [5] 84/14 92/13 173/13 210/25 253/8
course [15] 15/18 27/16 35/9 44/8 52/7 71/14 96/13 116/4 128/1 149/13 182/18 198/21 214/15 234/18 240/14
court [77] 1/3 1/6 1/9 1/1 1/23 5/15
6/21 6/21 6/25 40/9 40/16 41/2 41/23 44/15 44/16 44/17 44/23 46/16 58/18 67/9 67/11 69/18 70/22 78/5 80/23 82/17 85/16 95/14 98/11 101/2 102/20 105/22 110/6 111/7 113/25 141/12 152/8 153/24 157/20 159/20 166/14 177/13 194/8 197/12 198/19 199/7 199/13 200/3 200/22 201/6 201/6 201/10 201/14 206/19 206/22 209/9 219/10 222/16 240/5 242/23 243/2 243/17 244/23 245/23 249/19 250/17 252/11 252/13 252/14 253/17 254/22 254/25 258/6 258/7 258/10 258/17 258/17
Court's [5] 69/25 198/4 198/22 206/18 251/14
COURTHOUSE [1] 1/24
courtroom [6] 43/11 45/4 45/14 48/19 82/5 257/13
cover [2] 164/12 191/25
Coverdell [1] 210/1
covered [3] 94/7 170/9 229/2
CPA [2] 9/7 9/19
crap [1] 235/20
crazy [2] 96/4 97/9
create [2] 52/9 240/24
creates [1] 38/5
creating [2] 40/11 96/6
credentials [1] 176/9
credibility [8] 125/11 125/14 125/17
127/6 127/13 142/19 142/23 202/4
credible [8] 86/21 90/23 103/24 105/11
118/4 125/9 140/9 141/1
credibly [1] 184/7
Creek [1] 211/15
crew [1] 155/9
criminal [4] 86/11 119/14 186/4 186/18 criticisms [2] 216/6 216/19
criticized [1] 132/16
criticizing [2] 135/24 192/14
cross [22] 2/4 4/6 5/6 8/8 8/20 47/24 97/17 145/9 150/7 185/17 197/19 209/6 212/21 230/15 234/10 234/11 234/19 235/7 235/18 235/25 251/18 253/9
cross-examination [7] 4/6 8/8 8/20
97/17 145/9 209/6 234/11
cross-talk [1] 185/17
crossover [1] 209/14
crowd [2] 175/4 256/14
crowded [1] 51/20
CRR [3] 1/23 258/6 258/16
culpable [1] 100/12
cumbersome [1] 222/2
cumulative [1] 94/8
cure [4] 66/14 66/24 221/11 221/13
cured [1] 221/9
curing [1] 66/19
curiosity [1] 128/7
CURLING [24] 1/4 2/2 25/8 25/15 41/18 68/1 68/2 74/11 75/6 77/24 78/13 78/15 83/20 83/23 86/15 113/23 141/19 145/18 149/10 153/15 191/11 192/23 201/4 244/2
current [10] 11/4 40/5 67/15 161/12
161/22 244/22 246/11 246/24 247/12 248/2
currently [8] 29/2 29/19 30/12 31/3
50/14 56/18 224/1 241/18
custody [2] 159/12 228/4
cut [5] 55/14 107/12 107/20 198/9 198/15
CV [1] 1/6
cyber [14] 84/20 84/22 87/4 87/7 131/18 147/15 185/16 202/11 221/22 228/8 231/8 231/9 233/14 233/23
cybersecurity [25] 11/25 60/1 191/22
199/21 213/4 213/6 228/7 228/7 228/20 230/21 231/8 231/9 231/17 231/23
232/13 232/13 233/23 234/23 237/2
240/13 240/16 240/17 241/3 241/14 253/16
cycle [1] 159/23
D
D.C [1] 212/18
dangerous [1] 158/21
DANIEL [1] 3/9
DANIELLE [1] 3/6
Daschle [1] 242/3
data [2] 159/18 218/1
database [1] 172/11
date [8] 12/20 22/21 23/6 55/14 90/8
90/9 173/24 174/12
dated [1] 106/2
dates [1] 17/4
DAVID [3] 2/4 2/24 2/25
DAVIS [5] \(2 / 212 / 23\) 7/7 28/5 41/19
day [33] 7/20 22/8 45/9 65/3 65/13
65/14 66/1 66/2 66/6 66/7 66/8 96/20
118/23 118/25 119/5 125/8 126/25
131/16 143/24 155/14 166/16 210/11
215/7 220/17 222/13 223/5 224/12
233/18 244/21 245/25 245/25 246/18 258/13
days [7] 1/4 13/18 105/4 145/5 145/6
155/13 161/5
DC [2] 210/9 241/25
deadline [1] 215/23
deadlines [1] 214/16
deal [6] 92/21 114/17 200/18 218/12 241/4 246/8
dealing [5] 90/13 140/17 201/22
202/18 233/19
dealt [4] 58/11 96/14 140/18 168/10
debate [3] 33/23 40/15 51/14
debates [4] 10/25 13/8 13/15 80/21
decade [1] 10/11
December [27] 72/8 72/9 80/19 90/9
90/10 91/18 91/19 96/7 96/8 97/1 97/9 101/13 101/16 101/19 101/25 102/3
102/8 105/8 106/3 108/18 109/13 128/1
157/5 197/20 222/8 249/8 251/5
December 16 [1] 80/19
December 2020 [1] 157/5
December 26 [1] 109/13
December 4 [2] 90/9 91/18
December 4th [1] 96/8
December 9 [2] 90/10 91/19
decide [5] 5/15 48/12 99/23 138/13 139/3
decided [7] 40/10 210/20 211/25 212/1 218/11 218/15 220/18
deciding [2] 240/2 240/19
decision [15] 23/18 23/23 83/1 90/21
90/22 93/10 95/21 101/19 101/21 102/3
105/1 163/18 217/15 217/21 224/15
declaration [4] 173/22 174/7 176/13 177/8
declarations [1] 173/23
declare [1] 69/18
declared [1] 215/19
decompress [1] 5/19
decree [1] 44/16
dedicate [1] 15/1
deem [1] 235/4
deemed [1] 82/24
deems [1] 64/10
deep [1] 99/2
deeper [1] 89/3
defend [3] 93/10 93/14 156/14
defendant [7] 100/12 144/6 153/22 158/24 165/15 201/13 201/15
Defendant's [1] 105/20
defendants [9] 1/7 \(3 / 3148 / 1148 / 8\) 148/14 149/16 159/2 159/21 187/24
defendants' [33] 4/2 8/6 12/15 13/1
18/4 20/10 21/2 28/17 49/24 54/1 54/20 59/6 59/20 60/23 63/3 68/7 94/23 96/8 102/19 103/17 106/5 109/6 109/19 119/24 120/10 129/14 133/23 134/16
134/21 135/13 139/12 139/18 140/7
defense [3] 201/19 222/21 228/17
defenses [1] 86/7
defensible [1] 61/5
defer [4] 6/4 113/25 239/6 239/9
define [3] 32/19 229/9 231/2
defined [9] 64/21 67/15 181/17 228/24
229/6 230/20 231/3 242/24 243/3
definition [2] 31/21 181/16
degree [9] 15/17 15/23 48/16 48/17
95/19 209/9 210/10 210/23 235/1
delayed [2] 85/13 105/3
delete [2] 135/4 135/8
deliberately [1] 207/13
deliver [2] 64/23 65/10

Delta [1] 217/25
DeMillo [10] 60/6 60/7 60/8 60/16 154/22 154/24 155/5 155/23 156/6 156/9
demographics [3] 206/25 207/9 207/19
demonstrably [1] 61/7
demonstrated [2] 20/16 245/6
denied [4] 170/13 170/13 203/9 230/5
denier [1] 225/22
dense [1] 167/6
deny [1] 17/14
department [3] 118/19 232/2 234/9
depend [1] 67/1
dependable [4] 104/1 104/9 104/12 104/20
depending [2] 216/7 227/13
depends [3] 133/13 133/18 169/8
deploy [3] 215/4 215/6 226/5
deployed [2] 25/21 26/2
deploying [1] 215/12
deployment [3] 214/7 214/17 215/3
deposition [35] 86/19 111/16 116/7
116/12 124/8 124/12 127/16 127/23
129/25 130/3 130/9 130/10 130/11
130/15 146/12 146/21 146/23 148/1
148/2 148/7 148/8 148/20 149/1 149/22
149/25 150/1 150/11 151/10 153/6
160/20 185/5 186/11 203/1 204/8 238/4
depositions [5] 115/4 149/11 149/21
152/24 229/20
deprived [1] 68/13
depth [1] 228/17
describe [2] 21/5 59/25
described [14] 18/20 36/10 52/16
63/14 65/16 68/2 68/9 71/1 81/4 128/20
180/12 181/15 225/16 249/3
describes [1] 13/5
describing [2] 132/24 133/2
deserved [1] 125/11
designated [2] 151/11 151/12
designation [1] 152/5
designed [3] 31/12 31/13 255/13
desired [1] 67/2
desk [2] 202/6 202/8
desktop [1] 147/17
despite [1] 100/4
detail [1] 144/18
detailed [1] 255/5
details [1] 175/8
determinative [1] 86/12
determine [13] 35/14 36/6 37/16 37/20 44/24 45/8 126/7 126/9 127/5 171/25
190/20 191/9 245/10
determined [1] 115/16
device [2] 24/19 171/2
devices [21] 19/10 19/17 24/2 25/2
43/21 52/9 56/17 56/21 57/8 57/10
57/15 68/24 68/25 69/2 170/23 171/6
190/2 190/4 190/9 191/8 226/10
DHS [1] 234/8
DIANE [1] 3/9
Dick [1] 211/25
did [199] 5/25 16/7 17/2 17/3 17/7 18/13 21/5 23/4 41/7 47/1 58/25 67/18 71/24 72/23 73/23 74/22 76/20 80/2

83/9 85/8 85/24 86/13 87/21 87/25 88/2 90/6 90/23 93/6 93/18 93/18 93/23 94/2 96/11 101/21 101/23 101/23 102/11 102/13 102/14 104/17 105/15 110/12 110/12 110/12 110/17 111/13 111/23 111/24 115/21 117/1 117/15 118/3 118/6 118/10 119/2 119/7 119/9 119/12 121/17 121/19 121/21 122/6 123/4 123/7 123/8 124/1 124/14 124/16 124/19 124/23 124/24 125/2 125/13 126/11 126/14 127/4 127/18 128/13 128/20 129/6 129/8 129/9 129/13 130/16 131/2 131/3 131/8 131/11 131/17 132/7 132/12 140/22 141/7 143/19 143/21 145/18 145/24 145/24 146/12 146/15 147/6 147/9 147/12 147/15 147/21 147/22 147/24 148/8 148/14 149/16 149/17 150/3 150/10 150/13 150/14 150/15 153/12 153/18 154/17 155/11 155/16 155/17 156/14 156/16 156/17 156/18 156/20 156/21 158/8 158/20 159/7 160/1 160/12 165/16 170/13 171/18 172/2 172/20 174/23 175/4 175/22 176/5 176/8 178/17 180/8 184/25 185/10 185/22 187/15 195/23 196/1 196/2 198/3 198/23 201/13 201/14 202/2 202/16 205/20 208/1 209/12 209/16 209/25 211/15 214/16 214/20 215/4 216/19 218/8 218/18 220/12 220/21 221/2 222/18 223/18 223/20 224/11 226/1 226/5 226/8 226/14 228/23 230/15 230/17 230/23 231/18 232/9 232/24 234/3 234/5 234/15 235/25 236/1 237/20 237/21 242/10 243/22 245/15 251/7
didn't [44] 54/24 66/3 70/2 73/16 76/7 81/14 85/6 86/17 86/18 86/24 97/18 101/22 108/11 114/4 114/6 116/4 118/14 119/7 119/16 127/16 130/19 130/25 136/19 136/22 139/23 144/1 149/6 151/7 156/19 160/12 181/19 183/18 183/18 185/8 185/15 185/21 185/22 189/6 196/18 210/12 211/16 247/7 254/6 257/19
differ [1] 67/3
difference [3] 11/25 179/1 199/6 different [30] 41/9 50/20 55/8 55/8 63/12 68/1 70/1 87/12 88/8 132/3 132/4 164/11 164/13 181/6 184/1 198/22 205/13 208/10 220/23 220/23 227/12 228/10 228/14 228/21 231/3 241/13 242/1 248/23 251/19 254/18
differentiation [1] 57/24
differently [1] 83/6
difficult [4] 65/24 97/9 246/4 247/16
difficulty [2] 51/20 174/25
DIGGES [6] 2/13 2/13 2/18 2/18 28/5 28/5
dire [1] 156/25
direct [10] 4/7 4/8 4/11 15/17 75/25
87/5 143/4 151/6 173/6 209/3
directed [1] 170/23
direction [2] 36/17 109/25
directly [6] 83/3 86/14 115/6 210/24 217/18 255/14
director [8] 11/8 11/14 12/6 43/14 52/20 109/11 209/18 209/24
directors [3] 75/22 212/21 213/19 disabled [1] 32/3
disagree [4] 30/16 37/22 50/12 183/22 disagreement [1] 195/15 disappear [1] 7/2
discipline [1] 99/7
disclose [1] 92/23
disclosed [5] 88/23 92/15 229/2 229/4 229/12
discovered [2] 170/7 178/21
discovery [24] 86/6 86/15 123/17
125/9 125/10 145/11 145/13 145/19
145/23 145/25 146/1 146/2 146/4
149/13 149/14 149/17 229/2 229/4
229/13 229/16 251/12 251/22 251/23 253/22
discredited [1] 191/19
discrepancies [1] 91/14
discrepancy [2] 90/11 108/3
discuss [2] 7/11 184/15
discussing [2] 108/22 253/10
discussion [9] 18/10 95/18 100/24
147/7 169/9 177/18 201/20 213/6 255/21
discussions [2] 128/6 193/23
disenfranchised [1] 218/20
disenfranchisement [1] 246/6
disingenuously [1] 191/18
dispute [4] 89/8 89/10 222/14 230/7
disputed [3] 89/4 200/23 253/11
disputes [1] 123/18
distill [1] 6/5
distinction [2] 63/19 65/6
district [14] 1/1 \(1 / 11 / 12\) 6/22 157/20 210/4 210/4 212/10 242/8 247/7 258/4 258/7 258/7 258/17
disturbance [1] 172/4
disturbing [1] 156/11
diversion [5] 167/3 193/9 193/21
193/22 194/22
divert [2] 84/3 161/8
diverted [1] 162/8
diverting [1] 171/7
DIVISION [3] 1/2 241/17 258/8
do [320]
docket [3] \(1 / 5\) 1/5 28/19
document [40] 1/8 12/17 27/14 27/19 77/1 78/4 78/9 78/12 91/10 92/25 94/11 102/18 102/23 103/6 105/23 105/25 106/1 106/13 106/20 120/1 120/3 124/2 139/8 139/10 139/24 141/10 141/17 142/7 160/11 164/9 166/2 168/18 177/21 183/2 188/9 191/15 192/12 192/20 193/13 257/2
documents [19] 90/5 90/15 91/15 92/14 92/16 93/3 93/7 93/17 94/15 94/16 146/10 149/15 163/6 164/13 165/9 165/11 172/21 194/22 229/24 does [55] 12/12 12/13 12/14 13/13 14/1 20/11 20/13 20/14 26/17 26/25 \(27 / 3\) 28/12 34/6 44/12 54/5 57/11 57/25 61/14 62/1 65/6 71/9 78/24 94/4 96/19 102/25 103/6 103/12 104/18 104/19 105/25 121/14 121/15 122/1 124/4 139/10 148/13 152/10 154/1 160/21 168/24 173/17 174/8 178/12 186/5 207/19 223/21 227/10 235/4 235/15 240/12 240/15 240/18 246/11 248/7

\section*{D}
does... [1] 249/2
doesn't [27] 5/14 45/6 45/10 46/3 50/24 81/22 84/10 85/7 88/12 89/4 91/12 94/4 94/10 95/19 95/19 96/3 100/10 120/25 121/14 122/6 131/20 137/15 165/19 166/7 184/12 238/25 239/11
doing [29] 6/23 9/19 10/7 15/13 21/14 43/7 45/21 51/18 64/25 65/21 80/10 84/4 133/13 144/19 151/7 155/2 155/8 164/10 169/2 171/11 201/15 207/21 218/8 218/17 220/16 222/7 229/25 249/11 249/13
Dole [1] 242/3
dollars [3] 218/5 218/15 219/23
Dominic [2] 114/24 114/25
Dominion [44] 9/3 23/2 29/2 40/6
56/17 57/12 57/14 69/8 69/11 69/12
69/20 81/2 82/12 82/14 82/16 96/25
104/4 104/8 104/11 104/15 104/19
104/21 115/1 173/18 174/25 176/5
176/8 178/6 181/17 191/19 197/21 198/7 202/10 214/7 215/5 217/1 218/9 234/20 234/23 235/5 242/20 243/1 245/6 246/12
don't [160] 6/23 7/1 11/20 11/24 12/11
14/20 16/5 17/4 17/12 17/14 17/21
18/14 18/14 18/15 18/18 20/21 \(22 / 25\)
23/15 26/11 \(27 / 5\) 27/15 28/19 30/18
31/19 33/4 33/6 34/21 35/18 36/18 39/3
40/17 49/8 54/8 55/6 57/22 58/11 58/19
59/8 69/25 71/2 73/15 76/15 76/23
78/16 78/21 80/10 81/12 85/19 87/17
90/3 92/14 92/23 93/24 94/5 94/9 96/22 97/13 98/3 100/9 105/13 106/9 107/4 109/1 114/15 118/23 118/25 119/3 119/4 123/14 123/14 123/16 123/22 124/10 124/25 124/25 126/9 129/3 130/22 131/14 131/20 132/15 133/1 133/7 134/4 135/21 136/6 136/11 136/15 136/16 137/10 137/25 139/20 141/10 147/14 151/13 152/1 153/19 159/22 163/24 165/19 165/20 169/13 172/25 175/19 175/22 177/6 177/21 177/21 178/6 180/21 181/10 182/2 182/4 182/16 182/20 184/14 186/7 192/21 193/6 194/18 195/7 196/6
196/12 197/9 198/1 199/2 199/8 200/15 204/17 204/21 204/25 205/15 205/18 223/4 225/23 227/17 229/1 229/5 231/5 231/21 237/15 237/15 238/5 238/24 239/16 239/22 245/12 247/17 247/17 251/17 252/22 253/3 253/5 253/11 254/8 255/7 255/16 255/21 256/25 257/2
DONALD [1] 3/10 done [39] 5/11 5/18 9/19 15/17 16/5 16/19 27/6 74/25 89/3 89/5 93/4 103/10 161/1 162/16 162/20 170/21 173/23 185/9 187/10 197/25 203/16 206/24 207/7 212/19 212/20 223/8 224/18 225/23 232/16 233/8 233/14 233/14 234/8 247/12 247/23 250/13 250/20 250/21 254/17
DONNA [3] 1/4 2/2 2/2
door [8] 106/10 192/10 193/12 194/19

217/23 218/1 224/21 225/3
double [1] 178/7
doubt [6] 20/19 20/21 23/6 52/25 54/8 77/15
Doug [2] 131/18 147/15
down [30] 19/11 28/7 47/19 48/12 48/24 68/11 77/6 80/15 84/5 98/10 105/2 105/7 107/9 142/11 151/8 151/8 151/16 161/6 161/19 210/10 210/15 211/20 216/13 222/22 233/2 233/9 241/9 249/18 249/18 255/25
download [2] 159/14 195/17
Dr. [33] 60/6 60/6 60/6 60/7 154/22
154/24 155/5 155/23 156/6 156/9 190/15 196/9 197/18 197/20 200/22 210/11 229/6 230/6 231/17 234/12 234/20 235/19 235/23 236/9 237/1 240/16 251/10 251/21 252/2 252/12 253/17 254/18 255/15
Dr. Appel [1] 60/6
Dr. DeMillo [8] 60/6 60/7 154/22
154/24 155/5 155/23 156/6 156/9
Dr. Halderman [17] 196/9 197/18 197/20 200/22 229/6 230/6 231/17 234/12 236/9 237/1 251/10 251/21 252/2 252/12 253/17 254/18 255/15
Dr. Halderman's [4] 234/20 235/19 235/23 240/16
Dr. Norwood [1] 210/11
Dr. Stark [2] 60/6 190/15
draw [4] 107/20 112/15 199/13 216/1
drawn [1] 138/19
DRE [4] 38/16 47/14 47/19 159/10
DREs [1] 19/19
DRIVE [1] 1/24
driver's [4] 226/20 226/22 227/1 227/2 drives [2] 117/12 117/13
drones [1] 144/19
drop [4] 65/10 65/10 155/16 155/17 Drs [1] 60/16
Duffey [8] 163/8 165/12 165/14 166/23
167/10 167/24 168/6 188/4
Dufort [2] 126/16 127/4
duly [2] 8/19 209/1
DUMA [1] 3/10
dumpster [1] 144/20
Dunwoody [1] 211/16
duplicate [1] 103/24
during [18] 71/25 72/6 80/12 80/18
124/11 \(127 / 15\) 148/1 148/20 154/2
154/20 154/25 159/22 175/9 186/11
203/7 215/16 219/19 226/6
duties [3] 9/25 10/2 83/18
duty [3] 44/20 84/1 87/19
E
each [7] 10/1 67/2 99/9 99/10 100/5 197/10 255/10
ear [4] 7/25 98/2 98/4 98/6
earlier [10] 84/25 89/19 125/3 157/6
184/20 185/8 186/24 217/3 229/2 230/7
earliest [2] 251/4 251/5
early [10] 5/18 7/12 63/15 102/2 102/8
115/13 211/6 215/18 246/17 250/10
Ease [1] 240/23
easier [4] 28/20 74/7 95/20 200/17 easiest [3] 27/15 74/25 75/1
easy [1] 256/17
eat [1] 6/12
ECF [2] \(1 / 21 / 3\)
echo [1] 44/9
Ed [5] 72/22 72/25 109/13 118/24 119/2
educate [1] 162/11
educated [1] 48/16
educating [3] 13/14 15/20 15/21 education [5] 13/7 16/3 16/6 16/7 162/4
educational [2] 162/17 162/19 EDWARD [1] 3/6
effect [6] 71/7 131/10 159/17 163/21
176/2 226/16
effective [1] 190/2
efficacy [1] 44/20
efficiency [3] 138/11 221/16 222/19
efficiently [1] 139/6
effort [6] 14/21 15/1 121/8 126/10 149/16 169/5
efforting [1] 219/13
efforts [22] 15/4 15/20 15/22 25/9 25/11 83/22 83/24 83/25 142/19 142/23 143/20 146/3 149/9 154/2 162/5 163/20 171/9 187/10 232/1 234/4 234/16
251/17
eight [1] 247/13
either [25] 15/12 23/25 65/10 75/12 102/10 114/25 115/5 124/11 126/23 130/2 145/3 153/19 154/10 154/12 154/14 175/1 180/24 198/12 198/18 207/2 207/4 221/21 229/12 241/19 255/23
elect [1] 211/23
elected [4] 10/3 73/21 212/8 212/9
election [239] 9/3 9/5 9/17 9/20 9/22 9/23 9/25 10/8 10/25 11/3 11/16 11/22 11/25 14/11 15/21 16/2 17/18 24/15 24/17 31/6 31/11 38/2 38/3 40/6 45/23 49/4 52/10 52/14 52/21 56/3 56/7 60/1 61/17 65/3 65/3 65/11 65/13 65/14 65/15 66/1 66/2 66/4 66/6 66/7 66/8 66/18 66/25 68/15 68/16 68/18 68/24 69/19 70/14 71/3 72/15 72/19 73/4 73/5 73/7 73/10 73/14 75/18 75/22 76/2 76/3 76/5 76/11 77/8 80/14 80/15 80/18 83/19 84/6 89/23 90/7 96/6 96/10 96/12 98/13 101/19 102/11 103/24 104/8 105/1 105/5 105/11 108/10 111/1 117/4 117/17 117/18 125/23 126/25 127/1 127/1 140/17 142/17 142/19 142/23 143/12 144/6 144/25 145/1 145/8 145/20 153/25 154/11 155/14 155/22 157/23 158/22 159/23 162/6 162/17 162/23 163/8 166/21 166/22 166/24 167/10 167/24 168/10 168/13 168/20 170/1 170/4 175/10 178/9 179/13 181/6 187/21 188/10 188/14 188/23 188/24 189/10 189/10 189/18 189/19 189/21 189/22 189/23 189/25 190/4 190/6 190/9 191/2 191/7 191/13 200/21 203/6 203/7 207/11 209/23 215/7 216/2 216/3 216/4 216/25 217/11 219/25 220/5 220/12 220/14 221/10 221/10 221/17 221/18 221/21 222/1 223/8 224/22 225/4 225/22 225/25 226/1 226/3 226/6 226/7 226/16 226/17 227/10 227/15 227/20 228/3 228/22 228/23 228/24

\section*{E}
election... [51] 229/6 229/9 230/5 231/2 231/19 231/20 231/20 232/17 234/1 234/1 234/3 235/10 236/8 236/12 236/14 239/13 240/11 240/19 241/11 241/17 242/7 242/14 242/23 243/3 243/16 244/21 244/24 245/1 245/25 245/25 246/1 246/2 246/18 247/9 247/21 248/1 248/5 248/7 248/14 249/4 249/6 249/7 250/13 250/18 250/23 252/10 253/14 254/15 254/16 255/2 255/3
elections [70] 10/2 10/19 11/6 11/23 16/4 16/9 16/16 25/17 29/3 29/19 37/4 37/13 40/2 41/21 42/13 52/15 55/24 58/24 59/13 61/15 80/3 80/9 96/22 103/2 103/13 103/22 108/20 108/23 110/3 115/3 125/13 130/3 130/13 130/16 131/8 142/22 143/11 144/19 155/7 159/15 181/7 182/2 189/1 190/7 195/18 212/21 212/22 212/25 213/13 213/17 213/18 213/19 219/24 220/1 221/4 221/21 224/2 225/7 225/15 227/6 240/22 241/4 242/5 242/6 243/4 245/7 247/18 249/7 249/9 249/17
Elections' [1] 101/19
electronic [8] 11/19 19/9 19/17 24/2
56/20 103/4 103/23 140/18
electronically [1] 114/10
eleven [1] 247/13
Eleventh [1] 201/11
elicit [1] 46/3
else [22] \(8 / 1\) 14/5 21/15 26/24 31/16 33/7 94/5 107/5 111/13 116/11 127/5 131/19 148/10 148/16 193/7 208/1
221/1 224/11 249/22 252/13 256/16 257/14
elsewhere [1] 97/20
email [13] 58/10 59/9 59/12 59/16 60/17 92/24 109/8 109/8 109/16 163/7 165/14 168/5 188/4
emailed [2] 111/7 113/4
emails [2] 75/21 232/22
emergency [2] 215/19 219/18
emphasize [1] 233/17
emphatically [1] 147/3
employed [1] 10/7
employee [1] 241/10
employees [3] 144/20 144/21 241/16
employment [1] 209/13
empty [1] 44/2
EMS [6] 84/22 147/23 171/1 225/3
228/12 233/10
encountered [1] 108/5
encourage [1] 52/22
end [10] 7/20 45/9 96/3 112/21 124/4
150/10 185/21 233/18 254/2 255/7
ended [6] 121/20 204/22 211/1 211/3 211/6 211/12
enforce [3] 40/5 65/24 249/20
enforcement [8] 25/4 83/2 84/19 87/9
122/8 124/24 153/12 185/1
enforcement-related [1] 153/12
enforcing [4] 23/20 25/1 244/24 245/24
engage [3] 13/16 14/14 14/16 engaged [9] 10/4 15/15 16/1 16/15

16/19 23/22 161/18 171/23 181/15
engages [2] 15/4 173/15
engaging [1] 14/19
ENGLISH [1] 3/10
enhance [1] 228/24
enjoin [4] 201/7 242/23 243/2 244/5
enjoined [1] 42/22
enormously [1] 202/19
enough [5] 39/23 103/7 122/2 207/8 207/20
enter [14] 13/1 21/2 28/16 60/23 77/24 81/13 103/16 106/4 109/18 113/7
113/20 120/9 131/9 134/15
entered [1] 82/14
entire [13] 18/13 44/2 45/13 47/24
74/17 74/18 89/1 93/5 113/11 113/12
154/3 159/19 251/1
entirely [3] 88/8 194/17 248/22
entirety [4] 113/1 113/7 150/19 221/16
entitled [7] 93/15 98/21 152/8 166/5
222/20 237/21 239/2
entity [1] 236/9
entry [1] \(1 / 5\)
envelope [1] 65/9
envelopes [1] 220/9
environment [5] 145/7 246/17 246/18
249/8 250/23
episode [1] 88/9
equally [1] \(52 / 2\)
equipment [42] 31/6 31/11 31/18 31/18 34/18 56/18 82/13 82/15 82/16 104/5 104/8 104/11 104/19 104/21 111/1 116/15 117/17 121/10 125/23 126/22 131/9 131/13 131/19 140/18 145/20 157/23 158/22 171/1 171/5 214/8 214/17 215/5 215/12 216/20 220/23 226/5 228/4 232/4 233/19 233/20 245/6 246/12
equity [7] 44/16 44/18 44/23 201/6 201/10 201/14 211/1
Eric [7] 76/22 77/8 81/13 102/5 150/1 150/3 186/11
Ernestine [1] 103/3
erroneously [1] 66/13
error [4] 105/14 108/9 108/12 181/12
errors [2] 191/25 207/20
ES [4] 57/8 57/12 58/24 59/14
especially [3] 246/17 246/18 250/10
essential [1] 188/14
essentially [7] 211/21 213/5 213/16
214/3 214/11 226/24 249/7
establish [1] 163/20
established [1] 44/17
estimated [1] 178/9
et [3] \(1 / 41 / 6222 / 8\)
et cetera [1] 222/8
EUW [1] 2/10
evaluate [1] 168/22
Evans [2] 52/20 256/1
even [32] 34/8 43/17 45/5 46/7 47/8 48/15 83/14 88/12 88/25 98/11 105/4 131/12 143/25 149/4 156/12 158/22 159/10 159/21 176/2 179/4 180/21 189/6 192/25 199/11 200/5 209/14 210/2 232/23 237/16 239/16 248/24 253/14
event [5] 125/21 125/22 126/1 235/8 235/11
events [1] 174/18
eventually [1] 210/20
ever [14] 45/7 55/18 80/5 93/1 129/9
144/7 171/25 175/19 175/22 176/8
210/8 238/14 247/9 257/11
every [15] 12/10 12/11 45/7 149/22
153/6 164/9 165/10 182/17 195/14 211/21 217/8 217/20 232/3 246/20 247/9
everybody [1] 255/6
everyone [7] 5/17 6/12 7/2 82/8 100/4 100/11 252/25
everything [11] 43/1 44/10 78/19 97/24
128/7 159/14 161/25 195/17 198/18
228/19 231/6
evidence [41] 6/5 13/1 21/2 28/17 28/18 60/23 77/24 78/9 82/11 83/8 84/17 89/7 89/7 103/17 106/5 109/19 113/2 120/10 134/16 138/18 152/2 165/22 166/6 166/8 166/9 166/9 166/9 167/6 167/17 167/18 169/11 183/19 184/11 199/14 199/22 199/23 201/16 203/6 225/13 239/21 253/21
evidentiary [1] 88/1
evoke [1] 98/22
evolve [1] 7/17
exact [3] 71/2 246/20 250/21
exactly [10] 49/8 53/18 117/8 119/3
126/1 127/7 133/1 140/22 182/16
202/20
exaggeration [1] 202/14
exam [1] 151/6
examination [24] 4/6 4/7 4/8 4/9 4/11
8/8 8/20 97/17 143/4 145/9 150/19
153/1 153/3 153/4 157/6 173/6 181/3
184/17 199/1 203/16 209/3 209/6
221/16 234/11
examine [3] 98/20 99/24 182/18
examining [1] 165/10
example [13] 32/13 32/16 32/17 32/20
32/24 33/6 35/5 36/10 44/22 50/4 102/1
125/16 146/20
examples [1] 61/4
exception [1] 40/8
exchange [2] 54/25 143/8
exchanged [1] 225/5
exchanges [1] 120/4
exclude [1] 151/1
Excluding [1] 231/25
excuse [20] 13/22 19/9 26/9 38/24
51/13 57/19 70/23 73/7 75/5 82/25
114/19 122/5 127/1 155/6 157/21
205/10 217/19 236/3 256/15 257/5
excused [3] 83/19 182/10 206/1
excusing [1] 43/9
executive [5] 11/8 11/14 12/6 43/14 109/11
exercise [1] 110/2
exhibit [60] 12/16 13/1 18/5 20/10 21/2 28/17 49/19 49/24 54/1 54/20 58/13 59/7 59/20 60/23 74/12 75/7 77/25
78/14 94/23 96/8 102/19 103/17 105/21
106/5 109/7 109/19 119/25 120/10
129/14 133/23 134/16 134/21 135/5
135/13 139/12 139/18 140/7 142/5
157/16 157/18 163/3 163/13 163/20 164/5 165/7 165/8 165/20 167/21
167/22 167/23 168/12 168/17 169/22
exhibit... [7] 169/23 188/4 191/12 193/25 194/4 205/2 205/4
Exhibit 02 [1] 168/12
Exhibit 10 [4] 163/3 163/13 164/5 165/7
Exhibit 1137 [2] 139/18 140/7
Exhibit 12 [4] 157/16 157/18 193/25
194/4
Exhibit 1210 [2] 109/7 109/19
Exhibit 1259 [2] 133/23 134/16
Exhibit 1263 [2] 28/17 49/24
Exhibit \(1275[2] 20 / 10\) 21/2
Exhibit 1279 [1] 18/5
Exhibit 1283 [3] 94/23 105/21 106/5
Exhibit 1287 [1] 60/23
Exhibit 1293 [3] 96/8 102/19 103/17
Exhibit 174 [2] 59/7 59/20
Exhibit 176 [2] 12/16 13/1
Exhibit 194 [4] 54/1 54/20 134/21
135/13
Exhibit 2 [4] 167/21 167/22 167/23 188/4
Exhibit 372 [2] 74/12 77/25
Exhibit 412 [3] 119/25 120/10 129/14
Exhibit 5 [2] 168/17 205/2
Exhibit 6 [2] 169/22 169/23
Exhibit 728 [1] \(142 / 5\)
Exhibit Number [1] 75/7
exhibits [9] 91/1 91/2 91/3 91/5 91/24
92/3 92/4 111/15 192/25
existing [3] 217/13 217/16 220/17
exists [1] 241/15
exited [3] 43/11 82/5 257/13
expect [2] 7/10 231/5
expected [1] 100/19
expensive [1] 250/10
experience [3] 6/21 9/16 9/18
expert [7] 178/6 208/11 208/11 227/17
227/18 229/10 237/2
expert's [1] 199/21
expertise [1] 161/24
experts [4] 60/1 100/17 176/5 176/14
explain [15] 43/6 93/5 124/23 155/9
162/5 175/6 219/22 237/20 237/21
237/23 237/24 238/3 239/5 252/24
253/1
explained [2] 175/18 257/1
explains [1] 237/16
explanation [1] 239/24
express [4] 60/1 165/12 224/22 239/2
expressed [1] 220/13
expressing [2] 39/5 59/13
expressive [1] 99/14
extent [9] 83/3 87/13 87/13 90/2 94/25
99/18 114/16 161/4 183/20
extra [1] 250/12
extraordinary [1] 96/2
extremely [1] 52/10
eye [1] 149/20
F
fabricated [1] 140/10
faced [2] 132/21 134/7
facilities [1] 228/6
fact [26] 18/20 18/23 19/1 36/11 40/18 41/23 43/23 45/5 45/19 45/21 45/22

47/5 53/12 57/9 64/3 85/23 96/17 98/6
98/25 100/4 118/22 126/12 163/17
191/22 200/14 225/13
factor [1] 180/21
factors [3] 228/2 240/18 241/13
facts [8] 34/20 42/15 94/12 96/5
108/13 119/16 143/16 163/24
factual [1] 87/15
factually [1] 237/13
fail [2] 222/6 247/8
failed [2] 105/14 170/21
failings [1] 191/21
failure [4] 83/12 168/1 175/25 249/13
failures [1] \(84 / 8\)
fair [32] 10/24 14/11 14/12 14/23 25/3
25/12 29/20 30/13 30/21 30/22 31/22
39/23 49/16 49/18 61/15 75/16 75/24
77/18 97/15 104/9 122/2 133/3 135/24
136/2 143/11 151/9 153/1 164/25 175/1
186/8 187/11 235/22
fairly [1] 237/15
fairness [5] 81/24 136/13 194/18
198/12 233/25
fall [1] 170/18
falls [1] 181/16
false [1] 191/25
fame [1] 147/16
familiar [10] 16/22 19/4 19/6 20/7
142/7 213/7 214/13 224/15 225/9 241/20
familiarity [1] 245/6
far [14] 87/22 88/15 90/3 100/2 103/14
109/17 120/8 136/14 172/6 179/7
192/19 221/16 226/19 229/7
farcical [1] 216/10
fashion [1] 152/14
fast [4] 189/3 219/3 219/9 224/23
faster [1] 232/8
father [1] 210/25
fault [2] 224/12 224/13
faulty [1] 142/18
FBI [3] 86/18 118/17 205/8
FCDA [2] 75/2 75/3
fear [1] 126/18
Feasibility [1] 240/21
February [23] 80/7 98/8 124/8 124/12
124/15 124/21 125/3 127/15 127/19
127/23 129/25 146/23 147/9 147/12
157/21 173/22 174/14 185/5 185/13
215/10 215/14 215/15 215/18
February 1 [1] 80/7
February 12th [2] 173/22 174/14
February 14th [1] 215/15
February 1st [1] 98/8
February 2022 [2] 124/8 127/23
February 2022 deposition [1] 185/5
February 24th [1] 146/23
federal [9] 83/1 122/7 153/24 159/20
201/12 218/5 218/15 219/23 240/21
feed [1] 241/13
feeds [1] 228/20
feel [3] 18/15 99/14 100/4
feeling [1] 237/16
feelings [1] 237/9
fees [1] 83/24
felt [1] 232/9
few [9] 31/24 52/18 112/4 145/13
148/25 174/16 213/2 213/21 252/25
field [1] 214/24
fifth [2] 107/9 150/3
fight [5] 58/12 161/19 161/21 162/11 236/7
figure [9] 7/16 78/22 81/16 89/11
149/17 159/15 195/18 233/3 256/2
figured [1] 9/14
figuring [1] 5/12
file [4] 113/1 113/21 114/1 114/3
filed [15] \(1 / 21 / 61 / 8\) 23/12 24/25 25/14 25/15 66/17 67/11 68/1 158/10 167/25
194/8 194/12 198/19
files [12] 112/9 112/11 115/7 115/13
115/23 126/18 171/24 172/1 172/4
172/5 172/8 247/5
filing [1] 162/22
filings [3] 80/24 198/9 201/21
fill [1] 209/7
filled [1] 230/1
final [5] 178/12 202/13 209/17 214/25 215/14
finally [2] 155/16 166/2
financial [2] 9/17 103/25
find [8] 23/19 52/11 69/18 125/20
139/11 143/20 172/5 231/4
finding [3] 51/20 148/10 148/15
findings [3] 96/17 191/19 234/20
finds [1] 44/24
fine [34] 5/24 5/25 6/6 7/16 7/24 10/11
10/13 11/13 30/14 31/4 36/9 63/23
67/22 67/24 70/5 72/14 78/25 87/5
93/19 100/21 100/25 138/14 138/17
138/20 140/23 169/16 187/7 188/2
203/17 207/22 208/4 222/24 230/13 240/9
finish [6] 5/16 82/8 222/12 249/5 253/3 253/5
fired [1] 163/12
firm [4] 2/17 211/15 211/18 225/9
first [51] 6/15 8/19 11/7 21/21 23/12
24/25 25/14 25/25 28/3 28/13 32/1
47/18 54/21 55/2 58/11 59/24 61/21
63/2 64/12 69/17 79/10 92/4 96/20
102/4 103/21 110/6 112/16 122/19
124/6 126/15 133/8 135/5 136/19
138/20 140/8 142/16 145/22 155/6
159/2 160/20 163/19 189/16 200/11
201/18 210/8 215/12 229/8 238/2 247/3
247/21 252/5
FISHER [1] \(2 / 5\)
fits [2] 53/18 181/14
five [5] 95/13 95/15 101/4 206/12 223/9
five minutes [2] 95/13 95/15
five-minute [1] 206/12
flagged [1] 79/21
flashing [2] 132/21 134/8
flawed [1] 247/9
Fleming [3] 17/10 17/12 17/12
flexible [1] 44/17
flipping [3] 139/13 169/24 216/9
Florida [2] 211/2 211/3
Floyd [2] 175/24 176/1
flying [1] 144/19
focus [4] 24/12 153/10 160/25 242/6
focused [5] 11/22 45/1 45/4 126/17 134/25
focuses [1] 252/4
focusing [2] 25/25 212/12

\section*{F}

FOERSTER [1] \(2 / 7\)
folks [2] 5/18 52/18
follow [10] 11/6 14/10 46/24 145/25
167/23 167/25 168/4 171/6 182/11
240/20
follow-up [2] 167/23 182/11
follow-ups [2] 46/24 168/4
followed [1] 55/25
following[4] 1/1 68/10 144/20 145/24
follows [2] 8/19 209/2
footage [1] \(127 / 17\)
forced [3] 25/5 63/11 163/12
foregoing [1] 258/8
forensic [1] 117/3
Forget [1] 245/2
forgotten [1] 8/4
form [6] 38/5 115/21 125/24 220/7 235/3 244/15
formal [3] 145/19 149/1 162/22
formalities [1] \(27 / 25\)
formally [1] 22/16
former [4] 73/4 73/7 97/6 232/17
forms [3] 46/17 47/2 217/18
forward [7] 15/22 98/5 180/23 181/25
225/25 227/6 255/5
found [7] 42/22 83/9 94/17 112/12
183/23 221/8 221/8
foundation [2] 43/3 203/19
foundational [1] 18/21
founded [1] \(242 / 2\)
four [7] 31/14 85/14 89/19 137/3
210/15 222/15 226/25
four months [1] 89/19
four years [1] 222/15
fraction [1] 31/11
fractional [1] 216/10
frame [2] 48/5 157/5
framed [3] 46/6 125/24 131/1
frank [1] 246/3
frankly [4] 17/21 40/23 237/10 246/16
free [2] 18/15 99/14
frequently [1] 29/18
fresh [1] 206/3
friends [1] 171/22
friendship [1] 99/20
front [22] 43/7 49/24 89/14 89/25 96/2
130/22 134/22 134/25 135/8 146/21
151/24 157/19 162/21 174/6 183/1
194/1 195/3 195/4 195/5 200/2 247/23
255/22
fronts [1] 246/10
fulfill [4] 218/14 218/18 218/19 218/19
fulfilling [2] 14/18 14/20
fulfillment [1] 218/8
full [16] 1/8 45/8 47/10 82/21 111/8
111/10 111/14 113/20 114/3 114/5
121/10 179/14 188/8 216/15 218/21
241/16
full-time [1] 241/16
fully [3] 45/9 256/11 256/13
Fulton [18] 5/2 70/22 71/21 86/9
110/10 115/2 115/3 126/25 127/1 127/3
144/4 144/6 144/18 144/25 216/14
217/6 232/25 241/8
function [1] 50/25
functioning [4] 50/16 50/18 50/22

232/14
functions [2] 51/2 51/3
fund [1] 171/9
fundamental [1] 68/9
fundamentally [1] 83/8
funded [1] 241/18
funding [1] 251/5
funds [1] 218/4
further [7] 19/16 78/10 143/2 148/18
172/19 204/15 204/18
future [9] 28/7 40/1 41/21 135/5 159/15 195/18 222/17 243/3 253/13

\section*{G}

G-A-V-R-E-O [1] 213/11
Gabe [3] 86/19 89/4 146/20
GABRIEL [4] 4/10 124/8 208/19 208/25 gadfly [1] 97/22
gained [4] 110/25 127/22 128/22 204/12
gaining [1] 161/16
game [1] 48/23
gap [1] 171/5
gather [3] 123/14 143/15 163/9
gathered [1] 208/19
gauge [1] 232/8
gave [5] 76/22 123/3 151/24 164/7 164/9
GAVREO [3] 213/8 213/9 213/10
GBI [27] 74/1 74/4 77/5 80/11 86/2
86/18 93/6 93/17 118/15 119/10 119/12 122/13 123/3 123/4 123/7 123/17
182/15 182/23 183/17 183/18 184/6 187/13 187/15 205/9 205/10 205/19 205/20
GBI's [1] 123/6
gears [2] 157/4 160/19
general [43] 15/7 15/16 19/5 20/3 20/11 20/16 21/9 21/24 22/3 33/12 33/13 49/4 57/15 70/14 75/18 80/18 104/21 104/22 104/23 131/15 170/9 191/7 209/23 215/23 216/2 216/16 220/5 220/12 225/25 226/1 226/6 226/6 226/14 228/22 228/23 231/19 231/20
231/23 234/1 234/1 242/7 242/19 249/4
General's [1] 159/4
generally [29] 11/22 13/6 22/4 27/7
28/24 36/25 37/6 45/22 51/17 52/4 58/4 62/2 77/17 83/16 104/7 128/10 128/17 133/2 134/10 144/17 145/15 158/10 163/6 175/9 195/13 196/12 203/11 213/18 231/5
generated [3] 242/25 243/18 243/24
gentlemen [2] 5/4 176/7
geographic [1] 207/1
George [1] 242/4
GEORGIA [93] \(1 / 11 / 253 / 35 / 28 / 25\) 9/3 10/3 10/19 10/22 10/25 11/3 12/10 15/7 15/7 16/16 16/23 17/17 19/8 19/14 25/3 25/16 29/3 29/19 30/12 36/21 37/3 37/13 40/10 41/4 42/12 42/23 55/17 55/19 55/23 56/18 63/7 64/6 65/1 65/5 65/5 65/6 66/23 68/25 68/25 69/19 70/23 73/25 80/15 98/16 106/2 122/23 124/23 125/13 140/9 145/21 154/4 156/2 161/2 161/9 162/2 162/8 163/21 173/19 186/16 186/16 187/17 187/19 187/22 189/1 189/23 190/4 191/20

209/11 209/19 210/4 211/22 212/8 213/13 214/8 214/12 217/14 221/2 227/6 241/8 242/5 243/17 244/20 244/25 245/7 253/12 258/4 258/8 258/10
Georgia's [4] 32/11 155/21 168/3 216/4
Georgians [2] 32/3 40/2
Germany [2] 86/5 216/11
Germany's [1] 86/15
get [55] 7/11 24/15 34/15 42/8 43/6 44/14 46/9 52/5 55/7 57/1 58/13 58/15 60/12 70/17 72/14 78/4 81/18 84/9 84/10 93/25 107/15 107/15 107/25 111/13 120/14 126/20 130/24 136/10 137/2 143/22 149/14 151/4 151/16 159/23 160/2 161/3 165/24 166/9 171/12 183/19 189/6 199/24 202/20 211/17 212/4 217/22 218/1 218/9 221/18 231/8 238/25 238/25 246/5 247/6 252/2
gets [1] 182/20
getting [17] 24/17 40/15 47/9 81/9 81/9 81/23 88/4 88/16 125/5 145/17 175/12 181/2 199/5 246/20 251/10 255/16 255/24
giant [1] 241/6
Gilbert's [1] 236/25
Giuliani [4] 49/5 49/9 90/1 97/7
give [13] 10/11 26/19 50/20 78/1 110/16 123/4 165/12 190/8 193/2 193/7 215/8 239/7 239/10
given [22] 14/9 65/5 78/3 81/21 81/24
83/4 98/12 102/20 103/23 105/21 125/3 128/13 128/20 166/4 200/6 205/2
219/23 221/12 221/24 222/1 238/16 252/21
giving [2] 46/2 195/21
glad [1] 142/1
glass [1] 52/6
glasses [1] 52/5
go [84] 6/11 6/15 21/16 26/21 26/22 32/14 34/1 40/24 41/12 43/10 53/4 59/9 63/2 70/1 74/16 75/12 78/4 78/7 78/22 81/6 90/3 92/10 96/4 98/23 100/1 103/6 107/9 116/11 122/13 126/10 133/12 135/22 137/21 137/22 138/8 138/23 142/2 142/10 144/1 144/16 151/8 151/15 151/19 152/13 152/17 156/8 157/5 159/6 159/14 160/19 162/24 165/24 190/24 192/19 193/9 193/22 195/17 197/24 198/17 205/6 212/15 213/2 216/16 220/22 222/22 223/2 223/10 223/12 224/24 227/15 228/3 231/5 232/8 233/9 233/10 234/3 237/25 238/7 238/9 245/19 247/15 253/5 253/6 257/17
goal [1] 215/13
goes [12] 31/21 78/9 78/23 78/23 86/4 116/14 137/24 213/17 229/17 231/10 251/22 252/10
going [122] \(6 / 237 / 187 / 2311 / 1611 / 24\) 18/2 18/4 24/13 27/16 28/6 29/11 29/23 30/24 31/10 41/6 48/9 53/1 53/24 53/25 54/22 55/8 59/9 62/9 67/1 70/3 70/6 74/19 74/20 74/24 75/6 82/9 84/11 85/6 85/18 85/19 86/1 86/10 89/10 90/16 90/24 92/8 97/4 97/19 98/5 98/19 98/20

\section*{G}
going... [76] 99/23 100/2 100/3 100/5 106/15 107/21 112/5 113/6 114/15 133/18 139/21 140/1 141/9 142/4 142/24 150/18 150/24 150/25 151/3 152/6 157/16 160/19 162/24 167/7 169/8 179/6 181/6 181/8 191/9 192/19 196/25 198/12 198/17 200/3 201/21
202/1 202/17 203/14 204/18 204/18 206/7 207/25 208/3 215/1 219/3 219/6 219/12 222/7 223/3 223/4 224/14 224/23 227/5 229/11 229/14 231/8 233/4 237/20 237/24 238/1 239/18 242/15 242/17 246/3 248/19 250/3 252/2 252/19 252/21 252/22 255/4 255/4 257/2 257/3 257/4 257/12 goings [1] 185/11
gone [6] 20/3 77/11 89/12 98/10 117/3 117/6
good [30] 2/13 5/3 8/22 8/23 11/8 28/2
28/4 56/1 58/10 58/25 82/7 84/4 98/1
101/11 101/12 114/7 141/23 143/6
143/7 144/17 161/23 162/5 168/20
173/8 173/9 208/21 209/5 215/11 219/6 255/21
goodness [1] 10/10
got [20] 5/17 6/23 48/8 72/10 113/14 115/11 123/17 135/15 145/10 164/12
166/15 169/14 205/7 205/11 211/9
215/12 215/14 218/23 223/3 246/23
gotcha [1] 193/11
gotten [7] 77/17 91/4 99/11 99/11
115/22 161/20 233/21
GOVERNANCE [7] 2/13 11/9 28/3 28/4 58/10 58/25 168/20
governed [1] 228/13
governing [1] 226/15
government [6] 9/21 47/25 121/17
121/21 121/24 218/5
governments [1] 16/4
Governor [5] 22/11 158/24 214/22 215/1 215/20
graduating [1] 209/12
great [5] 30/9 96/6 98/1 206/14 208/6
green [1] 184/2
grocery [1] 35/25
ground [4] 98/2 98/4 98/6 113/16
grounds [2] 78/11 151/1
groundwork [1] 82/22
group [3] 2/11 213/20 242/1
groups [1] 162/19
guarantee [3] 246/5 246/22 247/4
guess [11] 34/1 34/4 34/15 39/9 64/10 67/25 98/18 144/1 164/16 201/17 230/22
guessing [1] 255/17
guilty [1] 134/13
guise [1] 187/11
gut [1] 237/16
guy [3] 115/10 121/7 144/7
guys [1] 100/5
Gwinnett [6] 174/18 175/9 177/5 180/24 182/1 210/5

\section*{H}
hack [6] 112/8 115/12 116/2 159/15 171/23 195/18
hacked [6] 115/6 115/12 116/2 126/18 171/25 203/7
hacker [4] 171/14 171/19 171/20 171/23
hacking [3] 172/11 236/13 237/4 had [170] 5/7 6/2 8/3 9/7 15/9 19/13 26/11 33/15 35/5 67/25 72/6 75/21 80/8 81/10 82/18 82/21 85/14 86/5 86/17 88/6 91/15 92/16 92/16 97/19 98/2 98/2 98/4 98/5 98/6 98/9 98/10 105/13 110/25 111/25 112/4 112/8 113/3 113/5 113/12 113/15 115/1 115/6 115/11 115/12 115/12 115/14 115/22 115/24 115/25 116/2 116/2 117/3 117/6 117/21 121/10 121/18 121/22 122/8 122/21 125/20 126/18 126/22 127/19 127/22 128/16 129/16 131/12 132/1 132/1 132/13 132/13 134/18 139/7 143/23 144/3 144/11 144/24 145/13 146/15 147/3 147/5 147/5 147/10 147/16 147/22 148/1 148/9 148/15 148/23 149/1 149/13 150/12 153/9 153/9 153/9 154/3 154/25 156/12 159/21 159/25 160/4 160/10 160/25 161/8 161/19 163/9 163/10 163/12 167/25 171/23 171/25 172/5 172/20 175/12 176/2 178/24 182/17 184/20 185/3 186/9 197/20 199/20 200/20 200/21 208/12 208/12 208/15 211/5 211/16 212/2 215/1 215/6 215/13 217/14 217/15 217/24 218/4 218/7 218/14 218/17 218/24 218/25 219/1 219/4 220/14 220/18 220/24 220/25 221/5 224/21 225/3 225/12 227/2 229/21 230/3 230/10 230/16 232/10 232/21 232/21 232/25 234/20 235/22 236/8 236/11 246/7 249/5 254/17 255/20 258/9 hadn't [1] 238/12
Halderman [20] 191/18 196/9 197/18 197/20 200/22 229/6 230/6 231/9 231/17 231/25 234/12 236/9 237/1 251/10 251/21 252/2 252/12 253/17 254/18 255/15
Halderman's [4] 234/20 235/19 235/23 240/16
Halderman/CISA [1] 231/25
half [5] 6/14 123/9 229/25 241/10 247/13
half-time [1] 241/10
Hall [58] 70/21 82/18 83/9 83/13 83/13 84/15 84/25 85/6 85/7 85/21 86/4 86/21 86/25 87/1 87/2 87/2 87/6 88/14 88/18 88/23 88/25 89/18 90/23 110/7 110/9 111/21 115/5 115/22 117/11 118/3 121/7 121/22 122/9 122/22 124/18 124/21 126/5 126/7 126/13 127/2 127/20 128/22 129/7 129/9 132/1 143/24 144/4 144/8 144/23 144/24 145/4 145/7 145/10 146/8 154/3 154/25 171/13 184/22
Hall's [9] 82/20 82/24 86/17 90/6 114/22 124/7 127/6 144/18 202/3
HALSEY [1] \(2 / 8\)
Hampton [12] 70/21 72/9 72/15 72/18 75/17 75/23 88/6 89/21 96/13 105/12 163/10 167/7
Hampton's [3] 96/23 147/16 164/14 hamstrung [2] 253/12 253/20
hand [57] 8/10 11/18 36/21 37/20 37/24 38/10 38/20 41/3 41/20 42/11 42/16 42/24 43/15 43/21 45/3 45/24 52/2 55/23 61/6 61/8 63/7 63/9 64/3 64/6 64/11 64/19 65/2 65/6 66/12 92/24 110/10 120/24 121/1 140/19 157/16 163/2 167/21 168/16 169/21 178/18 179/2 179/21 179/22 179/22 180/8 180/10 180/12 180/15 180/20 180/22 217/4 217/7 219/12 220/19 221/21 246/8 258/12
hand-marked [31] 11/18 36/21 37/20 37/24 38/10 38/20 41/3 41/20 42/11 42/16 42/24 43/15 43/21 45/3 45/24 52/2 55/23 61/6 63/7 63/9 64/3 64/6 64/11 64/19 65/2 65/6 140/19 217/4 217/7 221/21 246/8
handed [1] 164/3
handfuls [1] 216/13
handing [2] 74/14 102/18
handle [2] 113/25 235/2
handled [1] 19/20
hands [3] 201/11 201/19 201/22 hang [2] 48/9 158/6
happen [5] 45/23 80/2 147/6 243/16 245/8
happened [12] 83/2 86/3 96/22 122/24 143/20 146/5 149/9 149/17 150/13 212/24 225/1 254/14
happening [5] 45/14 89/18 97/20 185/25 256/9
happens [2] 163/7 168/22
happy [10] 42/7 43/2 43/6 138/6
173/24 193/3 193/4 251/16 254/2 256/11
harassing [1] 144/21
hard [11] 29/11 56/11 117/5 117/8 117/12 117/13 127/7 173/23 175/14 207/11 219/13
harder [1] 219/14
Harri [1] 176/14
has [126] \(1 / 6\) 12/10 14/3 14/25 15/15 15/25 16/1 16/15 16/19 26/10 27/8 35/5 37/21 38/25 40/9 40/16 41/2 42/22 42/24 43/1 44/19 44/23 45/11 45/13 46/15 46/19 47/17 47/21 48/16 54/8 56/20 65/1 65/20 65/22 66/21 66/25 70/12 83/2 83/10 85/11 86/12 87/10 88/20 89/2 89/4 90/11 92/9 92/22 94/3 94/7 97/17 98/10 100/11 102/19 106/14 119/24 128/24 129/20 132/20 133/22 134/7 137/3 137/9 140/8 141/14 141/24 142/5 144/13 149/19 151/10 151/25 152/12 153/22 160/22 161/12 162/1 162/2 163/2 165/21 166/15 167/21 168/16 169/21 170/11 170/18 177/11 177/12 177/25 179/25 181/13 181/14 181/15 183/16 183/17 187/20 191/17 193/21 194/19 201/11 202/1 202/2 205/3 206/19 212/24 213/2 220/7 220/8 221/23 224/7 229/2 229/6 229/14 229/25 230/5 234/15 238/3 238/14 238/16 239/5 239/14 241/10 242/5 243/14 245/5 251/12 252/12
hash [2] 220/23 220/24
hasn't [2] 139/20 213/6
HAVA [3] 218/3 219/21 219/23
have [458]

\section*{H}
haven't [9] 54/9 88/25 91/3 91/4 92/6 98/16 120/12 162/16 246/7
having [18] 8/19 18/16 18/17 33/12
57/17 58/5 66/3 93/16 144/23 161/5 174/10 174/25 175/10 209/1 211/1 218/12 224/23 236/10
Hayes [1] 70/21
HB [1] 19/16
he [151] 17/14 17/14 41/22 44/4 44/4 44/5 55/3 73/6 73/15 73/16 73/19 78/8 82/18 87/20 92/9 95/1 98/11 98/14 106/11 110/12 110/12 110/14 110/18 111/25 112/4 112/5 112/6 112/7 112/7 112/8 114/4 114/4 114/7 114/25 115/4 115/8 115/9 115/10 115/11 115/11 115/11 115/11 115/12 116/2 116/7 116/10 116/14 116/23 117/11 117/13 117/13 117/14 118/4 118/8 119/5 119/7 119/8 119/9 121/7 121/14 121/14 121/15 129/2 131/3 131/17 132/1 132/1 132/5 132/7 132/13 132/13 133/13 133/18 139/19 139/21 141/25 144/4 144/8 144/24 147/2 147/14 150/3 151/8 151/10 151/10 154/7 165/15 171/19 171/20 171/20 171/22 171/23 171/23 172/10 172/12 172/14 176/15 190/19 197/8 202/16 206/11 207/6 208/3 208/10 208/12 208/12 210/6 210/7 216/23 219/4 222/4 222/18 223/2 225/22 225/23 225/23 229/6 229/9 230/5 230/10 231/3 231/7 236/11 236/14 236/20 236/21 237/2 237/8 237/9 237/20 237/20 237/21 237/21 238/2 238/3 238/7 238/9 238/10 238/24 239/11 239/12 239/14 239/16 239/19 239/20 239/20 239/22 245/5 245/7 248/22 257/3
he's [14] 79/13 79/17 91/20 91/21 196/19 197/9 200/13 227/16 231/8 236/18 236/24 239/2 248/1 248/16 health [4] 7/18 169/9 169/10 215/19 hear [17] 76/7 81/1 95/21 95/21 97/2 99/6 101/23 101/23 113/22 118/5 120/16 152/8 181/19 201/23 216/19 233/6 253/14
heard [24] 51/18 70/20 81/13 85/13 88/15 91/15 98/16 101/21 102/4 118/3 126/23 139/4 144/3 144/7 146/17 156/12 180/19 184/13 185/4 196/6 229/9 232/25 252/1 252/5
hearing [12] 47/13 47/21 102/6 128/9 157/19 195/3 195/25 196/2 196/5 200/7 215/17 245/11
hearings [6] 49/2 49/7 80/23 80/25 81/8 81/14
hearsay [24] 78/11 78/12 106/9 106/23 107/2 144/9 152/2 152/4 163/15 166/2 176/18 177/19 178/7 183/14 205/16 236/19 236/20 236/20 236/23 238/8 239/1 239/3 239/22 239/24
heck [2] 166/12 193/11
held [6] 147/5 147/5 162/16 162/17 232/4 258/10
help [14] 24/13 47/16 96/3 138/7 138/9 161/6 161/20 161/20 166/17 169/4 177/7 219/24 220/1 235/1
helped [1] 218/23
helpful [7] 48/3 48/5 207/24 208/1 208/17 252/23 255/9
helping [3] 71/20 211/14 233/21 helps [2] 100/24 138/16
her [72] 42/20 45/20 48/10 48/11 53/1 54/22 58/6 58/14 72/1 72/6 72/11 76/4 76/10 82/20 82/25 82/25 84/7 85/7 85/7 85/19 86/2 87/8 89/22 89/22 90/16 90/18 91/9 91/9 91/13 91/14 93/20 95/24 96/14 96/24 96/24 97/23 98/2 122/23 126/17 126/19 126/19 126/20 126/21 128/15 128/25 129/1 129/9 131/2 133/16 144/13 151/24 152/6 164/16 165/2 165/23 166/20 167/9 178/5 182/18 184/6 184/9 187/6 189/15 192/13 192/16 192/16 193/22 197/21
199/5 199/5 205/12 205/23
here [67] 35/25 36/6 43/20 43/20 44/5 46/22 46/22 47/13 48/15 52/19 55/9 57/24 70/11 70/25 78/20 79/6 79/24 85/7 86/5 92/9 93/18 96/17 100/3 100/5 100/11 106/11 106/22 107/23 109/17 110/25 111/14 120/8 131/22 132/9 132/9 135/22 139/14 143/1 151/25 154/23 157/20 159/17 159/21 160/3 160/5 162/1 163/24 175/16 181/12 183/17 183/18 193/5 197/7 200/14 201/1 202/13 205/23 206/11 215/11 223/6 224/8 233/6 239/17 241/3 244/14 252/25 256/12
hereby [1] 258/8
hereunto [1] 258/12
HERNANDEZ [1] 3/6
hers [1] 122/21
Herschel [1] 32/16
herself [1] 100/12
hey [1] 129/17
hiding [2] 86/7 146/7
high [1] 158/11
highlighted [1] 193/5
highly [3] \(87 / 12\) 241/8 247/16
Hills [1] 211/17
him [44] 78/7 78/8 85/18 93/12 110/16 112/15 112/16 117/14 119/4 121/6 129/13 129/17 129/22 129/23 129/23 131/2 131/11 131/17 131/25 138/12 142/1 150/7 152/2 165/12 221/24 222/10 223/2 229/11 229/15 230/12 230/20 231/1 236/15 236/21 236/22 237/9 237/19 237/22 237/24 239/20 243/6 250/3 254/17 255/17
himself [5] 47/17 106/18 110/15 112/4 142/1
hindered [1] 201/15
hire [2] 98/8 156/14
hired [2] 115/1 147/10
hiring [2] 163/17 167/7
his [46] 39/4 39/5 47/18 49/14 73/3 73/5 73/21 88/12 91/21 115/8 115/9 116/2 130/9 130/10 131/10 131/15 136/9 138/12 141/25 142/1 146/21 147/16 167/10 167/24 171/22 172/9 172/12 178/5 195/20 208/11 214/24 224/13 225/17 234/12 237/5 237/9 237/16 237/16 237/23 237/24 238/16 239/3 239/19 245/6 252/4 254/12 historically [2] 217/14 218/7
history [5] 20/15 21/11 21/12 21/22 71/4
hit [1] 156/21
hitting [1] 215/17
hold [4] 114/15 219/2 222/12 228/7
home [1] 156/21
Homeland [2] 232/3 234/9
honestly [3] 90/4 183/3 256/25
Honor [224] 5/6 5/10 5/23 6/4 6/20 8/2
8/7 12/25 17/23 19/23 19/25 21/1 21/4 21/7 21/17 24/14 27/11 28/16 31/2 31/10 34/20 40/14 41/22 42/2 42/15 42/19 42/21 42/22 43/13 43/23 44/3 44/6 44/9 44/12 44/13 45/2 45/8 45/18 46/24 47/11 47/14 48/18 54/19 56/24 58/16 59/3 59/8 59/19 60/13 60/22 62/7 62/17 67/23 70/1 74/9 75/12 77/23 78/1 78/3 78/13 79/20 81/18 81/20 81/25 82/10 83/7 84/13 84/14 85/3 85/9 85/11 86/12 87/15 88/2 90/4 91/6 91/23 92/2 92/7 92/13 92/25 93/4 93/17 94/9 95/3 95/12 99/4 100/15 100/19 100/24 101/1 101/8 102/16 103/16 105/17 106/4 106/6 106/7 106/12 106/24 108/1 109/3 109/18 109/22 111/5 112/19 113/10 113/18 119/21 120/9 123/11 123/24 133/5 133/18 133/20 134/15 135/6 135/9 136/10 136/13 137/7 137/10 138/6 138/11 138/21 139/5 139/17 139/19 141/9 141/14 141/21 144/10 150/18 150/21 151/7 151/23 152/4 158/15 160/10 163/14 165/18 165/24 167/5 167/19 168/7 169/7 172/22 173/1 177/10 177/16 178/14 179/3 181/1 182/12 182/22 182/25 183/12 183/22 189/5 190/12 192/7 192/9 193/4 193/8 193/14 194/15 194/21 196/17 197/2 197/22 199/10 200/8 200/17 201/2 201/17 202/22 203/13 204/15 204/20 204/24 205/5 205/17 205/25 206/17 207/23 208/18 208/23 210/23 219/14 221/15 221/20 222/9 222/11 222/24 227/16 227/18 229/1 229/3 229/19 230/1 230/24 236/17 236/24 237/17 238/1 239/6 239/9 244/6 245/4 245/5 245/14 245/17 247/24 248/11 251/8 251/13 252/6 252/18 253/8 253/23 255/19 256/18 257/15 257/22
Honor's [3] 27/13 47/12 181/17
HONORABLE [1] 1/11
hope [3] 35/22 182/6 185/8
hoped [2] 146/15 185/3
HORST [1] 2/9
hour [5] 6/14 27/10 48/5 86/6 111/9 hour's [1] 128/11
hours [5] 6/3 6/10 123/9 125/5 216/16 house [18] 19/5 19/7 20/15 22/6 22/8 23/8 23/21 23/25 47/25 49/8 102/6 102/6 147/10 210/19 214/13 214/16 214/20 214/25
housekeeping [1] 5/7
how [67] 5/25 7/17 7/18 11/21 12/6 12/19 24/20 27/22 27/23 31/3 31/13 33/3 34/7 35/18 35/20 35/20 46/8 46/21 52/25 63/9 65/5 65/6 77/17 87/25 88/16 89/23 90/13 90/18 95/12 113/25 129/13 136/12 136/16 153/9 155/11 162/14 169/8 170/23 179/1 179/16 179/17

\section*{H}
how... [26] 182/16 182/20 183/5
186/21 190/18 192/11 214/4 215/4
222/6 223/6 223/19 229/24 232/8
232/20 241/4 241/5 241/16 244/24
245/25 246/7 249/16 250/13 254/14
254/15 255/2 256/19
Howard [1] 242/3
however [4] 1/7 142/15 170/16 207/4
huge [1] 246/8
huh [2] 75/4 117/23
human [16] 30/17 37/10 39/13 39/13
47/8 50/16 50/20 51/4 51/13 51/25
105/14 108/9 108/11 247/8 248/10
249/6
Humanist [1] 54/15
hung [1] 126/15
hurl [2] 143/18 144/24
hurling [1] 143/16
Hursti [3] 176/14 177/19 178/5
Hutton [2] 135/17 138/2
hygiene [4] 228/8 231/8 231/9 233/23
hyper [1] 220/15
hypothetical [3] 26/19 39/9 243/8
I
I'II [49] 9/14 10/12 21/12 24/20 29/10 29/14 30/6 30/10 30/15 31/6 31/20 36/15 40/21 42/7 42/15 44/15 58/19 59/6 62/22 74/7 85/9 99/15 109/6 110/8 126/2 126/2 132/6 138/6 139/3 139/5 141/12 141/22 157/2 179/8 185/21 186/25 187/1 189/9 193/2 196/17 197/12 197/22 204/6 238/17 244/11 253/4 253/5 253/6 257/21
I'm [198] 7/24 8/24 11/4 11/24 12/2 12/9 13/18 15/6 16/9 18/2 18/4 22/23 24/15 24/17 25/10 26/19 26/23 29/1 29/9 29/17 29/23 30/6 31/2 31/10 34/4 34/15 35/13 35/14 35/17 38/8 38/13 39/9 41/12 42/19 43/2 43/6 44/6 45/4 50/24 50/24 51/1 51/14 53/1 53/18 53/25 55/9 59/9 63/15 67/10 69/22 69/23 70/1 70/5 71/2 71/16 73/17 75/10 76/6 79/9 80/11 81/23 86/8 87/3 87/3 88/19 89/10 89/11 90/15 91/8 91/14 92/8 93/13 96/17 97/4 98/19 98/19 99/23 102/18 104/15 105/6 106/15 106/25 107/14 109/22 109/22 111/6 111/18 112/3 113/6 113/14 113/18 113/19 114/7 119/19 119/24 120/17 123/16 123/19 124/17 130/6 131/25 133/14 135/6 135/22 136/17 137/1 137/17 138/22 139/10 139/13 139/25 140/1 140/21 141/11 142/1 142/11 142/24 149/19 150/22 150/25 151/3 151/7 152/6 157/16 158/18 160/19 162/24 164/11 165/24 167/5 169/1 169/24 174/13 177/23 177/24 179/19 180/3 180/12 182/22 186/6 186/25 187/18 189/3 190/3 190/14 190/16 190/17 191/2 192/18 192/22 192/23 193/1 193/4 193/19 194/10 194/14 196/19 198/16 200/3 201/2 201/3 202/12 202/17 202/19 204/1 204/24 219/12 219/13 221/1 222/24 223/8 224/23 227/21 227/24 229/10 230/19

232/7 232/8 233/15 237/24 239/17
242/15 242/17 243/14 244/8 245/13 246/3 248/1 248/15 250/3 251/8 251/14 252/19 254/2 256/7 256/11 257/2 257/4 I've [7] 9/23 27/6 77/11 110/9 135/15 139/7 173/23
i.e [2] 35/4 37/9

ICC [3] 29/21 30/3 147/23
ICHTER [2] 2/20 2/21
ICP [2] 29/18 29/21
Idaho [1] 213/1
idea [7] 22/20 83/16 96/20 124/22
126/24 211/17 229/25
ideas [3] 126/20 169/4 242/16
identical [1] 67/16
identification [1] 221/6
identified [8] 45/20 171/22 188/15
208/11 232/1 234/12 240/8 252/3
identifier [1] 227/8
identifies [1] 50/15
identify [8] 47/1 103/6 109/10 157/17
164/17 168/18 220/9 229/15
identifying [2] 173/24 221/12
identity [1] 226/20
ignores [1] 47/24
III [4] 2/13 2/16 2/18 28/5
illuminate [2] 13/7 13/14
image [6] 117/17 121/8 131/9 159/14
159/18 195/17
imaged [4] 117/11 117/13 131/12 147/4
images [1] 158/21
imagine [2] 78/19 229/23
imaging [3] 121/10 126/22 131/19 immediate [1] 146/12
immediately [4] 39/6 118/13 144/8 210/2
impact [9] 16/4 144/11 244/24 246/1 248/21 249/3 254/15 254/15 255/2
impeach [1] 184/10
implement [1] 230/10
implementation [2] 173/18 214/12
implied [2] 32/25 86/14
imply [3] 36/11 100/9 100/9
importance [2] 144/10 152/1
important [4] 42/6 143/10 143/14 143/15
importantly [2] 238/5 253/12
impose [2] 40/12 214/16
imposed [1] 215/23
impossible [3] 171/6 172/13 246/4
impression [1] 148/17
improper [1] 239/13
inability [2] 103/23 161/24
inaccuracies [1] 77/13
inaccurate [4] 123/6 183/24 183/24 198/15
inactivity [1] 83/11
inappropriate [2] 23/23 43/8
inappropriately [1] 201/8
incident [4] 146/14 149/18 170/11 170/15
incidents [9] 71/1 74/1 86/11 86/11 134/11 170/5 170/6 170/8 170/25 inclined [1] 193/1
include [7] 29/6 56/13 122/1 134/11 134/14 165/1 173/17
included [3] 75/23 158/25 231/7
including [11] 44/14 47/18 68/10 68/13
99/2 160/4 164/14 190/13 190/14 238/3 251/11
incomplete [3] 62/8 86/16 188/15
inconsistent [3] 175/13 205/13 216/22
inconvenience [1] 64/25
incorrect [2] 184/5 221/8
incredible [1] 202/3
incredulous [1] 117/14
incur [3] 64/20 64/22 66/12
indicate [4] 7/12 77/5 148/9 148/14
indicates [1] 109/10
indicating [1] 82/18
indication [1] 172/8
indicted [2] 70/22 134/12
indictments [1] 71/21
indirectly [1] 115/6
individual [10] 37/2 67/1 72/21 110/7 119/13 135/17 220/10 246/23 247/7
249/6
individual's [2] 190/20 191/10
individualized [1] 232/23
individually [1] 12/4
individuals [6] 70/19 71/11 82/13
134/12 159/11 213/16
ineffective [2] 86/20 86/24
inevitably [1] 199/9
inform [4] 14/16 83/1 118/11 122/8
information [36] 14/11 76/18 77/6 77/8
77/17 82/19 84/5 86/4 86/8 87/9 87/17 87/18 98/14 117/4 122/21 122/25
123/10 126/1 127/19 127/22 128/10
128/13 128/16 128/20 128/22 132/2
132/13 146/7 148/18 163/10 175/2
175/2 191/21 229/21 238/6 254/12
informing [1] 82/20
inherent [1] 69/12
inherently [3] 62/15 69/9 189/13
initial [3] 179/18 179/20 215/15
initially [3] 74/20 160/25 218/3
initiate [1] 145/19
initiated [1] 146/3
injunction [6] 44/19 47/12 196/3 198/5 248/12 248/13
injunctive [3] 40/12 44/16 253/13
injured [1] 34/16
injuries [1] 34/10
injury [15] 33/8 33/11 33/17 34/8 34/15
36/7 43/17 45/6 45/10 45/20 46/22 47/2
47/5 47/10 67/13
ink [1] 65/8
inordinate [1] 162/22
inquiries [1] 146/16
insecure [1] 241/1
insert [1] 35/15
inserting [1] 34/25
inside [2] 166/19 232/19
insisted [2] 110/16 147/3
inspecting [1] 52/17
inspections [3] 228/6 232/3 234/9
instance [1] 94/1
instead [1] 87/9
institute [1] 223/25
institution [1] 44/19
instructed [2] 65/8 221/5
integrity [7] 143/12 166/22 198/21
216/4 220/14 226/3 226/17
intend [2] 33/9 39/11
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \\
\hline intended [5] 35/3 37/21 39/22 71/19 & is [1110]
Island [1] 213/2 & Judge Batten [10] 157/20 194/1 19 195/3 195/4 196/3 197/21 198/13 \\
\hline intends [1] 33/19 & isn't [12] 44/3 115/5 117/21 118/24 & 99/15 200/7 \\
\hline intensive [1] 146/2 & 132/6 132/18 151/6 188/21 189/1 & Judge Duffey [7] 163/8 165/12 165/ 167/10 167/24 168/6 188/4 \\
\hline intent [6] 33/22 38/7 39/2 90/4 136/23 & issue [50] 26/23 43/23 45/19 45/2 &  \\
\hline & 47/11 48/7 52/1 52/6 62/3 62/4 62/ & Judge Totenberg [1] 159/10 \\
\hline intentional [1] 86/7 & 88/8 90/11 91/17 91/22 93/2 95/24 & judges [2] 166/23 213/18 \\
\hline intentionally [1] 39/1 & 130/25 137/16 138/4 142/25 148/ & Judges Duffey [1] 166/23 \\
\hline interact [1] 233/20 & \(154 / 3\) 154/18 162/2 175/7 175/17
\(175 / 24\) 179/24 180/9 180/17 182/25 & judgment [6] 41/8 85/12 96/15 18 \\
\hline interaction [1] 212/22 & 193/9 200/9 200/10 201/5 205/17 & judicial [1] 42/10 \\
\hline interest [5] 11/18 148/9 148/15 246/1 & 206/19 206/21 206/23 207/2 207/3 & judicially [1] 40/11 \\
\hline & 207/25 221/11 222/14 224/16 239 & July [4] 23/3 23/4 192/4 234/12 \\
\hline interesting [1] 143/23 & 242/16 251/9 252/7 & July 20 [1] 192/4 \\
\hline interject [1] 251/8 & issued [4] 153/15 153/17 153/1 & July 2021 [1] 234 \\
\hline internal [1] 215/13 & 154/12 & July 29 [1] 23/3 \\
\hline internally [1] 220/18 & issues [20] 14/17 18/21 58/17 64/10 & jumped [2] 24/18 33 \\
\hline internet [4] 94/16 161 & 80/22 90/17 132/17 144/22 \(157 / 7\) 157/8 & jumping [1] 30/25 \\
\hline 161/17 & 167/9 201/1 202/12 218/12 230/25 234/23 236/16 241/9 246/5 253/16 & juncture [2] 89/12 192/24 \\
\hline interns [3] 26/10 & issuing [2] 96/23 186/12 & June [8] 17/3 18/5 145/16 147/22
155/17 168/1 188/17 218/24 \\
\hline interplay [1] 241/5 & it [873] & June 2018 [1] 17/3 \\
\hline interrogating [1] 62/10 & it's [9] 13/21 16/19 70/3 142/11 152/2 & June 3rd [1] 188/1 \\
\hline interrogatories [1] 252/1 & 185/18 203/8 228/19 243/25 & just [228] 5/15 5/17 6/7 \\
\hline interrogatory [2] 251/24 253/9 & Italian [1] & 7/25 9/1 \\
\hline  & items [2] 214/4 220/20 & 19/21 20/22 24/10 24/24 27/19 27/24 \\
\hline  & its [18] 14/1 24/25 25/14 32/10 4 & 27/25 28/19 28/24 29/9 30/11 30/19 \\
\hline  & 44/21 67/12 87/11 96/21 100/10 103/23 & 31/11 31/14 35/7 36/5 36/13 38/10 \\
\hline ro [1] 50/3 & 106/14 139/2 141/11 177/25 191/4 & 38/11 40/22 42/5 42/20 43/10 44/7 \\
\hline & 240/12 254/13 & 46/5 46/10 47/21 48/10 48/14 5 \\
\hline 166/5 207/25 & itself [12] 29/9 29/15 62/15 97/2 & /9 51/22 52/5 52/16 52/17 54/24 \\
\hline introduced [6] & 124/22 \(127 / 8\) 153/22 168/5 184/4 & 56/24 57/1 57/14 57/20 58/15 62/21 \\
\hline 149/4 199/23 200 & & 63/12 63 \\
\hline [3] & J & 79/6 79/22 81/4 81/18 83/16 84/14 85/9 \\
\hline invalidate [1] 23/20 & Jacksonville [2] 211/2 211/3 & 190 \\
\hline investigate [6] 132/17 146/13 149/9 & JACOUTOT [1] \(3 / 8\) & 96/18 97/3 97/11 98/4 98/17 98/19 99/2 \\
\hline 155/11 185/25 187/10 1 1/17 132114 & JANUARY [18] 1/13 5/2 49/3 54/ & 99/4 99/7 99/22 100/2 104/18 104/20 \\
\hline investigated [3] 90/18 91/17 132/14 & 102/7 128/1 139/12 140/15 140/2 & 106/25 107/1 111/4 111/19 112/1 113/6 \\
\hline investigating [6] 154/19 154/21 155/20 & 140/23 141/5 185/13 204/12 212/3 & 113/15 113/20 116/11 117/8 120/14 \\
\hline  & 212/10 222/8 251/6 258/13 & 12 \\
\hline 88/24 & January 1 [4] 54/7 139/12 140/23 & 126/19 128/11 128/17 128/20 129/11 \\
\hline 124/23 146/16 154/8 154/15 154/25 & 141/5 & 33/14 133/18 134/17 135/5 13 \\
\hline 155/13 182/15 182/16 183/17 186/3 & January 2021 [1] 140/2 & 18 \\
\hline 186/16 186/18 187/17 187/19 187/22 & \[
\text { Jeanne [1] } 126 / 16
\] & 151/13 151/14 151/17 153/22 154/ \\
\hline 221/3 & JEFFREY [1] \(2 / 2\) & 5/18 157/2 158/6 \\
\hline investigations [2] 74/1 155/1 & jest [1] 157/2 & 161/13 161/19 161/24 162/24 163/6 \\
\hline investigative [3] 77/20 122/14 146/13 & job [6] 10/6 47/18 84/8 103/11 210/10 & 164/3 164/18 165/14 166/17 167/6 \\
\hline investigator [2] 131/22 202/16 & \(212 / 2\) & 167/9 169/24 170/24 172/15 174/6 \\
\hline investigators [2] 105/7 233/9 & Johns [1] 211/15 & 77/16 176/3 177/6 177/24 179/6 \\
\hline invitation [1] 193/22 & Johnson [3] 225/17 225/17 225/20 & 182/3 183/8 184/8 185/18 185/22 \\
\hline [1] 88/19 & joined [1] 25/8 & 2/18 193/5 193/10 193/21 194 \\
\hline  & joint [1] 207/3 & 4/10 194/15 194/16 194/17 194/20 \\
\hline invokes [1] 44/18 & JOSH [12] 3/5 8/24 44/7 74/19 78/21 & 198/1 198 \\
\hline involved [10] 10/25 85/5 155/3155 & 94/20 120/14 138/9 160/12 164/10 & 199/10 202/6 202/7 203/1 \\
\hline 161/3 180/19 180/24 182/1 209/15 & 192/21 222/12 & 206/2 207/24 208/5 211/3 212/23 \\
\hline 214/7 & Jovan [2] 49/14 135/17 & 5/6 215/21 216/23 219/2 219/11 19/22 221/15 222/4 222/9 222/19 \\
\hline involvement [2] 145/1 214/10 & Juan [1] 236/25 & 219/22 221/15 222/4 222/9 222/19 224/24 225/15 227/21 229/6 230/6 \\
\hline involving [7] 89/25 143/8 180/9 180/17 & judge [ 37\(] 1 / 12\) 7/5 7/15 9/23 9/25 10 & \[
236 / 6238 / 1238 / 102
\] \\
\hline 190/4 201/12 224/16 & 17/10 17/12 46/15 110/1 120/12 137/23 & 247/16 248/15 249/2 251/17 25 \\
\hline irreconcilable [1] 229/21 & 157/20 159/10 163/8 165/12 165/14 & 24, \\
\hline irrelevant [6] 41/25 83/8 85/17 93/22
179/3 243/25 & 167/10 167/24 168/6 174/1 177/20 & 257/19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{K}

Kate [1] 134/3
keep [13] 11/2 11/15 27/3 27/5 42/6 47/13 47/21 70/6 78/8 83/18 84/1
100/24 112/15
keeps [1] 257/12
Kemp [5] 158/12 158/24 195/9 214/22 215/20
kept [3] 61/6 80/23 233/10
Kevin [1] 100/17
keys [2] 159/24 195/21
kind [20] 5/19 10/7 48/14 110/14
111/15 115/10 126/21 132/6 146/16
153/8 161/11 172/21 194/16 196/13
207/9 212/21 227/12 228/19 233/9 236/16
kinds [2] 140/20 172/7
kingdom [2] 159/24 195/22
KNAPP [1] 2/8
knew [44] 71/22 83/4 83/5 85/5 85/7
85/14 86/13 86/17 86/25 87/7 87/10 87/11 87/11 88/22 89/3 90/16 90/17 90/18 91/13 102/10 108/13 116/1 117/5 119/5 124/21 125/25 132/5 147/7 147/8 147/14 147/18 147/19 147/19 148/10 148/16 185/10 185/12 185/16 185/23 199/24 200/12 200/15 208/3 208/11
know [159] 6/20 7/14 8/24 10/6 11/20 12/10 14/9 14/20 16/5 17/14 20/17 22/20 23/15 23/15 30/16 33/6 33/12 33/14 34/7 35/20 35/22 36/7 36/23 37/8 39/3 40/18 46/8 46/9 46/10 48/8 55/18 55/24 56/9 56/11 57/6 57/22 62/16 66/21 67/1 69/25 70/2 70/3 70/9 73/23 78/16 85/19 86/24 89/2 90/2 90/3 90/24 91/6 91/12 94/9 94/10 94/12 95/2 95/22 96/12 96/16 96/22 97/1 97/16 98/18 99/9 99/10 99/13 99/16 101/21 101/22 103/14 105/9 107/5 108/14 115/10 116/4 116/23 116/25 117/1 118/23 119/4 121/11 123/22 124/10 126/9 128/7 128/8 129/3 129/18 130/16 130/19 130/22 131/3 131/12 131/17 132/7 133/1 134/4 136/16 136/18 139/20 141/10 144/5 144/23 144/24 145/5 146/24 147/9 147/12 147/15 147/21 147/22 147/24 149/13 151/8 158/11 158/17 161/3 163/24 166/3 166/20 171/1 172/13 172/13 173/11 176/3 176/10 176/12 176/21 176/24 176/25 178/8 181/10 183/19 185/15 185/21 185/22 186/22 192/21 195/6 195/7 197/9 202/18 205/13 205/15 205/20 210/12 223/7 223/11 225/20 225/23 228/12 236/15 239/16 239/19 239/22 252/24 255/5 257/6
knowing [4] 47/7 82/23 214/25 246/23
KNOWiNK [4] 30/11 30/25 30/25 31/1
knowledge [12] 88/5 128/22 144/13
159/25 180/8 200/13 200/19 200/25
226/14 235/15 239/12 239/14
known [15] 19/5 61/4 69/12 70/22 84/18 84/19 84/25 85/14 96/16 132/1 153/9 171/20 200/20 202/6 236/9
knows [5] 5/17 91/16 94/10 236/25 239/20
Kraken [2] 158/12 195/10

\section*{KREVOLIN [1] 2/9}

\section*{L}
label [2] 74/21 94/22
lack [4] 52/12 99/7 125/13 249/16
lacked [1] 125/16
lacking [1] 202/4
ladies [1] 5/3
landed [1] 238/11
Landmark [1] 211/18
language [3] 71/2 243/11 254/23
lapse [1] 9/10
large [8] 56/5 210/7 217/23 217/23
226/8 233/7 234/24 251/7
largest [5] 213/20 213/21 213/22

\section*{226/18 232/9}

LAROSS [1] 3/9
lasers [1] 216/12
last [27] 13/5 35/18 47/11 54/24 54/25 66/11 68/11 79/10 85/3 93/4 97/24 111/8 113/5 121/7 133/25 143/8 161/19 172/21 178/8 178/8 178/11 196/15 206/9 225/17 226/25 247/19 253/24
lastly [1] 85/9
LAT [1] 171/3
late [5] 23/4 101/5 217/16 217/21 224/12
later [15] 6/24 42/5 42/6 72/14 84/10 110/18 119/10 119/12 138/13 139/4 145/14 151/4 158/8 196/6 257/20
Latham [19] 70/20 70/21 71/22 72/1 72/4 75/17 79/7 79/16 81/13 89/24 94/21 97/5 97/6 97/6 108/19 109/13 129/6 160/5 160/7
Latham00057 [1] 94/18
launch [1] 149/17
launched [1] 144/8
LAURA [3] 2/13 2/18 28/4
law [34] 2/15 2/17 2/25 42/23 44/13 48/17 63/19 65/25 66/6 83/2 83/18 84/19 87/8 110/3 122/8 124/24 153/12 168/2 171/6 184/25 201/11 201/12 214/21 217/13 220/17 221/11 223/25 227/3 228/13 232/16 240/20 244/10 244/20 244/22
laws [4] 55/25 217/16 226/15 242/7
lawsuit [9] 12/3 15/25 23/19 25/1 34/3
80/13 80/13 158/20 160/8
lawsuits [2] 128/6 128/8
lawyer [1] 203/23
lawyers [3] 86/2 122/21 122/23
laying [1] 82/22
layman [1] 172/3
layperson [2] 46/7 46/7
leader [1] 164/19
leaders [1] 242/3
leading [3] 155/24 216/22 237/1
leak [1] 172/8
leaked [1] 172/5
learn [6] 73/19 125/10 127/9 130/15
158/8 176/8
learned [9] 83/13 117/8 121/18 124/7 146/7 158/7 175/19 195/6 195/7
least [34] 11/15 14/22 15/24 15/25 17/3 25/20 26/14 45/12 50/15 51/9 53/11 57/23 74/25 78/15 79/8 79/18 85/13 85/23 86/15 97/3 107/14 110/21 113/16 116/7 125/12 140/15 175/22 177/18

178/10 181/5 186/17 197/20 199/1 226/16
leave [9] 42/3 85/9 92/9 110/8 141/12 257/7 257/8 257/9 257/10
leaving [1] 210/2
led [4] 108/10 116/6 132/3 238/6 left [12] 6/17 75/2 121/1 147/16 202/6 202/7 209/17 210/3 210/24 212/7 224/21 225/3
left-hand [1] 121/1
legal [12] 40/15 41/10 43/24 43/25
45/15 48/16 62/7 62/11 64/8 84/1
186/22 187/6
legalism [1] 48/15
legalistic [2] 46/6 48/10
legend [1] 210/9
legislation [9] 15/6 15/16 19/4 19/13 20/5 21/10 21/25 40/11 72/12
legislative [11] 14/16 20/15 21/11 47/24 49/7 80/23 80/24 81/7 81/14 210/16 214/14
legislature [3] 40/10 89/25 97/2
legs [1] 47/21
length [2] 78/3 141/24
lengthy [1] 136/3
less [5] 129/15 136/14 148/25 156/12 227/7
let [59] 7/14 7/16 11/6 12/15 19/11 20/9
26/19 27/24 31/24 36/20 37/7 38/12
40/2 55/10 57/20 70/8 78/4 78/7 78/8 80/6 91/24 92/2 93/12 95/17 96/4
107/20 111/19 119/10 120/21 121/20
122/4 125/10 132/6 135/2 144/24
150/17 157/4 163/2 166/17 167/21 168/16 169/21 179/10 179/19 180/23 187/3 196/1 197/12 198/8 219/2 222/10 222/12 223/2 235/25 237/6 237/24 242/3 257/5 257/16
let's [29] 17/8 28/24 51/17 53/4 54/11 60/21 61/3 63/2 65/23 67/5 70/6 70/7 71/11 80/7 82/8 89/13 91/25 99/2 100/14 101/4 117/24 126/6 136/19 153/5 174/17 180/10 213/2 216/14 227/10
letter [15] 90/6 91/17 103/1 103/13
107/23 164/12 164/17 164/18 165/3 167/23 169/12 188/9 189/18 191/13 254/12
letterhead [1] 103/1
letting [2] 99/16 115/10
level [8] 161/14 198/22 211/20 211/21
216/17 228/20 233/22 247/7
license [6] 9/7 9/10 226/20 226/23
227/1 227/2
life [1] 215/7
lifts [1] 250/11
light [4] 132/22 184/2 184/3 230/17
lights [1] 134/8
like [59] 5/11 21/25 22/2 24/8 31/15
34/12 35/25 38/10 38/11 46/2 48/4 48/6 48/23 55/17 73/16 78/10 81/1 84/20 84/22 97/13 98/14 99/19 102/9 111/7 113/4 114/23 120/4 120/15 125/25 126/10 129/18 134/3 134/10 137/7 137/9 137/20 144/19 151/23 155/3 160/1 161/18 162/4 166/7 183/10 184/8 190/15 196/21 203/15 204/3 204/4 208/14 216/1 218/2 223/8 241/6 255/6

\section*{L}
like... [3] 255/13 255/17 256/3
likely [5] 99/17 102/5 218/19 250/9
251/5
likes [1] 137/14
limit [1] 240/12
limited [12] 15/18 56/17 75/25 128/11
144/14 166/4 186/4 191/21 215/2
216/25 240/16 248/15
limiting [4] 183/1 190/1 191/7 220/19
limits [1] 177/25
line [13] 1/6 13/5 14/13 18/11 40/14
45/13 81/19 122/19 141/25 159/6
197/23 204/5 204/6
Line 16 [1] 204/6
Line 20 [1] 159/6
Line 23 [2] 18/11 204/5
link [2] 136/9 199/25
list [1] 161/11
listed [1] 250/22
listen [2] 48/3 196/2
listened [1] 196/5
listening [1] 177/25
literally [5] 30/15 203/15 231/6 247/18 247/22
litigate [1] 95/20
litigation [41] 2/11 14/16 14/19 15/1 15/10 31/25 41/19 83/25 83/25 87/22
99/12 112/8 112/10 112/11 115/7
115/12 115/23 126/18 129/2 129/2
153/20 154/7 154/14 157/13 157/23
158/8 158/9 162/9 162/10 162/12
162/21 163/21 165/16 165/16 171/24
172/1 172/11 173/16 173/16 186/5 187/11
little [23] 46/22 127/25 146/24 148/25
153/5 155/10 156/21 157/4 160/19
179/11 180/23 187/20 202/13 206/3
209/8 210/16 217/21 219/11 219/17
222/21 232/5 238/13 257/6
LITTLEFIELD [1] 3/7
live [1] 196/6
lived [1] 225/3
LLC [1] 3/7
LLP [1] 2/7
load [1] 235/20
lobbying [5] 15/4 15/5 15/18 15/19

\section*{15/22}
local [5] 16/2 16/16 110/2 191/20 191/20
locally [2] 162/1 162/1
location [1] 156/11
locked [2] 233/11 247/14
log [2] 233/10 247/4
Logan [2] 131/18 147/15
logic [1] 170/20
logical [1] 81/15
logistical [1] 100/15
long [21] 5/25 9/12 12/6 38/1 44/17
54/9 54/9 56/3 56/5 95/12 129/22
136/16 153/9 155/11 161/11 210/11
215/4 219/4 227/16 253/21 255/16
longer [4] 100/3 135/21 177/13 257/3
look [24] 14/11 20/5 54/11 67/5 74/24
76/25 79/3 90/25 129/17 135/2 137/11
139/2 140/6 169/13 172/3 173/24 177/7
193/3 193/25 204/4 205/21 232/4 247/4

\section*{253/6}
looked [7] 14/13 54/9 140/24 180/21 242/7 251/3 254/7
looking [8] 64/5 75/11 79/9 80/11 123/19 132/21 134/7 215/9
looks [9] 5/10 20/18 22/2 120/4 134/3 137/9 137/14 242/1 255/17
lose [1] 119/13
loss [1] 177/24
lost [1] 87/25
Iot [28] 6/5 9/19 55/7 59/8 70/12 84/18 85/1 100/3 107/4 125/13 144/20 158/12 160/25 161/6 170/22 185/10 191/6 212/22 217/24 218/22 222/13 228/14 228/21 237/18 241/13 246/15 254/7 254/8
lots [5] 97/19 97/19 175/3 180/2 241/9 Loudly [1] 8/13
lunch [4] 6/12 6/13 101/6 217/7 lying [1] 172/10

\section*{M}

M-A-R-I-L-Y-N [1] 8/15
M-A-R-K-S [1] 8/16
ma'am [3] 9/13 107/10 204/2
machine [8] 56/4 56/9 81/10 108/4
155/8 179/18 179/20 221/22
machine-based [1] 221/22
machinery [2] 82/21 82/24
machines [15] 11/19 55/18 55/19
57/14 61/7 121/9 159/10 159/13 159/18
196/8 198/7 216/9 216/12 228/12
236/13
made [28] 26/6 43/17 54/6 54/12 85/4 87/16 93/11 102/3 104/14 112/8 113/5 116/8 129/10 134/18 144/12 145/4 149/20 158/22 176/11 176/12 183/24 200/20 217/21 226/18 232/20 233/14 237/18 239/5
magnifying [2] 52/6 52/11
mail [10] 63/16 63/17 64/2 64/21 65/10
65/25 66/12 68/13 138/3 175/11
mail-in [1] 138/3
main [1] 240/20
mainly [3] 126/19 177/11 211/22
maintain [2] 27/9 223/21
majority [4] 57/9 69/2 69/3 242/2
make [27] 24/24 35/8 44/4 44/14 63/19
66/3 74/7 83/14 87/20 95/19 95/20
95/21 108/11 123/7 123/8 141/11
143/12 158/2 183/8 204/22 211/14
212/1 215/9 220/9 220/24 228/9 233/9
makes [4] 48/6 85/6 87/6 163/17
making [9] 19/20 44/4 96/3 96/17
97/10 146/6 176/16 196/12 199/2
malware [2] 37/8 61/19
man [2] 171/21 171/21
management [4] 224/22 225/4 241/9 250/8
manager [4] 126/25 210/3 214/11 214/12
mandate [2] 19/20 41/20
mandated [2] 19/8 19/13
mandating [1] 44/19
manifested [1] 99/5
manipulated [1] 39/1
manual [2] 179/10 179/14
manually [1] 61/8
manufacturer [1] 228/6
manufacturing [1] 211/7
many [20] 15/21 46/1 47/6 48/8 51/11
87/15 87/22 105/3 139/1 160/22 161/20
168/4 171/10 173/23 182/2 183/5
191/25 218/19 229/24 241/16
map [1] 89/13
March [34] 22/5 22/17 22/24 25/22
26/2 82/18 83/5 102/10 110/18 110/24
116/24 117/2 117/6 117/21 118/14 122/5 122/5 125/3 126/6 127/23 145/2 163/8 195/23 203/1 212/9 214/19
214/22 215/10 217/16 217/21 218/25
247/20 247/21 248/12
March 13 [1] 22/5
March 15 [3] 22/17 22/24 212/9
March 17 [1] 203/1
March 2020 [2] 25/22 26/2
March 7 [7] 110/18 110/24 116/24
117/2 117/21 127/23 195/23
March 8 [1] 122/5
March 9 [1] 122/5
March or [1] 214/22
margin [1] 210/7
marilyn [5] 4/5 8/8 8/15 8/18 58/10
marilynmarks [1] 54/3
marilynrmarks1 [2] 14/7 54/3
Marino [1] 178/6
mark [5] 18/4 61/23 65/13 65/14 66/7
marked [42] 11/18 12/15 36/21 37/20 37/24 38/10 38/20 41/3 41/20 42/11 42/16 42/24 43/15 43/21 45/3 45/24
52/2 55/23 59/6 61/6 63/7 63/9 64/3
64/6 64/11 64/19 65/2 65/6 94/17
105/20 109/6 137/2 140/19 151/17
163/2 167/21 168/16 169/21 217/4
217/7 221/21 246/8
marking [24] 19/9 19/17 24/2 24/19 25/2 37/25 43/21 52/9 56/17 56/20 57/8 57/10 57/15 66/5 68/24 68/25 69/2
170/23 171/2 171/5 190/2 190/4 190/9 191/8
marks [115] 4/5 8/8 8/15 8/18 8/22
21/21 24/18 26/6 27/19 36/20 37/24 48/21 50/1 54/2 55/13 58/9 59/24 60/16 69/23 70/11 71/21 73/25 77/5 77/7 78/17 79/3 80/13 81/6 82/11 82/17
82/22 83/5 83/20 83/22 84/4 84/19 85/4
85/14 86/9 86/22 87/3 87/6 87/11 90/11
90/22 93/16 94/4 94/10 95/23 97/21
100/11 101/11 102/23 103/21 105/23
106/14 107/9 108/18 109/8 110/6
114/22 118/3 120/1 120/22 122/4
122/13 122/20 122/20 126/5 133/22
134/2 134/21 137/3 139/10 140/6 142/4
143/6 144/11 145/9 151/24 152/20
157/17 158/7 158/20 160/19 163/2
163/16 165/7 167/22 168/16 168/24
169/21 173/8 178/4 178/17 179/10
180/7 181/14 181/25 183/1 184/19
186/2 187/3 187/9 188/3 191/2 191/11
195/2 195/25 196/15 197/17 202/1
202/25 203/25 223/5
Marks' [5] 85/18 85/21 86/2 88/4
122/23
Marshals' [1] 98/25
Martin [5] 108/4 154/22 154/24 156/2 156/11

\section*{M}

MARTINO [1] 2/6
MARTINO-WEINHARDT [1] 2/6
MARY [1] 2/5
Massey [1] 210/20
massive [4] 78/12 126/10 218/17 249/13
match [2] 214/25 217/17
matching [2] 180/18 221/3
materially [1] 208/10
math [3] 207/7 207/8 207/19
matter [11] 42/23 106/16 167/1 187/16
187/19 187/22 237/22 239/4 244/9
256/9 258/11
matters [5] 11/3 11/16 136/12 163/25
230/20
MATTHAEUS [1] 2/6
maximize [1] 223/3
may [89] \(1 / 2\) 1/4 5/11 8/5 14/5 16/4
17/5 17/22 18/4 19/24 27/11 28/6 29/12
30/16 34/19 43/13 45/2 45/6 45/9 45/16 45/20 49/13 50/6 50/20 53/8 54/13 55/13 58/16 59/3 60/13 66/7 67/2 67/16 67/21 71/13 74/8 74/25 75/21 76/12
78/17 83/23 94/11 94/11 94/12 94/12 95/2 95/23 97/12 98/22 99/4 100/16 102/16 105/16 107/11 109/3 115/16 119/20 123/22 123/24 123/24 125/8 125/11 129/11 133/4 133/10 136/21 138/24 140/1 153/23 156/25 164/25 174/1 182/10 198/13 199/10 200/18 203/12 205/18 210/17 237/16 237/17 238/4 239/17 247/24 248/23 255/10 256/2 256/6 257/8
May 2nd [2] 54/13 55/13
maybe [29] 5/14 10/16 17/14 39/24
57/22 57/24 67/25 72/10 74/7 87/7 87/8 93/9 94/24 95/1 102/9 114/5 120/24 137/3 183/20 196/4 199/1 208/14 208/14 222/22 223/1 244/14 248/1 255/14 256/4
Mayor [1] 97/6
McGowan [1] 159/5
McGUIRE [2] 2/16 2/17
me [175] 7/14 7/16 10/11 11/6 12/15 13/22 13/22 15/12 16/11 17/13 17/15 18/13 19/7 19/9 19/11 20/9 21/6 22/13 22/15 23/8 24/13 25/23 26/9 26/19 27/24 31/15 31/24 32/16 34/13 36/20 37/5 37/7 37/19 38/4 38/12 38/24 40/2 41/2 41/16 50/13 51/12 51/13 51/15 55/10 57/19 57/20 63/6 63/13 63/24 64/5 65/1 67/21 70/12 70/23 71/20 73/7 73/15 75/5 77/12 79/16 80/6 82/25 87/5 89/14 91/1 91/24 92/2 95/17 95/21 96/4 97/4 99/2 99/16 99/17 103/10 104/14 107/20 110/14 111/19 112/1 112/4 112/5 112/7 114/19 115/10 117/5 117/8 117/13 119/10 120/21 121/20 122/4 122/5 125/1 127/1 127/8 131/21 132/6 133/19 135/2 140/21 141/24 144/2 144/8 144/17 144/21 144/24 147/18 148/21 150/6 150/17 153/9 153/10 154/22 155/1 155/6 156/10 156/11 157/4 157/21 159/7 163/2 164/3 166/17 167/21 168/16 169/1 169/2 169/21 171/21 179/11 179/19 180/13 180/23

186/3 186/15 187/3 187/4 187/9 187/20 188/1 189/4 189/17 189/25 190/1 190/19 193/1 193/2 193/7 195/5 196/1 197/12 198/8 199/2 204/11 205/10 208/1 212/1 219/2 222/12 235/25 236/3 237/6 237/14 238/6 238/7 238/11 238/15 242/3 245/21 253/1 254/21 257/5 257/5 257/9
mean [85] 5/13 6/11 6/15 13/22 15/5
16/3 17/19 22/18 29/23 30/15 30/19 34/18 35/1 35/22 36/13 36/18 37/12 40/22 45/6 45/10 46/2 46/4 46/13 46/14 46/20 46/21 46/22 48/4 53/19 57/2 57/21 58/21 66/2 67/16 69/3 79/21 87/17 91/8 91/22 91/23 92/13 96/17 97/25 98/3 98/17 98/19 100/9 104/10 104/17 106/25 112/10 121/23 124/17 125/22 130/18 132/5 158/1 163/24 164/16 164/23 164/25 166/18 187/5 192/19 193/10 197/5 199/16 202/12 215/21 216/8 216/10 221/24 223/6 223/11 224/11 227/11 228/9 228/14 237/9 237/13 237/16 239/21 244/14 246/16 257/18
meaning [2] 78/7 80/13
meaningful [10] 46/3 68/24 188/13
188/21 188/22 189/12 189/14 189/22 190/3 190/7
meaningfully [1] 60/2
means [10] 13/6 13/14 40/6 40/7 53/11 96/2 97/13 98/1 154/7 162/12
meant [7] 16/9 24/3 35/4 71/18 104/18 112/20 194/18
measure [2] 5/7 188/15
measured [1] 87/21
measures [3] 222/17 229/12 242/16
MECHANICAL [1] 1/21
Mecklenburg [3] 57/11 58/23 59/12 media [2] 13/13 225/22
meet [3] 98/25 176/8 215/23
meeting [8] 17/1 18/6 80/3 80/5 80/9
147/2 235/19 236/2
meetings [2] 191/24 230/2
MEGAN [4] 2/13 2/18 28/5 51/21
member [8] 73/4 73/5 73/6 73/7 77/8
102/5 126/17 130/4
members [8] 12/10 12/11 42/13 45/22
145/3 184/21 188/10 214/1
members' [4] 12/9 32/10 69/14 191/4
memory [2] 18/3 165/23
menacing [1] 144/18
mention [2] 7/5 171/14
mentioned [3] 143/25 145/9 193/16
merger [1] \(46 / 2\)
merits [1] 99/23
message [3] 99/23 120/4 129/14
messaged [2] 112/1 112/4
messages [1] 120/7
messed [1] 130/7
met [5] 110/7 110/9 142/15 142/16
252/15
mic [1] 93/25
Michigan [4] 116/15 116/24 117/3 230/6
microphone [1] 93/25
mid [1] 242/4
middle [3] 75/6 75/11 75/13
midst [1] 159/22
might [18] 5/13 7/19 41/23 41/23 77/17
98/24 125/6 135/9 136/6 146/17 171/8 175/8 184/7 207/21 208/15 232/24 235/2 248/18
Miller [3] 74/14 204/24 206/19
million [1] 217/21
Milton [1] 211/15
mind [15] 6/23 16/11 25/23 41/15 43/9 63/24 64/16 73/11 100/1 129/12 148/12 180/13 193/6 215/14 246/10
minds [1] 211/6
mine [3] 107/15 107/18 193/5
minimize [1] 96/1
minions [2] 159/14 195/17
minor [1] 15/17
minute [9] 78/1 114/19 115/18 116/20
117/25 143/22 150/20 172/15 206/12
minutes [12] 6/3 6/7 7/2 80/5 80/8
95/13 95/15 111/9 112/1 112/5 112/14 252/25
Mischaracterizes [2] 53/14 128/15
mischaracterizing [1] 53/16
misguided [1] 97/12
mishaps [1] 96/24
misleading [1] 198/11
mismatch [2] 179/25 181/12
misprogrammed [1] 61/18
mispronouncing [1] 49/14
missed [2] 171/12 255/10
MISSETT [4] 2/13 2/19 28/5 51/21
missing [2] 107/13 197/10
mission [7] 12/12 12/22 13/6 14/19
14/21 14/21 14/22
misstatements [1] 79/24
Misstates [2] 34/20 42/15
mistaken [1] 248/1
Misty [12] 70/21 70/21 72/9 72/15
72/18 76/15 88/6 89/21 96/13 147/16 163/10 167/7
misunderstanding [1] 250/13
misunderstood [2] 114/5 140/3
Mitchell [1] 242/4
mitigate [3] 233/3 235/1 251/11
mitigated [2] 45/12 234/13
mitigation [8] 170/8 170/15 188/15
229/12 232/1 234/16 252/6 252/7
mitigations [1] 252/2
MITRE [11] 191/20 191/22 191/24
192/4 192/14 192/14 192/16 193/11
193/20 193/23 205/3
mobile [1] 250/8
modernize [1] 220/1
Modest [1] 26/16
modify [1] 44/16
moment [10] 82/4 122/15 135/2 169/24
182/4 188/6 194/2 211/25 223/14
227/22
money [5] 218/3 218/4 218/6 219/21 219/23
monitoring [3] 173/17 174/18 180/24
Montgomery [4] 115/17 116/19 117/24 142/11
month [4] 148/23 148/25 164/7 164/9
months [7] 89/19 145/5 145/13 149/8
155/14 155/18 215/8
more [72] 7/14 11/22 15/20 39/9 41/16 46/22 57/3 62/20 72/11 74/6 75/25 78/23 83/6 89/5 99/14 100/2 100/6

\section*{M}
more... [55] 122/4 125/7 125/10 125/21 127/9 127/25 128/10 129/3 129/15 132/1 132/14 133/2 136/3 138/16 141/2 145/6 145/6 145/25 146/5 146/24 150/24 152/10 152/12 169/20 175/14 175/15 178/21 185/10 204/20 208/10 209/8 213/22 215/9 216/10 218/12 219/8 222/21 223/6 223/9 224/7 229/7 231/12 232/5 232/12 233/8 238/5 245/15 250/8 250/9 252/24 253/12 254/2 255/5 256/4 256/19
morning [10] 5/3 5/3 5/14 5/16 7/23 8/22 8/23 144/3 253/23 255/8
MORRISON [1] 2/7
most [17] 46/14 71/3 71/15 71/15
83/10 116/25 119/13 119/13 142/17
146/2 155/21 178/4 216/17 240/24
240/25 247/9 250/10
mostly [2] 26/7 237/13
motion [2] 85/12 137/20
motions [1] 200/7
move [39] 13/1 21/2 27/14 28/16 28/18 53/1 54/19 59/19 60/23 77/24 78/7 95/22 103/16 106/4 109/2 109/18 109/25 113/7 120/9 134/15 138/16 139/6 139/18 158/15 163/13 168/7 169/6 173/1 179/8 194/15 197/10 205/3 219/17 222/19 238/17 239/10 244/12 246/22 251/1
moved [3] 110/17 160/10 254/8 moving [8] 42/7 78/8 100/12 100/25
141/12 225/25 238/20 241/20
Mr [9] 4/6 4/7 4/8 4/9 4/12 76/21 150/6 197/24 207/5
Mr. [183] 5/6 7/7 41/19 42/4 43/5 44/9 44/12 45/1 47/2 47/24 49/16 54/1 67/21 73/10 73/13 74/14 75/17 78/4 79/6 79/15 80/7 82/20 82/24 84/24 85/7 85/16 85/25 86/15 86/21 88/20 90/1 90/6 92/9 92/10 93/9 93/21 98/7 98/8 108/19 110/9 111/16 111/21 113/14 113/24 114/22 115/5 115/17 115/22 116/19 117/11 117/24 118/3 119/5 119/14 120/5 120/7 120/25 121/5 121/16 121/22 122/6 122/9 124/7 124/12 124/18 124/21 125/16 126/5 126/7 126/13 127/2 127/4 127/6 127/16 127/20 127/24 129/13 129/24 130/5 130/18 131/7 132/9 132/12 134/25 135/11 135/25 136/4 136/11 138/2 140/25 141/24 142/11 143/9 143/25 144/4 144/5 144/17 144/18 144/23 144/24 145/4 145/7 146/8 146/11 146/21 147/1 148/4 148/7 148/12 150/7 150/11 150/19 151/8 152/1 152/7 152/20 153/3 157/7 165/22 169/8 173/9 174/3 177/15 177/19 178/5 178/6 184/5 185/4 186/10 186/10 189/15 193/10 195/15 197/19 200/10 202/3 203/4 204/24 206/8 206/9 206/13 206/17 206/19 207/6 209/5 213/7 216/1 221/23 223/14 224/11 224/14 225/20 226/1 228/2 229/9 229/20 230/12 230/15 230/19 231/21 233/25 234/10 234/19 235/7 235/18 235/25 236/25 237/6 237/18 238/2 238/19 240/2 240/11

241/20 242/15 245/15 249/2 249/19 251/18 253/9 253/11 253/20 256/1
Mr. Adida [1] 224/11
Mr. Barron [3] 127/4 144/5 144/17
Mr. Belinfante [17] 44/12 45/1 47/2 78/4 84/24 88/20 113/14 135/11 143/25 151/8 157/7 184/5 230/12 230/19 236/25 238/2 253/20
Mr. Belinfante's [1] 200/10
Mr. Blanchard [1] 132/12
Mr. Blanchard's [1] 132/9
Mr. Brown [14] 43/5 44/9 67/21 85/16 85/25 92/9 93/21 165/22 169/8 186/10 186/10 189/15 193/10 245/15
Mr. Brown's [2] 42/4 113/24
Mr. Chaney [5] 150/11 150/19 152/1 152/7 153/3
Mr. Cross [12] 5/6 47/24 150/7 197/19 230/15 234/10 234/19 235/7 235/18 235/25 251/18 253/9
Mr. Davis [2] 7/7 41/19
Mr. Evans [1] 256/1
Mr. Germany's [1] 86/15
Mr. Giuliani [1] 90/1
Mr. Hall [23] 85/7 86/21 110/9 111/21
115/5 115/22 117/11 118/3 121/22 122/9 124/18 124/21 126/5 126/7 126/13 127/2 127/20 144/4 144/23 144/24 145/4 145/7 146/8
Mr. Hall's [8] 82/20 82/24 90/6 114/22 124/7 127/6 144/18 202/3
Mr. Hursti [2] 177/19 178/5
Mr. Hutton [1] 138/2
Mr. Johnson [1] 225/20
Mr. Marino [1] 178/6
Mr. Miller [3] 74/14 204/24 206/19
Mr. Montgomery [4] 115/17 116/19 117/24 142/11
Mr. Oles [3] 92/10 173/9 174/3
Mr. Oles' [1] 177/15
Mr. Pico-Prats [1] 152/20
Mr. Pulitzer [6] 54/1 125/16 134/25 140/25 141/24 143/9
Mr. Pulitzer's [3] 49/16 135/25 136/4
Mr. Sinners [2] 98/7 98/8
Mr. Stark [1] 207/6
Mr. Sterling [30] 93/9 127/24 136/11 146/21 147/1 206/8 206/9 206/13 206/17 209/5 213/7 216/1 221/23 223/14 224/14 226/1 228/2 229/9 229/20 231/21 233/25 237/6 238/19 240/2 240/11 241/20 242/15 249/2 249/19 253/11
Mr. Sterling's [7] 111/16 124/12 127/16 129/24 146/11 185/4 237/18
Mr. Stone [2] 130/18 131/7
Mr. Tyson [4] 148/4 148/7 148/12 203/4
Mr. Voyles [14] 73/13 75/17 79/6 79/15 80/7 108/19 119/5 119/14 120/5 120/7 121/5 121/16 122/6 129/13
Mr. Voyles' [2] 73/10 120/25
Mr. Wendell [1] 130/5
Mr. Willard's [1] 195/15
Mr.Pico [1] 153/2
Mr.Pico-Prats' [1] 153/2
Ms [1] 97/3
Ms. [145] 8/8 8/22 21/21 24/18 26/6

27/19 36/20 48/21 50/1 54/2 55/13 58/9 59/24 60/16 69/23 70/11 71/21 72/4 73/25 75/17 75/17 75/23 78/17 79/3 79/16 81/6 81/13 82/11 82/17 82/22 83/5 83/20 83/22 84/4 84/19 85/4 85/14 85/18 85/21 86/9 86/22 86/25 87/1 87/2 87/2 87/3 87/6 87/6 87/11 88/4 89/24 90/11 90/22 93/16 94/4 94/10 95/23 96/23 97/6 97/21 100/11 101/11 102/23 103/21 105/12 105/23 106/14 107/9 108/4 108/18 108/19 109/8 110/6 114/22 118/3 120/1 120/22 122/4 122/13 126/5 126/16 127/4 133/22 134/2 134/4 134/6 134/21 137/3 139/10 140/6 142/4 143/6 144/11 145/9 151/24 152/20 154/24 157/17 157/22 157/25 158/7 158/20 159/14 160/1 160/19 163/2 163/16 164/14 165/7 167/22 168/16 168/24 169/21 173/8 178/4 178/17 179/10 180/7 181/14 181/25 183/1 184/19 186/2 186/9 187/3 187/9 188/3 191/2 191/11 195/2 195/17 195/25 196/8 196/15 197/17 198/13 198/24 199/6 199/20 199/23 200/20 202/1 202/25 203/25 223/5
Ms. Brown [1] 186/9
Ms. Brumback [2] 134/4 134/6
Ms. Dufort [1] 127/4
Ms. Hall [5] 86/25 87/1 87/2 87/2 87/6
Ms. Hampton [3] 75/17 75/23 105/12
Ms. Hampton's [2] 96/23 164/14
Ms. Jeanne [1] 126/16
Ms. Latham [7] 72/4 75/17 79/16 81/13 89/24 97/6 108/19
Ms. Marilyn [1] 8/8
Ms. Marks [105] 8/22 21/21 24/18 26/6 27/19 36/20 48/21 50/1 54/2 55/13 58/9 59/24 60/16 69/23 70/11 71/21 73/25 78/17 79/3 81/6 82/11 82/17 82/22 83/5 83/20 83/22 84/4 84/19 85/4 85/14 86/9 86/22 87/3 87/6 87/11 90/11 90/22 93/16 94/4 94/10 95/23 97/21 100/11 101/11 102/23 103/21 105/23 106/14 107/9 108/18 109/8 110/6 114/22 118/3 120/1 120/22 122/4 122/13 126/5 133/22 134/2 134/21 137/3 139/10 140/6 142/4 143/6 144/11 145/9 151/24 152/20 157/17 158/7 158/20 160/19 163/2 163/16 165/7 167/22 168/16 168/24 169/21 173/8 178/4 178/17 179/10 180/7 181/14 181/25 183/1 184/19 186/2 187/3 187/9 188/3 191/2 191/11 195/2 195/25 196/15 197/17 202/1 202/25 203/25 223/5
Ms. Marks' [3] 85/18 85/21 88/4
Ms. Martin [2] 108/4 154/24
Ms. Powell [8] 157/22 157/25 160/1 196/8 198/13 199/20 199/23 200/20 Ms. Powell's [4] 159/14 195/17 198/24 199/6
much [27] 15/9 15/13 33/23 33/23
110/14 133/2 137/20 144/23 151/23 156/12 161/18 162/4 170/23 172/12 179/1 182/9 184/19 198/14 198/15 206/5 208/17 212/3 219/10 223/6 256/19 257/3 257/19
mud [1] 98/24
multiple [10] 104/13 122/20 146/3

\section*{M}
multiple... [7] 154/21 155/1 160/3 175/12 232/22 236/11 236/20 must [2] 150/12 201/10
my [84] 6/22 7/18 7/18 9/18 18/13 18/19 24/18 33/9 37/9 51/3 51/23 54/11 55/1 57/10 67/19 68/11 72/10 76/12 90/4 94/14 107/12 107/14 110/16 110/17 111/2 111/19 111/19 118/5 119/15 123/20 125/5 125/6 125/6 126/17 128/7 129/11 136/8 136/8 136/9 136/22 138/19 138/21 140/3 148/5 153/25 154/11 156/25 165/4 166/10 176/13 178/24 180/15 181/25 182/3 183/25 185/18 189/21 198/10 199/13 199/13 200/9 201/17 203/16 208/9 209/17 209/17 210/25 211/7 215/14 224/12 224/13 225/21 225/24 233/25 235/14 235/17 236/10 236/14 244/14 246/10 248/6 249/5 252/21 258/12 myself [4] 32/7 120/5 176/12 232/8
N
nail [1] 47/12
name [10] 8/13 8/14 31/18 49/14 76/22 97/3 170/14 217/17 225/17 236/7 named [5] 72/22 110/7 121/7 144/7 160/4
names [2] 12/9 117/1
narrow [1] 241/3
narrowed [1] 71/17
narrower [1] 89/13
narrowly [1] 127/25
nasty [1] 95/18
nation [1] 127/11
nation's [1] 59/25
National [3] 142/6 212/15 212/20 nature [8] 6/24 131/19 175/6 186/12
216/6 216/17 217/12 254/13
navigate [1] 46/8
near [1] 93/25
necessarily [7] 23/16 38/6 38/23 50/25
179/21 197/18 230/21
necessary [3] 40/18 58/1 58/14
need [31] 6/10 8/1 25/6 26/22 28/7 32/7 40/8 61/17 66/5 70/4 70/8 76/12
77/11 82/6 86/3 90/3 92/23 118/23
122/24 131/4 131/4 158/17 164/23
165/20 183/8 205/6 207/9 222/21
227/21 231/21 245/21
needed [4] 70/2 201/22 204/23 214/18 needs [4] 69/5 78/19 205/15 252/8
neglect [1] 84/4
neighborhood [1] 6/3
neither [2] 177/15 184/10
net [1] 96/24
never [20] 9/2 9/2 9/21 10/18 10/21 55/18 62/16 119/9 120/17 143/18 151/10 156/12 171/20 171/22 180/19
208/3 229/14 229/18 237/19 251/12
new [15] 22/18 128/13 155/8 155/9
161/14 203/14 208/2 211/14 215/5
217/1 226/5 226/9 232/19 246/24 247/1
news [3] 11/2 129/18 232/25
newspaper [1] 133/17
next [9] 22/8 77/3 118/23 118/25 119/5 125/8 126/25 238/7 247/21
nicest [1] 238/14
night [7] 111/8 113/5 121/7 126/16
196/12 232/17 232/24
nightmare [1] 251/2
nightmarish [1] 246/10
nilly [1] 159/11
Ninja [4] 87/4 87/7 131/18 185/16
Ninjas [3] 84/20 84/22 202/11
Ninjas' [1] 147/16
no [150] 11/5 12/11 13/2 21/18 22/20 22/25 23/7 27/7 28/21 29/8 32/22 33/8 33/11 33/16 33/16 36/2 37/8 37/8 37/16 38/11 38/12 38/12 38/21 41/9 42/5 42/16 43/20 43/23 44/6 45/3 45/6 45/11 47/6 47/15 47/21 48/16 50/24 50/24 52/4 56/2 56/8 59/21 60/24 63/8 65/17 72/17 80/4 92/18 92/18 92/18 92/18 93/1 95/4 96/20 102/2 102/8 103/18 106/6 106/14 109/20 109/22 111/19 112/24 114/1 115/24 118/16 118/18 118/21 119/9 119/10 121/2 122/10 122/11 122/12 123/19 124/9 124/16 124/19 124/22 127/21 130/24 131/1 132/15 133/15 134/17 139/19 140/8 141/1 141/14 141/21 141/25 143/2 147/14 147/24 148/20 154/12 156/19 159/20 160/13 162/10 165/1 166/8 167/2 167/9 168/8 172/19 173/4 174/13 177/13 179/19 185/14 189/2 190/8 191/9 193/16 194/19 195/7 197/9 201/20 202/7 202/8 203/5 204/15 204/20 204/21 205/5 208/9 208/9 208/15 210/15 217/3 217/19 224/3 225/13 226/4 229/25 230/13 235/6 235/24 236/14 238/10 239/14 242/11 245/10 246/22 246/23 248/18 249/10 256/9 256/18
nobody [2] 85/22 240/25
non [1] 173/16
non-litigation [1] 173/16
none [2] 35/22 221/8
nonetheless [1] 118/7
nonparty [2] 144/9 163/17
normal [1] 218/13
North [7] 9/6 16/20 51/23 56/21 57/7
57/10 161/1
North Carolina [7] 9/6 16/20 51/23
56/21 57/7 57/10 161/1
NORTHERN [4] 1/1 6/22 258/4 258/7
Norwood [2] 210/3 210/11 not [472]
notarized [1] 98/11
note [4] 77/11 99/15 151/5 219/12
notebooks [1] 230/1
notes [7] 74/4 77/5 77/12 77/20 79/8 80/11 86/2
nothing [20] 35/24 42/24 45/13 46/20 51/12 51/15 51/17 55/3 83/10 94/3 96/11 126/23 170/18 188/24 220/25 230/16 230/16 237/13 252/12 257/16 notice [8] 66/13 67/3 135/5 143/25
199/14 199/20 202/10 230/3
notification [1] 121/5
notify [2] 87/8 116/14
noting [1] 106/25
November [49] 49/4 70/14 72/18 73/3 75/17 76/1 76/2 76/3 80/3 80/9 96/7 110/11 128/1 128/8 157/5 157/21

167/25 174/13 174/19 174/19 176/1 188/4 194/8 195/12 196/18 200/21 203/7 204/9 216/2 216/25 220/12 221/17 222/7 223/16 245/2 245/3 246/2 248/1 248/7 248/14 248/16 248/17
248/18 248/22 249/3 249/13 250/18 250/20 255/3
November 10 [2] 80/3 80/9
November 2020 [10] 70/14 75/17 76/2
76/3 176/1 200/21 216/25 220/12

\section*{221/17 223/16}

November 2024 [7] 245/2 245/3 246/2 248/22 249/3 250/18 255/3
November 26 [2] 167/25 188/4
November 29 [1] 157/21
November 30th [1] 194/8
November 3rd [2] 174/19 203/7
November and [2] 96/7 248/18
November general [1] 49/4
November of [3] 110/11 128/8 195/12 now [89] 7/5 14/5 15/7 17/9 17/13
18/16 24/8 24/17 26/11 32/13 34/3 38/14 39/25 50/12 53/24 63/24 64/21 67/7 70/3 73/16 73/17 74/15 78/10 87/1 87/25 89/11 92/8 93/9 96/11 101/4
101/11 107/12 108/14 109/1 115/25
116/14 116/25 117/5 117/11 119/3
129/11 129/20 130/23 133/10 137/6 140/2 147/7 149/8 151/9 152/17 152/20 159/10 159/25 170/19 171/3 174/3 177/6 181/6 188/13 191/14 192/15 196/7 198/16 199/23 201/23 212/12 216/1 222/13 224/23 226/12 229/6 232/23 233/15 236/6 242/15 245/9 245/10 246/19 247/3 248/7 248/19 249/6 249/8 251/9 251/10 253/2 257/6 257/7 257/8
nub [2] 35/18 35/18
number [49] \(1 / 5\) 1/6 \(1 / 5\) 23/16 34/10
43/24 48/11 55/14 75/2 75/6 75/7 75/13 77/13 79/23 80/21 97/2 97/24 131/2 131/3 136/8 149/11 150/24 153/15 153/17 157/8 163/22 163/23 164/13 169/6 169/8 172/23 173/14 177/7 180/1 181/13 191/12 198/9 203/5 208/2 210/14 210/15 210/15 226/20 226/23 227/1 227/3 229/22 229/23 242/14
Number 12 [1] 203/5
Number 14 [1] 177/7
Number 5 [4] 55/14 169/6 169/8 191/12
number one [6] 43/24 131/2 163/22 210/14 229/22 242/14
number two [6] 131/3 136/8 150/24 163/23 210/15 229/23
numbers [6] 56/6 75/2 103/5 103/23 105/15 122/17
numerous [4] 44/13 44/22 86/4 212/25 nuts [1] 228/19

\section*{0}
oath [3] 208/21 227/8 227/9
object [36] 25/10 31/10 40/14 41/22 42/15 53/14 56/24 57/25 58/6 62/7 72/2 78/5 78/11 94/10 95/2 106/7 106/9 113/11 113/17 114/2 114/4 114/6 125/24 128/15 139/19 156/23 156/24 177/10 177/18 196/17 197/5 197/22

\section*{0}
object... [4] 238/23 245/16 248/11 249/24
objected [4] 151/9 193/12 253/10 253/25
objecting [10] 88/10 88/11 89/19 91/2 91/7 92/4 95/5 95/6 197/3 197/7 objection [69] 13/2 21/18 27/16 28/21 34/20 41/5 42/1 42/4 57/16 59/21 60/24 78/10 88/1 88/3 88/13 89/15 89/16 93/21 103/18 106/6 107/2 109/20 109/21 113/9 113/12 113/24 114/2 114/13 121/2 130/18 133/15 137/19 137/24 141/15 141/21 144/9 148/11 151/3 153/4 154/6 155/24 156/4 160/12 165/18 168/8 169/15 173/4 176/17 176/18 179/3 180/2 181/1 186/21
186/25 189/14 190/12 192/7 194/20 200/11 200/12 205/5 216/22 227/17 229/1 230/13 231/12 236/17 245/4 245/13
objectionable [1] 248/23
objections [7] 114/11 120/11 133/11
150/21 163/15 173/3 237/7
objectives [1] 97/12
observation [1] 155/8
observe [2] 176/5 196/2
observed [6] 176/7 176/15 178/21
180/16 182/2 198/18
observer [3] 110/15 178/19 180/7
observing [2] 155/14 174/22
obsessed [2] 127/2 144/4
obstacles [2] 51/22 51/24
obtain [3] 42/10 76/18 116/11
obtained [1] 121/8
obvious [3] 46/14 84/3 84/8
obviously [18] 6/4 6/12 6/15 67/2 96/6
100/2 139/13 141/25 144/11 183/10
183/11 228/21 233/5 234/25 236/9
239/22 241/14 247/8
occasion [5] 93/23 174/23 176/6
176/10 177/1
occasions [1] 206/20
occurred [1] 80/14
October [5] 23/13 25/9 25/15 215/13 230/9
October 15 [1] 23/13
odd [1] 219/1
off [8] 7/20 55/14 107/12 107/20
114/15 218/10 218/16 241/18
offensive [1] 99/18
offer [4] 138/6 169/10 199/11 239/23
offered [6] 114/1 184/9 193/9 193/15
193/21 230/16
offering [4] 55/3 172/25 227/16 229/10
office [44] 2/25 9/22 10/22 22/16 23/2
47/20 52/21 65/3 65/11 65/15 66/4 66/25 98/4 99/1 105/10 106/2 106/13
107/6 118/11 118/20 122/7 124/7 125/2
128/14 128/21 140/16 147/11 159/4
168/2 186/17 187/18 210/13 214/3
214/17 220/13 223/18 223/21 226/2
228/23 231/19 235/4 240/12 240/15
240/18
office's [1] 224/15
officer [1] 212/2
official [10] \(1 / 1\) 1/2 \(1 / 3\) 1/7 1/23 73/5

207/12 212/8 258/6 258/17
officials [22] 15/21 38/2 52/14 52/15 83/1 83/1 96/2 121/17 121/21 121/24 162/17 191/20 191/21 212/22 213/14 213/24 213/25 213/25 214/1 224/1 224/3 240/22
often [4] 52/12 52/12 97/21 198/9
oh [14] 10/10 49/10 82/2 120/13 130/5
136/20 137/7 154/21 160/7 164/6
192/22 224/12 238/22 243/21
okay [340]
old [4] 112/12 195/8 232/11 232/21
OLES [6] 2/24 2/25 4/8 92/10 173/9
174/3
Oles' [1] 177/15
Olomo [1] 114/25
once [8] 42/8 44/24 93/16 110/9 185/4
206/2 211/23 211/23
one [133] 5/7 6/7 9/7 11/5 13/16 14/13 14/21 17/19 18/20 19/16 23/22 37/15 41/15 42/16 43/24 44/22 45/1 45/3 46/6 46/14 47/1 47/2 47/15 49/4 51/9 51/11 52/18 55/6 55/15 57/23 59/10 60/6 63/16 67/8 70/8 70/25 71/1 71/2 71/15 79/6 85/1 87/6 87/6 88/18 92/14 92/20 93/16 93/23 94/1 94/19 94/21 97/9 97/17 99/3 99/4 100/15 106/7 109/24 110/14 110/17 118/23 119/7 122/4 125/6 125/16 131/2 135/7 141/25 145/22 148/9 148/14 150/22 154/3 154/10 155/5 155/6 157/8 158/6 160/5 161/11 162/20 163/15 163/22 164/20 165/11 168/4 168/10 168/19 168/21 169/20 171/22 175/4 176/14 178/12 180/3 182/6 182/19 188/14 192/22 193/16 194/10 201/4 203/15 203/18 204/25 205/2 205/12 210/14 212/7 213/5 213/22 217/15 220/10 221/9 226/18 227/21 229/22 232/9 232/11 232/23 233/7 235/2 241/19 242/6 242/14 248/19 249/17 250/10 250/25 251/20 252/4 254/3 257/1
ones [3] 213/22 216/10 240/20 ongoing [1] 80/12
online [4] 136/5 136/7 172/4 226/22 only [46] \(1 / 2\) 1/6 29/21 44/1 45/24 57/23 61/4 82/19 85/18 86/12 87/13 88/18 88/25 110/9 111/12 114/1 127/19 144/12 153/7 159/18 166/9 166/13 166/25 167/16 169/11 181/11 183/6 183/16 184/9 184/12 193/9 193/21 201/17 201/23 201/25 208/9 210/18 219/10 234/5 240/17 241/15 247/6 250/22 252/14 253/8 253/25 open [8] 126/10 146/4 146/6 146/9 164/14 192/10 194/19 240/25 opened [5] 145/13 145/13 146/4 149/13 192/9
opening [3] 125/9 193/12 237/19 opens [1] 96/21
operating [4] 30/11 37/7 37/8 212/1
operation [3] 81/2 96/25 173/18
operative [2] 67/8 67/20
opinion [2] 115/21 239/3
opportunity [8] 15/9 82/7 113/13 127/8
150/10 150/13 150/14 150/15
oppose [3] 58/3 162/11 163/24
opposed [3] 43/21 69/4 139/8
opposing [3] 102/21 111/6 113/5 opposition [2] 59/13 99/21
optical [1] 61/23
options [1] 46/1
order [34] 26/17 39/2 40/10 41/3 41/3 41/8 44/21 45/2 45/23 46/15 46/16 46/16 47/25 61/17 96/15 111/15 161/9 166/15 181/17 181/18 189/22 196/20 198/5 224/7 227/2 244/7 244/9 250/17 251/14 252/12 252/13 252/14 254/24 254/25
ordered [8] 40/16 43/16 47/14 179/14 179/21 179/23 189/10 189/18
ordering [1] 189/19
orders [2] 198/10 198/10
organization [10] 145/3 149/1 149/10 164/20 173/15 181/15 212/2 213/7 214/2 241/24
original [4] 31/1 81/10 165/3 179/2 originally [5] 102/11 102/13 178/21 219/25 220/11
other [71] \(7 / 1146 / 146 / 17\) 55/25 58/12 58/17 66/12 78/11 83/12 83/21 94/7 94/19 97/8 98/22 99/9 99/10 100/5 110/17 119/7 121/9 127/4 127/14 127/14 129/1 132/21 134/7 139/1 144/22 148/9 148/15 152/23 160/24 161/4 161/6 162/12 162/18 163/16 163/23 164/13 167/17 169/3 170/2 171/7 171/10 171/11 172/6 172/12 173/15 194/22 197/10 197/14 202/11 207/9 208/15 212/14 212/22 212/25 222/13 225/6 230/2 230/20 231/17 232/23 233/14 236/21 236/22 237/22 240/18 250/25 255/10 255/14
others [4] 44/22 55/5 97/7 154/22 otherwise [8] 23/20 23/25 67/25 83/2 98/5 103/25 193/7 196/2
ought [1] 87/21
our [45] 7/16 12/20 14/21 15/10 15/20 35/23 40/8 67/19 83/24 83/24 84/15 84/24 85/2 89/2 99/7 99/8 99/20 99/20 99/20 100/24 112/8 126/18 136/13 142/22 146/15 165/12 169/3 169/7 172/5 172/6 172/8 176/14 177/12
177/16 206/9 207/3 218/9 219/9 229/13 230/8 233/3 233/8 234/24 246/19 252/15
ours [1] 6/7
ourselves [1] 257/19
out [69] 5/12 7/16 12/20 19/22 29/12 30/17 30/20 35/24 37/10 39/13 41/10 44/14 55/10 74/15 76/17 77/5 78/22 81/15 81/16 82/1 82/3 83/9 85/1 89/11 97/18 112/15 122/6 125/21 126/12 126/14 127/5 129/17 129/22 138/17 143/20 148/10 148/15 149/17 159/15 172/9 177/13 195/18 196/18 201/24 202/10 204/25 209/7 211/8 212/7 215/15 216/15 217/4 217/16 217/20 217/23 218/1 218/5 218/13 225/5 225/6 226/12 231/4 232/19 233/3 241/25 247/19 250/22 256/3 256/6
outcome [6] 38/3 188/23 191/8 200/21 221/17 222/18
outcomes [4] 56/1 56/7 61/18 190/6
outdated [1] 142/18
outer [1] 65/9

\section*{0}
outrageously [2] 81/1 81/4
outside [6] 43/10 159/12 163/21 181/2 186/5 186/19
outstanding [1] 8/3
over [14] 5/13 40/15 68/12 89/12 92/10 97/25 110/2 128/1 144/19 144/20 210/5 217/21 229/23 244/8
overall [1] 242/1
overblown [2] 81/1 81/5
overnight [1] 253/6
overseas [1] 247/19
overtake [1] 207/20
overtaken [1] 244/6
own [14] 13/17 13/22 14/1 14/10 84/4
91/21 97/12 100/11 148/1 149/17
156/25 166/8 192/25 211/7
owner [1] 211/5
P
P.M [2] 66/3 66/4

PACER [2] 116/11 158/16
package [1] 159/19
Pad [1] 31/7
Pads [10] 30/10 30/11 171/1 226/9
226/11 226/13 226/13 246/19 246/25 247/2
page [62] 1/5 4/3 18/7 18/11 21/21
49/25 54/5 54/12 54/21 55/2 55/6 58/12
58/15 58/20 59/24 61/3 61/20 63/3 64/5
67/5 68/12 69/21 74/19 74/21 74/22
75/5 75/7 75/10 75/13 76/25 77/3 79/4
80/12 80/12 122/16 122/17 133/22
135/5 135/8 136/19 137/11 137/12
137/13 137/13 138/20 140/6 142/11
159/1 159/3 159/6 165/8 169/9 188/8
188/8 188/9 191/15 194/3 195/16
203/22 203/25 204/5 204/6
Page 1 [1] 159/3
Page 107 [4] 74/21 75/7 75/13 80/12
Page 108 [2] 76/25 79/4
Page 109 [1] 122/17
Page 12 [1] 194/3
Page 13 [3] 159/1 159/6 195/16
Page 139002 [1] 75/5
Page 143 [2] 18/7 18/11
Page 2 [3] 133/22 137/13 140/6
Page 200 [1] 203/22
Page 249 [1] 204/5
Page 250 [2] 203/25 204/6
Page 3 [2] 169/9 188/9
Page 4 [3] 61/3 137/13 191/15
Page 57 [1] 49/25
Page 58 [2] 63/3 64/5
Page 6 [1] 61/20
Page 63 [1] 67/5
Page 64 [1] 68/12
Page 69 [1] 69/21
Page VI [1] 142/11
pages [5] 164/3 183/1 183/5 256/21
258/9
pages is [1] 183/5
pages that [2] 164/3 183/1
paid [4] 26/12 26/14 27/10 121/7
panels [1] 213/3
paper [43] 11/19 35/1 35/2 36/22 37/24 38/5 38/10 38/18 38/20 40/11 40/12

41/4 41/21 42/11 42/16 42/24 43/15 43/22 45/3 45/24 52/2 55/23 61/6 63/7 63/9 64/3 64/7 64/11 64/19 65/2 65/6 140/19 183/14 216/20 217/4 217/7 221/22 228/5 228/5 246/8 250/8 250/8 256/24
paragraph [22] 49/24 53/4 63/4 64/9 67/6 68/7 79/10 107/9 122/19 133/25 141/25 142/14 177/18 178/2 178/3
178/4 178/23 188/13 189/16 191/17
204/5 243/10
Paragraph 132 [1] 243/10
Paragraph 14 [3] 177/18 178/2 178/3
Paragraph 15 [1] 178/23
Paragraph 203 [2] 49/24 53/4
Paragraph 204 [2] 63/4 64/9
Paragraph 223 [2] 67/6 68/7
paragraph beginning [1] 204/5
Paragraphs [2] 68/9 244/3
Paragraphs 132 [1] 244/3
Paragraphs 99 [1] 68/9
paraphrasing [4] 71/2 129/18 129/20 131/25
parking [1] 144/20
part [42] 11/2 13/5 13/13 14/18 24/16
24/24 25/20 25/25 45/12 47/5 47/9
54/21 55/2 89/23 99/7 99/20 107/20
111/12 111/13 112/16 116/6 121/8
140/16 140/24 160/20 165/8 168/25
199/21 201/7 204/3 208/7 211/10
211/24 215/11 217/23 228/21 234/24
241/14 247/10 251/3 251/3 251/7
partial [2] 1/8 188/14
participate [4] 68/14 161/13 162/18 178/17
participated [2] 162/18 178/19
particular [15] 11/18 31/17 31/18 47/3
57/11 57/24 59/9 59/10 63/6 84/10
107/12 153/3 170/10 183/21 244/15
particularly [7] 19/9 36/6 80/24 125/7
126/13 186/4 200/6
parties [1] 117/17
parting [1] 211/5
partnered [1] 232/2
parts [1] 81/14
party [11] 12/3 43/15 72/5 100/12
153/16 153/17 159/23 167/8 201/14
207/2 207/4
pass [1] 40/10
passage [2] 214/24 232/15
passed [5] 19/5 22/6 47/25 218/4 219/25
passwords [1] 232/22
past [4] 16/15 26/14 136/11 208/12
paste [2] 198/9 198/15
patents [1] 137/10
path [1] 222/22
pause [10] 49/21 78/2 92/12 101/14 172/18 183/7 185/19 194/13 219/15

\section*{227/23}
pay [3] 156/17 233/22 249/21
paying [1] 175/4
PDF [2] 1/1 74/21
Pearson [5] 158/11 195/9 196/1 196/3 196/10
pen [1] 61/23
pending [1] 195/12
penultimate [1] 201/19
people [31] 14/10 26/22 27/6 51/8 51/19 51/19 56/13 89/1 98/3 98/12 98/15 158/12 158/23 160/1 162/11 166/11 198/19 216/13 216/17 232/20 232/22 233/10 236/11 236/15 236/21 236/22 237/22 238/6 239/20 239/20 246/5
people's [1] 46/18
per [5] 6/3 18/18 162/15 165/17 247/14
percent [3] 11/4 211/12 247/12
perfect [1] 194/16
perfectly [1] 93/19
performing [1] 179/4
perhaps [8] 37/20 39/21 55/4 115/1
182/3 182/6 199/11 231/13
Perimeter [4] 235/8 236/1 236/5 236/6
period [9] 1/4 7/6 80/18 126/6 129/22
154/20 211/4 221/12 247/20
permanent [8] 37/25 38/1 38/5 38/6
38/9 38/13 39/7 65/8
permission [1] 117/18
permitted [4] 66/6 110/3 150/23 169/10
perps [1] 154/23
person [23] 25/2 25/5 35/10 40/2 40/6
40/7 63/11 63/14 68/13 72/24 73/1 92/20 107/6 118/23 126/15 130/2 152/23 201/10 210/14 217/14 227/9 244/20 246/20
personal [7] 130/10 144/13 144/22 145/2 184/21 239/12 239/14
personally [4] 13/23 13/24 13/25 98/3
personnel [3] 218/12 228/19 250/9
perspective [4] 97/13 97/18 165/9 239/19
persuasive [1] 199/2
persuasively [1] 202/19
petition [3] 168/21 169/25 172/21
petitions [3] 162/22 168/19 171/9
Peyton [2] 98/18 98/19
philosophical [1] 142/25
phone [12] 84/25 112/6 112/15 120/15
120/18 126/8 126/16 127/6 127/20
128/11 200/1 234/19
phrase [5] 11/20 11/21 179/16 179/17 227/10
phrases [1] 63/12
phrasing [1] 127/25
physical [3] 228/4 232/3 233/13
physically [3] 51/17 61/7 113/15
pick [2] 143/8 255/23
picked [2] 112/6 147/23
PICO [2] 3/5 152/20
PICO-PRATS [1] 3/5
picture [3] 110/16 134/24 135/17
piece [7] 31/18 31/18 35/2 85/1 114/8
138/18 193/5
pieces [2] 111/16 215/12
pilot [4] 155/6 155/6 226/8 251/7
place [11] 69/13 97/25 98/18 98/19
174/18 187/23 214/18 219/19 222/17 249/10 255/7
placed [1] 174/6
places [1] 55/20
placing [1] 127/7
plaintiff [4] 28/4 157/25 160/7 166/20
plaintiffs [38] 1/5 2/2 2/13 2/18 25/8
25/15 36/6 36/7 41/19 47/4 68/1 68/2

\section*{\(\mathbf{P}\)}
plaintiffs... [26] 68/4 78/15 83/23 83/23 86/10 86/13 86/15 88/21 88/22 98/23 113/23 141/20 149/10 150/6 158/3
160/5 200/18 201/4 201/5 201/5 202/5
230/2 237/17 242/22 244/12 245/9
plaintiffs' [22] 7/11 28/3 68/8 74/11
75/7 77/25 78/14 83/20 157/16 157/17
163/3 164/4 165/7 168/17 183/2 188/3
191/12 193/25 194/4 195/2 208/8 257/1
planet [1] 240/25
planned [1] 170/17
planning [1] 133/19
Plata [1] 44/15
platform [1] 232/18
play [10] 7/25 112/25 113/6 114/18
115/17 116/19 117/24 150/17 150/18 151/18
played [8] 98/9 114/20 115/19 116/21
118/1 146/11 147/25 148/23
playing [4] 111/9 150/22 151/20 152/18
pleadings [2] 67/11 244/6
please [9] 8/9 8/12 151/21 168/18
169/6 191/12 193/20 194/1 203/25
pled [1] 134/13
plenty [1] 98/24
plus [1] 221/7
PM [2] 206/16 257/25
point [61] 9/7 18/2 29/12 30/9 37/19
39/5 42/2 42/18 44/13 44/15 47/11 48/6 51/14 53/19 57/14 59/24 60/22 66/11
68/12 70/8 72/14 77/23 87/1 87/4 87/20 88/20 90/17 93/4 95/3 98/25 111/5 111/19 111/24 112/24 115/21 119/4 121/16 121/21 132/6 138/17 139/21
141/11 158/18 166/24 181/2 182/21 186/2 192/18 193/18 198/5 199/4 199/13 199/13 200/4 201/3 220/16 236/8 248/6 252/20 252/22 255/20 point three [1] 59/24
pointed [1] 204/25
points [5] 84/15 85/3 85/10 92/13 247/9
police [1] 118/19
policies [2] 16/16 242/1
policy [10] 10/25 13/7 13/15 14/16 16/2 67/2 240/12 240/19 241/21 242/16 political [9] 58/12 209/10 209/15 209/18 209/24 210/12 210/18 210/24 211/9
poll [13] 10/4 30/10 30/11 31/7 52/22
171/1 226/9 226/11 226/13 226/13
246/19 246/25 247/2
polling [1] 55/20
poorly [1] 121/20
popularity [1] 161/16
population [1] 207/10
portal [1] 226/21
portion [12] 1/4 37/11 39/14 44/1
50/15 51/9 51/13 110/21 110/23 115/2 117/25 196/24
portions [3] 78/17 88/14 198/4
poses [2] 159/13 195/16
position [44] 18/19 37/3 37/13 37/15 38/24 38/25 39/25 40/1 40/4 43/24 43/25 50/23 56/2 56/8 57/6 62/14 62/19

64/2 64/18 68/21 68/23 69/8 69/11 72/1 73/21 73/21 84/15 84/24 85/2 86/21 86/22 89/2 90/22 104/10 158/20 177/16 188/20 188/20 189/11 192/16 199/6 207/3 211/2 230/8
possibility [3] 115/16 115/24 233/1 possible [1] 250/21
possibly [2] 127/12 159/20
post [5] 14/3 168/10 168/13 188/14 189/22
postage [3] 64/12 64/20 64/22
posted [4] 96/14 136/5 136/7 140/22
potential [2] 122/22 236/12
potentially [5] 100/16 218/20 250/8 251/4 252/11
Powell [10] 157/22 157/25 160/1 196/8
197/17 198/13 199/20 199/23 199/25 200/20
Powell's [4] 159/14 195/17 198/24 199/6
power [3] 44/16 44/18 154/1
powers [3] 44/24 153/23 189/25
PPP [2] 215/17 215/21
practical [1] 61/5
practice [2] 19/2 123/20
PRATS [2] \(3 / 5\) 152/20
Prats' [1] 153/2
pre [1] 44/5
pre-closing [1] 44/5
preceded [1] 208/13
precinct [6] 29/23 31/8 32/4 242/24
243/18 243/23
precise [1] 254/23
predict [1] 85/17
preface [1] 142/10
prefer [2] 152/11 171/11
preference [9] 25/22 26/3 27/13 53/8
70/1 214/19 215/7 215/22 218/25
preferences [2] 50/7 53/13
preferred [3] 138/19 159/16 195/19
prefers [1] 6/25
prefilled [1] 217/16
prejudicial [1] 169/12
preliminary [2] 196/3 198/5
premarked [5] 20/9 53/25 102/19
119/24 133/23
premature [2] 94/12 137/20
premise [1] 45/15
premised [1] 45/14
prepare [1] 5/19
prepared [4] 12/1 47/1 113/6 136/5
present [9] 27/14 42/4 124/11 150/1
199/22 207/4 220/7 220/8 226/6
presentations [1] 213/3
presented [3] 38/19 127/8 170/19
presenting [1] 164/18
preserving [1] 245/13
President [1] 215/20
President Trump [1] 215/20
President's [1] 98/9
presidential [12] 25/22 26/3 80/14
175/10 179/14 214/18 215/7 215/22
218/25 219/25 220/20 248/5
press [12] 37/9 39/11 94/2 106/1
106/12 106/21 107/6 107/11 129/16
134/3 175/3 220/16
pressing [1] 257/16
presumably [3] 33/15 38/2 140/20
presume [6] 39/11 39/15 242/22 243/2
243/6 243/14
presumes [1] 252/8
presuming [1] 28/6
presumption [1] 39/18
pretrial [7] 111/15 166/15 181/18
201/21 201/21 244/7 244/9
pretty [10] 95/18 144/17 158/11 179/7
195/8 212/2 218/2 219/6 244/13 245/11
prevent [7] 23/20 25/1 25/4 51/24
57/17 244/23 245/23
prevented [3] 65/21 201/15 243/17
preventing [1] 51/18
prevents [2] 51/12 51/15
preview [1] 255/4
previous [1] 19/13
previously [4] 41/7 81/20 209/1 220/18
PRICE [1] 2/2
primarily [3] 15/10 126/17 191/8
primary [14] 25/22 26/3 153/10 180/25
182/1 209/19 210/7 214/19 215/22
218/21 218/24 218/25 220/5 245/2
principles [1] 62/11
print [6] 30/16 51/19 52/1 52/10 217/25
256/22
printed [4] 35/1 37/10 50/13 255/1
printer [5] 29/6 30/19 30/19 31/7 36/23
printers [5] 30/15 30/16 32/4 217/23
250/9
printing [1] 35/9
printout [1] 21/9
prints [1] 30/19
prior [14] 6/21 15/15 16/1 71/21 90/5
94/17 124/14 124/21 128/16 149/14
150/22 179/20 203/7 248/18
Pro [1] 220/22
probably [24] 9/14 10/14 11/11 12/20
28/6 60/7 76/23 77/16 80/22 81/25
116/9 118/25 130/7 136/9 138/15
139/13 139/16 158/10 161/23 176/14
178/23 204/2 208/18 253/4
probate [2] 110/1 213/18
problem [23] 7/14 16/14 31/21 47/24 61/14 63/25 78/16 85/18 93/24 94/3 136/15 137/17 138/1 175/20 176/22
178/3 180/17 205/1 238/5 238/10 238/24 239/11 255/17
problems [15] 86/4 88/5 88/6 89/22
89/22 94/6 108/4 108/9 132/22 134/9
166/3 175/10 176/3 221/25 232/12
procedures [3] 159/12 228/16 228/18
proceed [12] 5/5 8/5 24/21 70/7 111/7
139/1 140/1 142/25 184/14 196/10 206/7 250/18
proceeding [6] 97/14 158/13 198/17 199/15 200/14 200/15
proceedings [14] 1/10 1/21 49/21 78/2
92/12 101/14 172/18 183/7 185/19
194/13 219/15 227/23 257/24 258/9
process [4] 68/15 137/15 215/2 216/4
processed [1] 174/25
processes [2] 228/15 228/17
processing [1] 176/23
procure [1] 215/4
procurement [2] 23/2 215/2
produce [4] 105/11 123/10 125/2 185/8 produced [7] 1/22 74/5 94/18 94/21
112/21 151/2 229/24

\section*{P}
produces [1] 68/16
product [2] 84/12 145/18
productive [1] 198/25
professional [3] 9/18 9/20 169/1
professionalize [1] 220/1
professor [1] 156/2
professors [1] 61/4
proffer [3] 138/7 139/3 199/11
proffered [1] 182/15
profile [1] 158/11
program [2] 163/11 218/6
programmed [6] 37/4 37/6 37/14 38/18 39/20 39/24
programs [1] 162/19
progress [1] 83/17
prohibit [1] 32/3
prohibited [2] 1/7 43/18
project [2] 41/23 214/11
projects [3] 161/4 161/8 171/8
promoted [1] 191/22
promoting [1] 191/19
prompted [1] 88/24
promulgated [1] 66/19
proper [4] 143/1 181/9 182/20 184/10 properly [7] 33/25 50/17 50/18 50/25
51/2 51/3 247/8
proposal [1] 214/23
proposals [2] 22/17 22/18
proposed [5] 168/24 170/19 242/23
243/3 245/10
proposition [1] 44/23
prospective [1] 253/13
protect [5] 32/9 34/5 42/13 222/17 246/16
protocols [2] 150/25 159/19
proud [1] 142/1
prove [4] 86/3 119/18 122/24 221/13
proverbial [2] 159/23 195/21
proves [1] 17/5
provide [9] 57/23 91/1 124/14 128/14 133/7 136/3 199/14 229/16 254/10
provided [6] 52/8 77/7 86/4 181/3
238/6 253/25
providing [1] 254/25
provision [2] 177/11 221/11
provisions [1] 23/21
psychologically [1] 34/6
psychology [1] 34/14
PTO [1] 113/19
public [19] 38/2 69/19 80/21 90/15 91/10 95/20 106/13 116/8 128/6 143/12 146/9 146/9 146/19 149/14 149/20 163/9 175/2 200/25 225/21
publicly [8] 94/15 94/20 95/4 96/16 132/16 132/20 200/19 255/12
Pulitzer [8] 49/14 54/1 125/16 134/25 135/18 140/25 141/24 143/9
Pulitzer's [4] 49/16 135/25 136/4 138/2
pull [3] 142/4 217/17 230/9
pulled [1] 254/23
pulling [1] 49/19
purchase [1] 215/15
purchased [1] 226/12
purportedly [2] 123/6 156/11
purpose [8] 43/22 58/18 163/20 164/24 167/16 193/15 193/16 231/4
purposely [2] 115/25 116/1
purposes [9] 106/21 106/21 164/18 166/20 184/9 184/11 184/12 220/7 244/22
pursuant [2] 154/7 242/25
pursue [1] 36/9
pursuing [1] 24/9
put [23] 27/19 34/7 35/10 52/11 58/15
65/8 65/9 93/7 93/16 111/4 151/24
169/3 183/1 192/12 201/22 214/2
214/23 221/11 222/20 234/25 237/12 251/20 253/21
puts [3] 33/19 35/2 47/12
putting [6] 75/25 78/16 93/2 100/6 168/24 169/4

\section*{Q}

QAnon [2] 225/23 225/24
QR [26] 37/9 39/15 50/12 51/16 52/23
57/22 57/23 179/25 180/3 180/9 180/17 180/19 180/20 181/12 181/19 250/19 251/9 251/11 251/15 251/20 251/21 252/4 252/16 255/1 255/13 255/18 qualifying [1] 247/20
quality [1] 218/22
quarter [2] 15/25 209/20
quarters [1] 209/20
quasi [1] 186/18
Quayle [1] 209/18
question [94] 6/10 16/11 22/22 22/24 22/25 24/9 24/18 25/23 34/12 34/13 34/23 35/8 35/19 40/17 41/15 42/18 43/13 44/3 44/10 45/19 45/22 46/3 46/10 54/11 62/8 62/10 62/19 63/24 64/16 68/11 73/11 84/10 85/23 89/13 89/15 89/17 106/14 107/21 121/17 121/20 122/6 127/25 131/1 137/19 139/20 143/1 156/7 171/12 172/20 180/13 180/15 181/22 181/25 187/6 189/7 189/9 189/21 190/9 190/16 190/18 191/6 197/5 197/11 197/13 203/10 203/15 203/18 204/6 222/16 227/14 229/3 231/4 231/12 233/25 235/10 235/13 235/25 238/25 245/16 245/21 247/25 248/20 248/21 248/23 249/15 251/13 252/7 252/10 253/13 254/14 254/14 254/20 255/7 255/10 question one [1] 41/15
questioned [1] 205/12
questioning [7] 45/13 47/10 54/22 81/19 88/21 125/20 186/10 questions [51] 9/15 21/12 31/24 40/15 43/22 48/11 59/9 63/5 88/13 88/16 104/13 106/15 113/7 126/11 126/12 137/4 137/13 138/12 143/2 146/25 148/21 149/6 150/4 150/7 150/11 152/21 153/12 172/19 173/13 173/14 174/16 182/11 186/9 196/15 197/23 202/25 204/15 204/19 204/20 204/21 205/18 205/19 209/7 216/3 223/9 223/9 233/16 240/11 240/19 242/17 255/5 quick [7] 44/7 46/24 84/15 100/15 138/4 138/9 162/24
quickly [6] 83/6 93/24 94/2 138/16 218/2 245/11
quite [9] 17/21 80/22 81/12 127/2 129/20 146/2 153/17 175/2 237/11 quote [8] 18/21 132/16 132/20 133/1

134/7 196/20 234/11 235/20
quoted [3] 106/18 197/17 199/21 quoting [2] 192/23 198/24

\section*{R}
race [3] 210/20 211/12 220/20 racial [1] 207/1
RAFFENSPERGER [7] 1/6 47/17 48/1 73/21 103/3 211/24 212/13
Raffensperger's [2] 106/2 154/17 raise [3] 5/8 8/9 201/19
raised [4] 148/19 206/20 225/2 251/9
raising [2] 80/23 167/9
RAMSEY [1] \(2 / 5\)
ran [3] 210/4 210/18 211/14
range [2] 218/13 230/21
ranked [3] 161/22 162/2 242/13
ranked-choice [2] 161/22 162/2
ransomware [1] 232/25
rather [8] 6/12 26/23 67/8 84/7 194/19 200/2 223/2 233/7
re [11] 68/18 81/8 94/6 102/13 103/4 103/23 108/25 180/8 180/11 210/21 220/19
re-count [9] 68/18 81/8 94/6 102/13 103/4 103/23 180/8 180/11 210/21
re-counts [1] 108/25
re-tally [1] 220/19
reach [5] 122/6 126/14 127/5 202/12 238/7
reached [5] 77/5 81/15 126/12 129/17 129/22
reaching [1] 76/17
reaction [2] 85/12 235/19
read [28] 14/14 28/9 33/6 41/7 50/6 51/9 52/23 53/7 55/13 60/9 77/12 80/5 80/8 101/21 101/24 138/1 139/23 159/7 164/2 164/9 192/4 192/15 198/8 198/18 205/6 235/22 238/12 255/12
readable [7] 37/11 39/13 47/8 50/16 50/20 51/5 51/25
reading [7] 50/3 51/13 51/19 52/5
120/22 196/22 243/20
readopted [1] 23/9
reads [3] 61/21 68/7 140/8
ready [5] 5/5 25/21 26/2 101/7 101/8
real [5] 44/7 46/10 138/9 162/24 215/7
reality [2] 96/18 97/19
realized [1] 112/15
really [22] 26/22 34/11 64/14 73/16 95/24 97/11 99/8 99/11 118/5 121/14 132/15 153/8 164/24 170/1 175/14 186/7 193/1 198/25 222/22 237/25 239/16 252/20
reason [20] 20/19 20/21 22/22 22/24
22/25 23/6 24/25 37/16 52/25 54/8 67/7
76/16 92/16 92/22 93/1 105/15 124/20
164/11 218/17 252/14
reasonable [5] 7/6 20/18 82/25 97/15 108/13
reasonableness [4] 90/21 93/6 200/5 200/5
reasonably [2] 94/15 95/21
reasons [6] 37/20 140/10 196/13
232/11 238/23 250/22
rebut [1] 191/18
rebuttal [12] 5/12 6/17 98/23 100/6 100/17 136/3 136/5 137/3 137/5 181/9

\section*{R}
rebuttal... [2] 181/10 181/10
recall [77] 17/9 17/13 17/16 17/21 18/16 18/18 23/1 25/18 49/2 58/23 60/5
71/5 73/16 73/25 76/15 76/17 80/10 80/19 101/16 108/22 109/1 114/24 115/25 119/3 129/15 131/7 131/10 131/11 131/14 131/17 131/20 131/25 132/15 134/6 134/10 135/4 145/15 146/23 150/16 153/14 153/18 157/11 173/21 175/8 175/11 175/17 175/23 179/1 179/12 179/24 182/4 184/1 185/6 186/13 195/25 196/4 196/5 196/6 196/8 196/11 201/18 202/25 203/4 203/10 204/8 205/18 214/20 216/3 220/3 225/14 234/10 234/19 235/7 235/10 235/13 235/18 251/17
recalled [2] 67/25 183/25 recalling [1] 251/14 receipt [3] 35/25 36/2 36/11 receive [6] 63/16 64/1 64/6 67/3 77/6 226/2
received [7] 82/17 111/14 122/22 125/19 161/25 200/1 251/4
receiving [1] 218/21
recent [1] 162/2
recess [2] 95/15 101/3
recognize [9] 12/17 12/19 14/9 27/19
27/22 27/23 40/9 120/1 174/3
recognized [2] 125/12 159/11
recognizes [2] 35/3 43/14
recollection [14] 72/11 76/12 76/23
101/18 108/8 136/22 145/12 174/8
177/4 178/25 182/3 183/25 184/10
219/18
recommend [1] 61/16
recommendation [1] 231/18 recommendations [1] 230/18 reconcile [1] 105/15
record [30] 8/14 14/14 17/5 20/22
37/25 38/1 38/5 38/6 38/9 39/7 47/7 81/13 112/13 113/8 139/24 151/5 165/14 168/18 169/11 169/23 175/25
184/4 194/16 199/2 215/21 219/21
229/22 237/1 237/10 239/18
recorded [6] 33/25 53/21 110/21
111/12 112/17 171/16
recording [15] 83/9 83/13 111/8
112/13 112/20 114/20 115/9 115/19 116/1 116/21 118/1 124/2 124/17 146/11 146/20
recordings [1] 128/23
records [11] 27/4 27/5 27/9 38/13
68/15 97/23 126/10 146/4 146/6 163/9
164/14
recountable [1] 61/8
recross [3] 4/9 151/7 184/17
Recross-Examination [2] 4/9 184/17
red [3] 132/21 134/8 184/3
redact [3] 193/10 193/20 194/19
redacted [1] 74/4
redressability [5] 43/18 45/6 45/11
45/19 47/12
reentered [1] 48/19
refer [3] 11/11 30/10 30/24
reference [2] 193/11 193/20
referenced [3] 60/17 96/15 157/13
references [1] 205/2
referencing [1] \(1 / 5\)
referred [8] 29/18 72/24 158/12 187/16
187/18 187/22 197/8 213/8
referring [8] 28/20 29/1 29/10 29/14
29/17 31/6 116/23 196/19
refined [1] 19/16
refinement [1] 58/1
reflect [4] 39/2 50/6 53/8 91/15
reflected [1] 231/18
reflection [1] 99/5
reflects [3] 18/18 47/7 53/13
reframe [1] 34/23
refresh [7] 18/2 18/3 72/10 76/12 174/8
182/3 184/9
refreshed [1] 178/24
refused [1] 73/20
refuted [1] 184/5
regard [2] 57/25 198/10
regarding [4] 105/10 108/19 214/17
224/18
regardless [2] 5/16 58/3
regards [1] 184/22
Registrars [1] 213/13
registration [6] 80/9 103/2 103/22
228/11 232/10 232/17
reinforced [1] 154/16
reintroduced [1] 112/4
reiterate [1] 230/12
reject [1] 140/1
rejected [3] 66/13 66/20 66/25
relate [4] 165/16 168/22 170/25 171/4
related [4] 144/23 152/14 153/12 181/5
relates [8] 28/25 106/15 108/23 108/25
201/8 220/4 222/1 227/5
relating [4] 145/19 161/10 164/13 170/20
relation [1] 145/4
relationship [1] 223/21
relative [2] 200/13 248/14
release [4] 106/1 106/12 106/21 107/12
released [2] 22/16 22/20
relevance [26] 21/18 78/11 81/19
89/20 90/21 95/7 95/8 113/25 136/10 136/16 136/25 137/1 137/10 137/25 139/20 158/17 165/19 166/4 167/16 177/17 200/15 201/23 201/25 221/19 229/10 248/11
relevancy [5] 43/3 43/13 177/10 197/22 249/3
relevant[24] 81/21 82/11 82/25 83/3 83/4 83/15 83/23 83/25 86/12 86/14
87/12 87/14 87/23 88/14 88/17 114/2 163/18 167/2 201/16 221/22 222/5 222/6 239/17 249/14
reliability [4] 159/9 183/15 198/12 200/20
reliable [3] 68/16 236/16 239/21
reliably [1] 68/15
relied [1] 238/10
relief [13] 40/12 42/10 42/17 44/1 44/2
44/17 44/25 45/8 46/17 244/1 244/16 245/10 253/13
rely [2] 57/22 229/14
relying [1] 199/7
remain [2] 45/6 66/22
remained [3] 177/1 177/2 178/10
remaining [2] 34/3 43/17
remarked [1] 183/16
remarks [1] 142/1
remedial [1] 242/16
remedied [1] 45/10
remedy [3] 44/18 45/19 46/21
remember [29] 14/5 17/4 17/12 18/15
23/4 49/6 49/7 49/8 49/13 52/20 72/11
81/12 86/5 105/13 116/25 117/5 117/8
118/25 119/4 124/25 150/6 172/25
175/15 177/6 182/2 184/23 208/21
213/5 251/16
remembered [2] 77/15 178/24
remembering [1] 73/17
remote [1] 83/21
removed [1] 251/15
reopened [2] 145/15 145/17
repeal [1] 23/25
repeat [2] 34/13 245/21
repeatability [2] 240/23 241/6
repeatable [4] 103/25 104/9 104/11
104/20
repeatably [1] 103/24
repeated [2] 117/14 146/21
repeatedly [4] 132/21 134/7 141/1 146/17
repeating [8] 25/23 41/15 64/16 73/11
115/25 116/1 116/3 180/13
rephrase [7] 40/3 46/13 57/4 126/2
128/18 189/9 231/12
replace [2] 47/19 177/14
report [36] 74/17 74/18 93/7 93/17
94/2 142/6 178/23 183/20 184/2 184/6
191/18 191/20 191/22 191/23 192/1
192/4 192/14 192/14 192/16 193/20 196/18 197/8 197/18 205/3 205/8
205/11 206/2 229/6 230/18 234/13
235/20 235/23 237/5 238/12 252/5
254/18
reported [2] 178/5 230/6
reporter [6] 1/3 1/6 1/23 219/10 258/6 258/17
reporters [2] 127/8 127/12
reporting [8] 132/7 170/5 170/7 170/11
170/15 170/25 178/4 183/15
reports [1] 11/2
represent [4] 123/17 139/10 174/6 235/16
representation [1] 20/15
representative [6] 17/9 17/12 76/17
76/21 235/14 235/15
representatives [1] 191/25
represented [1] 31/25
representing [3] 8/24 159/2 176/7
Republican [4] 72/4 97/4 210/8 210/19
request [17] 22/16 22/18 64/1 64/6
146/6 164/15 170/10 170/11 203/4
214/23 217/18 220/7 226/22 227/2
230/17 248/13 251/22
requested [1] 220/10
requests [10] 126/11 145/23 145/25
146/1 146/4 161/6 161/20 161/25
163/10 229/13
require [6] 41/3 170/5 170/7 170/8 192/17 250/9
required [10] 32/11 40/7 45/25 47/20
50/5 52/10 53/6 58/5 226/24 229/12
requirement [5] 25/2 40/5 244/24
245/24 249/20

\section*{R}
requirements [1] 168/3
requires [3] 44/1 244/20 244/22
requiring [1] 42/11
resembled [1] 153/2
resided [2] 224/22 225/4
resident [1] 8/25
resign [1] 163/12
resignation [1] 73/10
resigned [1] 73/14
resolve [1] 89/11
resolved [1] 177/6
resolves [1] 197/13
resolving [1] 176/22
resourced [1] 241/8
resources [10] 15/19 146/13 161/9
162/7 162/7 168/25 171/7 193/9 193/22 194/23
respect [9] 144/22 153/20 154/12 154/14 154/14 154/20 156/6 168/13 180/20
respond [10] 42/3 44/7 45/16 93/23 94/2 132/17 137/19 140/25 161/25 230/16
responded [1] 143/23
responding [3] 48/2 253/16 253/17
response [19] 23/12 23/16 30/20 54/15
86/24 90/10 91/18 104/25 132/3 132/4
138/4 146/12 150/3 170/2 201/17
229/13 230/16 251/10 254/1
responses [2] 86/6 229/5
responsibilities [1] 235/1
responsibility [3] 44/20 95/23 100/11
responsive [3] 247/25 248/20 255/14
rest [3] 182/20 205/11 256/25
restate [2] 41/6 181/22
restricted [1] \(1 / 3\)
restroom [1] 82/6
result [7] 35/12 35/13 50/14 56/7 68/17 99/8 174/22
results [9] 56/3 60/2 88/7 103/24
105/11 142/19 142/23 179/12 191/24
rethink [1] 179/19
retired [1] 159/10
retraction [1] 191/23
return [7] 42/6 42/8 65/2 65/4 65/14
66/7 227/1
returned [2] 66/3 220/8
returning [1] 66/1
reverse [1] 23/23
review [10] 18/13 38/2 51/23 51/24
113/13 113/16 139/8 221/3 240/12
242/10
reviewed [3] 18/16 221/7 242/5
reviewing [1] 51/16
revisit [1] 206/2
RFP [1] 22/20
Rhode [1] 213/1
Rhonda [1] 156/10
RICARDO [2] 2/23 28/5
Rick [6] 125/8 126/24 143/24 144/2
144/7 144/13
right [286]
right-hand [1] 120/24
rights [1] 162/19
rise [1] 95/14
rises [1] 252/16
risk [8] 66/12 66/21 159/13 188/15 190/1 191/7 195/16 220/19
risk-limiting [3] 190/1 191/7 220/19 risks [1] 62/5
risky [1] 62/15
RLA [5] 207/7 207/7 207/12 207/14 207/15
RLAs [3] 206/24 206/24 223/25
RMR [3] 1/23 258/6 258/16
road [3] 151/8 151/17 255/25
ROBBINS [1] \(3 / 7\)
ROBERT [3] 2/16 2/17 147/10
Roberts [1] 235/14
role [9] 11/6 11/14 73/3 73/5 98/9
167/24 209/24 211/4 212/12
rolled [1] 215/15
Ronnie [2] 154/22 156/2
room [2] 154/23 221/25
root [2] 175/19 176/2
ROSS [1] 3/7
roughly [4] 49/3 101/23 214/20 215/4
routine [3] 99/20 99/20 99/21
Rudy [1] 49/4
rule [16] 52/11 66/19 66/21 78/18 151/4 162/22 166/7 166/8 166/12
170/11 170/24 171/3 228/13 229/13 229/15 253/5
Rule 26 [2] 229/13 229/15 rules [17] 52/8 168/19 168/21 168/24 169/25 170/5 170/15 170/17 170/17 170/19 171/9 197/13 241/11 246/7 247/17 249/11 253/22
ruling [2] 141/11 192/7
rulings [2] 198/22 200/22
run [9] 51/22 65/20 65/22 115/2 191/7
210/11 213/17 249/6 251/3
Runbeck [2] 218/10 218/22
running [4] 111/23 204/5 209/15 210/12
runoff [4] 73/20 210/1 210/7 249/9
runs [1] 217/25
Russians [1] 236/13
RUSSO [1] 3/4
Ryan [1] 86/5
S
safe [10] 16/22 16/25 17/10 17/16 18/6 18/22 19/1 83/19 84/1 194/17
safely [1] 68/15
safety [3] 144/22 145/3 184/21
said [69] 31/14 32/2 33/7 37/17 41/2 46/15 46/19 47/16 47/17 64/14 81/21 85/21 89/13 99/17 99/19 99/19 100/1 104/15 107/11 110/15 112/5 112/8 112/20 113/4 114/4 114/7 115/11 115/14 119/3 129/17 131/3 135/9 135/11 136/19 136/21 144/7 149/25 152/1 152/6 159/18 170/14 170/16 171/23 172/12 184/2 185/3 185/22 190/15 194/3 205/13 205/19 212/13 216/23 217/3 218/2 221/13 223/14 224/10 234/15 238/15 238/23 239/12 241/6 243/23 251/4 252/11 253/17 253/20 258/10
sake [4] 138/11 167/6 221/15 242/22
same [21] 28/11 37/20 38/19 41/5 53/5 73/1 81/9 86/23 96/19 165/8 176/2 176/2 179/25 202/11 209/24 226/2

227/8 232/22 232/22 250/21 255/7 sampling [7] 206/23 206/24 207/1 207/8 207/15 207/18 207/20
Sandy [7] 211/11 211/13 212/9 235/8 236/3 236/4 236/5
satisfactorily [1] 197/5
satisfied [2] 42/14 45/24
satisfy [1] 188/25
saw [5] 23/23 73/20 129/14 172/8 199/15
say [84] 13/22 14/20 16/7 23/9 24/3 25/4 26/21 26/23 26/25 29/1 29/10 29/17 30/6 30/19 30/24 31/6 31/8 36/7 39/6 47/1 49/16 49/18 50/24 51/5 51/17 53/15 65/23 69/15 71/15 74/22 75/16 79/19 80/7 85/16 86/10 88/18 93/18 94/11 95/1 95/17 97/11 97/16 99/4 99/7 100/10 101/4 107/14 111/18 111/19 120/24 126/6 135/25 136/2 136/8 136/19 137/23 146/19 151/3 153/1 173/16 175/1 185/22 198/8 203/18 213/24 215/21 217/16 222/10 229/20 229/24 230/4 232/12 235/19 236/1 237/11 237/14 238/1 238/6 238/8 239/19 243/22 245/7 253/24 256/13 saying [27] 19/21 29/21 32/24 35/7 35/11 35/13 53/19 55/9 103/4 111/19 118/4 118/8 121/7 132/24 134/10 154/17 163/16 166/20 166/20 184/1 202/12 230/15 230/19 236/21 236/21 238/2 254/6
says [26] 13/5 21/12 22/5 35/24 37/10 39/14 66/11 68/12 80/12 84/24 92/9 92/24 103/21 107/10 122/20 124/2 135/14 159/7 179/4 184/2 188/9 191/17 203/5 237/15 238/10 241/25
SB [2] 72/12 232/15
scale [1] 226/8
scan [3] 37/11 61/23 105/14
scanned [7] 33/5 33/10 39/16 105/13 116/15 141/2 226/25
scanner [23] 29/17 29/22 29/24 30/3 \(30 / 6\) 30/7 31/8 31/8 33/10 33/15 33/20 34/7 35/3 35/3 35/4 35/10 35/15 36/23 39/16 58/3 88/7 177/12 242/24
scanner/tabulators [1] 242/24
scanners [13] 32/4 57/21 61/15 61/16 61/18 81/8 177/12 177/14 177/17
243/18 243/22 243/24 244/5
scanners/tabulators [2] 243/18 243/24
scanning [4] 137/14 139/8 175/10
176/3
scans [1] 175/12
scene [1] 90/2
schedule [1] 5/18
SCHEINMAN [1] 2/7
SCHOENBERG[1] 2/3
science [1] 209/10
scientists [2] 191/22 191/24
scope [9] 44/25 45/8 47/10 57/2 67/12
67/15 181/2 181/5 241/3
Scott [18] 70/21 82/18 85/21 86/17 88/14 89/18 90/23 110/7 121/7 128/22 129/7 129/9 132/1 143/24 144/7 145/10 171/13 184/22
scrambled [2] 112/9 112/12
screen [19] 29/6 29/9 29/14 30/20 31/7 32/15 33/4 36/23 39/4 39/12 50/14

\section*{S}
screen... [8] 50/21 55/18 56/4 56/9
58/5 58/5 142/4 217/18
screens [4] 32/3 37/6 56/5 56/7
screenshot [2] 20/11 120/4
scroll [1] 142/11
scurrying [1] 110/14
se [3] 18/18 162/16 165/17
seal [1] 237/12
sealed [1] 65/15
searches [1] 172/7
season [1] 248/7
seat [2] 8/12 210/19
SEB [11] 153/21 153/22 154/1 154/2 154/19 154/24 155/11 155/22 187/25 192/13 230/1
second [28] 6/23 35/7 43/25 50/3 50/5 53/5 53/6 54/12 58/15 58/20 83/16 92/20 121/6 133/25 142/14 151/21 151/22 158/6 178/11 188/8 188/13 189/16 191/17 194/10 200/12 213/20 215/18 251/25
Secondarily [2] 218/7 247/11
secondary [1] 218/17
secrecy [1] 65/9
secret [1] 229/14
secretaries [1] 212/14 secretary [60] 9/22 10/21 23/1 47/17
48/1 73/20 73/22 91/16 91/17 91/18 93/23 94/2 96/9 96/21 98/7 98/15 103/3 105/2 105/6 105/9 105/12 106/2 106/11
106/18 107/2 107/5 108/12 117/19
118/11 118/11 \(122 / 7\) 124/6 128/14
128/21 140/16 147/8 147/9 147/11
147/19 147/22 153/22 154/16 158/25
168/1 179/13 179/23 186/17 187/18
187/21 187/24 191/17 211/23 212/12
212/16 212/23 224/7 224/15 225/15 236/11 239/17
Secretary's [22] 22/16 47/20 52/21
90/10 98/3 104/25 106/13 125/2 214/3
214/16 220/13 223/18 223/21 226/2
228/23 231/18 234/4 235/4 240/12
240/15 240/18 241/16
Secretary-elect [1] 211/23
sections [1] 242/6
sectors [1] 195/22
secure [3] 61/7 240/24 256/23
security [39] 11/23 11/25 71/3 84/6 127/16 132/22 134/8 159/9 159/13 159/19 162/15 162/21 168/23 170/5 170/6 170/7 170/8 170/11 170/15
170/25 195/16 227/11 227/15 228/3 228/4 228/11 228/20 228/24 229/7
229/9 230/5 230/20 231/2 231/20 232/3 233/16 234/9 235/11 254/15
see [84] 13/9 17/8 20/22 21/3 21/11 21/21 22/2 22/5 24/20 27/16 31/20 33/3 35/10 35/11 35/11 35/13 50/3 50/8 53/9 54/16 55/7 55/10 55/14 55/15 60/3 61/24 62/22 64/13 66/15 68/19 75/2 77/10 77/11 79/8 80/16 91/24 92/2 92/10 94/18 94/22 97/23 103/21 104/2
104/3 107/12 108/6 110/4 122/19 123/1
123/2 137/24 137/25 140/11 140/22 141/3 142/14 142/20 146/12 152/1 163/4 165/19 165/20 167/1 172/3 172/4

172/7 174/17 175/14 182/20 183/4 188/11 188/18 192/2 192/3 198/1 200/3 200/15 213/2 216/14 222/6 231/10 242/3 256/22 257/21
seeing [1] 251/15
seek [2] 23/17 90/5
seeking [13] 32/2 34/5 42/16 125/25 157/23 159/17 177/14 201/14 231/1 244/1 244/5 244/15 248/12
seem [4] 77/19 89/4 131/15 137/15 seemed [5] 81/10 108/13 153/7 153/10 206/21
seems [4] 36/13 73/16 178/25 184/12 seen [17] 74/4 74/10 77/20 91/3 92/6 92/9 92/16 92/22 93/1 94/12 102/23 105/23 120/12 120/17 225/13 229/18 232/24
select [1] 58/5
selected [2] 50/2151/5
selection [1] 207/18
selections [1] 47/7
selective [1] 220/22
selects [1] 39/4
seminal [1] 98/9
Senate [7] 22/5 49/2 49/2 49/8 135/25 214/24 242/2
Senate's [1] 22/9
Senator [17] 32/14 32/25 33/7 33/9
33/10 33/16 37/9 37/10 39/11 39/12
39/14 39/15 39/17 48/1 51/3 51/4 51/5
send [1] 217/16
sending [1] 216/12
senior [2] 1/12 209/17
sense [2] 233/13 239/22
sent [11] 59/12 105/2 105/7 109/13
136/6 165/12 167/23 168/5 202/10
217/20 226/25
sentence [10] 61/21 79/10 103/21
107/23 122/20 138/4 142/14 178/8
239/18 240/7
sentences [1] 104/3
separate [2] 29/12 84/1
separately [1] 153/20
September [2] 130/4 191/12
September 1st [1] 130/4
September 5 [1] 191/12
series [5] 49/7 135/20 135/24 186/9 227/12
serious [4] 132/22 134/8 138/18 170/5
seriously [2] 116/5 139/8
served [3] 10/18 145/22 212/10
server [7] 84/23 121/9 147/4 147/23
224/22 225/6 225/6
servers [5] 171/1 174/25 224/16 224/19 225/12
session [1] 214/14
sessions [1] 214/5
set [9] 140/10 159/20 196/15 214/19 241/10 246/7 249/10 251/25 258/12
sets [2] 90/2 242/2
setting [1] 90/20
several [9] 112/14 168/19 200/1 209/16 211/19 212/15 216/16 226/18 236/9 severely [1] 68/8
severs [1] 83/14
shamefully [1] 161/11
SHANNON [3] 1/23 258/6 258/16
shape [3] 13/7 13/14 235/3
share [2] 113/24 133/19
shared [4] 125/5 125/6 126/17 126/19 Shaw [2] 76/17 77/5
she [90] 24/10 35/20 46/15 46/19 47/1 53/15 53/15 57/25 72/4 72/19 82/13 82/24 85/6 85/7 89/23 90/13 90/16 90/16 90/17 90/18 91/8 91/12 91/13 91/13 91/15 93/2 93/9 94/10 94/11 94/12 96/19 97/12 97/23 98/2 104/19 111/12 122/21 125/25 125/25 126/22 128/16 128/16 128/24 134/17 134/18 134/18 144/13 151/24 151/25 152/6 152/10 152/12 160/4 160/4 163/12
164/17 164/17 166/22 182/18 183/9 183/10 183/23 183/24 183/25 184/1 184/5 186/21 186/21 186/23 192/14 192/15 196/11 196/12 196/13 196/13 196/25 197/8 198/3 198/4 199/15 199/16 199/17 199/19 202/1 202/2 202/2 202/3 205/13 205/14 219/10 she's [12] 45/20 47/1 97/25 97/25 128/25 129/1 152/2 152/6 164/17 192/14 197/3 197/5
Shea [1] 235/14
sheriff's [1] 118/19
shift [2] 157/4 249/22
shocked [1] 112/6
short [5] 5/13 7/23 100/19 126/6 161/4
short-term [1] 161/4
shorten [1] 245/11
shorter [1] 184/19
shortest [1] 257/11
shortly [2] 115/4 119/1
should [30] 7/10 23/9 37/8 37/9 37/12 40/2 40/4 50/15 50/22 61/22 75/10 82/15 84/5 84/16 84/25 89/3 89/5 104/1 106/10 113/1 151/4 158/2 192/15 202/5 221/9 222/22 223/1 234/13 253/20 253/21
shouldn't [1] 187/12
show [17] 12/15 20/9 48/23 51/4 53/25
59/6 89/9 91/5 105/20 109/6 133/7
137/5 193/1 193/2 193/4 194/22 220/24
showed [1] 163/10
showing [4] 119/24 152/5 164/16 164/18
shows [5] 83/8 91/16 93/23 94/1
249/16
shut [1] 47/18
shuts [1] 233/2
sic [1] 172/24
sick [1] 166/16
side [9] 6/3 93/2 120/24 121/1 215/12
220/25 226/19 232/13 232/14
Sidney [2] 197/17 199/25
sign [5] 23/4 73/16 215/1 227/8 227/9
signature [11] 179/25 180/17 181/12
214/24 220/4 220/6 220/7 220/8 221/3 226/24 227/4
signatures [2] 221/5 221/8
signed [5] 22/11 98/11 103/2 183/9 214/22
significance [4] 116/10 220/3 227/4 255/23
significant [4] 71/3 71/16 142/17 227/7
similar [2] 175/24 255/24
similarly [1] 29/17
simple [1] 105/14
simplify [1] 256/4
simply [11] 46/5 106/25 162/8 162/10
162/15 164/18 194/22 229/21 230/7
249/12 254/20
simultaneously [1] 96/23
since [16] 6/23 46/19 55/3 99/10 100/18 106/11 146/9 150/12 161/13
165/1 182/16 197/3 212/3 212/13 234/8 254/8
single [3] 88/20 138/2 217/8
singularly [1] 250/16
Sinners [3] 98/7 98/8 147/10
sir [9] 214/9 216/5 223/23 225/10
226/4 226/17 235/24 242/21 245/22
sit [5] 48/11 86/3 122/24 139/14 175/16
sits [1] 227/3
sitting [8] 86/5 87/9 87/17 87/18
109/17 110/25 120/8 224/8
situation [9] 32/22 33/19 39/19 67/2
89/24 97/8 151/25 217/9 217/13
six[2] 155/18 201/18
six months [1] 155/18
six years [1] 201/18
size [1] 241/8
Skoglund [1] 100/17
sleeve [1] 65/9
slew [1] 246/5
slow [5] 28/7 132/17 132/17 249/18
249/18
slower [1] 219/11
slowly [1] 201/8
small [4] 51/19 52/1 52/10 256/22
smart [2] 46/7 48/15
so [253] 6/8 6/9 6/24 7/12 9/2 9/14 10/3 12/2 14/18 15/10 15/20 16/19 17/3
17/14 18/14 18/15 18/16 19/19 20/7
23/8 23/19 24/12 24/17 24/24 26/19
27/6 33/6 33/22 33/23 34/4 35/14 35/23
36/11 36/13 37/8 38/1 39/6 41/8 42/3
44/2 45/4 45/13 46/5 46/9 46/10 46/16 46/16 47/9 47/21 50/22 51/8 51/18 52/20 53/11 55/10 56/5 57/11 57/14 58/12 61/14 62/3 62/15 64/25 65/8 65/21 67/17 69/8 70/2 71/20 76/23 79/19 80/10 82/22 83/12 84/24 85/2 85/22 89/15 90/2 90/13 90/17 91/14 94/10 94/19 94/25 96/17 98/14 98/17 98/19 98/21 98/24 99/2 99/9 99/10 99/10 99/11 99/18 99/22 102/10 106/19 107/14 107/18 111/14 112/3 112/6 112/16 112/19 112/19 112/24 113/3 115/13 116/3 120/17 121/5 123/9 123/18 124/2 126/6 127/19 128/10 128/25 129/3 130/7 131/3 133/17 139/13 139/16 140/15 141/10 141/12 141/25 144/5 146/23 148/23 148/23 155/11 155/20 158/13 159/6 159/16 159/25 160/7 160/7 161/20 162/14 164/3 165/2 166/3 166/25 167/18 169/2 169/5 169/11 169/13 170/9 171/7 172/9 172/12 172/20 172/22 173/11 173/23 174/16 174/17 175/16 177/24 178/6 180/13 180/13 181/4 181/8 182/2 183/2 183/19 184/11 185/9 185/15 185/21 188/24 189/9 192/11 192/24 192/25 195/19 196/19 197/2 197/10 198/3

198/14 198/15 199/24 200/12 200/15 201/23 202/12 203/11 205/11 205/15 205/20 207/11 207/12 209/15 210/16 211/6 211/21 212/4 212/21 215/7 217/7 217/15 217/25 218/5 218/14 219/7 219/10 219/11 220/9 221/16 221/18 222/16 222/19 223/3 226/25 229/10 231/3 231/8 232/8 232/18 233/2 233/13 233/15 233/21 234/11 236/21 239/10 239/17 240/3 241/13 247/12 249/22 250/24 252/23 253/12 254/20 254/21 255/6 255/7 255/14 255/23 256/11 257/2 257/6 257/19
social [3] 13/13 225/22 227/1 software [14] 30/11 159/15 159/19 159/24 160/2 160/4 176/11 176/12 195/18 195/22 220/25 250/23 251/11 255/11
solely [2] 186/18 187/11
some [77] 6/13 6/16 7/13 9/14 15/23 24/14 27/6 27/25 28/24 29/12 41/10 \(45 / 548 / 1652 / 553 / 1155 / 557 / 2358 / 1\) 58/17 70/8 70/19 71/11 73/15 73/17 75/21 76/5 76/10 80/13 83/19 88/24 90/2 94/17 96/5 107/13 111/24 113/3 119/4 126/10 126/11 126/12 127/7 129/22 134/12 147/7 149/14 150/4 151/9 152/14 154/19 157/7 157/13 161/8 162/24 168/25 169/1 173/17 177/4 177/17 182/11 200/21 209/6 211/4 219/1 220/20 227/15 228/2 228/20 236/6 242/15 242/17 247/22 250/21 255/13 255/14 256/4 256/5 257/18
somebody [11] 97/23 107/5 111/13 116/2 120/25 141/25 183/11 193/2 193/7 236/16 238/11
somehow [4] 32/25 83/19 85/4 222/3 someone [6] 33/7 76/18 77/6 93/5 112/8 115/12
something [51] 6/24 18/15 21/14 26/24 31/16 32/20 46/9 48/10 52/6 71/7 72/10 84/16 85/25 89/17 89/21 94/11 102/9 116/11 129/18 134/10 136/20 137/9 139/22 150/23 151/1 151/16 152/11 159/20 161/18 161/23 170/16 181/5 182/13 183/10 183/24 185/4 185/9 201/14 201/15 205/19 205/20 211/16 215/17 224/11 232/10 233/11 237/14 238/11 250/20 251/15 252/11
sometime [3] 102/2 105/7 129/15 sometimes [7] 26/14 28/18 28/18 72/24 72/25 99/10 220/16
somewhat [1] 181/5
somewhere [2] 6/2 126/23
son [1] 171/22
soon [2] 125/9 126/15
sooner [1] 85/15
sorry [51] 16/9 22/23 24/3 27/25 50/24 56/24 69/22 69/23 75/10 76/6 87/3 87/3 87/6 93/13 97/4 104/10 109/22 109/22 112/3 113/18 120/13 124/17 130/6 135/6 135/22 137/23 142/12 165/25 167/5 169/14 174/13 177/23 179/19 180/12 182/22 186/10 187/18 189/3 189/5 192/22 194/10 194/12 194/14 204/1 204/24 227/21 227/24 243/21 244/8 251/8 256/7
sort [21] 6/13 7/2 7/12 35/17 36/13 67/3 80/24 96/3 96/4 96/4 99/19 117/14 126/11 153/12 164/19 178/7 209/14 211/13 211/25 212/4 241/24
sorts [2] 166/3 198/20
sound [1] 81/15
sounded [2] 48/23 81/1
sounds [6] 39/21 76/24 114/23 125/25
184/8 256/3
soup [1] 228/19
source [1] 98/14
south [7] 55/15 55/17 55/19 56/23
135/1 161/1 211/3
South Carolina [6] 55/15 55/17 55/19
56/23 135/1 161/1
SOUTHWEST [1] 1/24
space [3] 51/20 52/13 216/11
spaced [1] 138/2
Spain [1] 216/11
Spalding [5] 224/16 224/18 225/1 225/12 225/14
span [1] \(7 / 18\)
SPARKS [1] 2/9
speak [15] 17/7 17/10 17/15 32/7 84/21
89/25 93/10 184/4 213/2 232/5 232/7
232/7 237/9 247/18 249/22
speaking [4] 17/9 17/13 43/5 147/1
speaks [8] 43/18 43/19 82/24 90/21
200/1 200/4 202/1 222/7
specialist [2] 210/22 211/14
specific [16] 27/5 27/7 33/16 38/12
74/6 76/23 81/11 88/3 131/14 131/20 205/18 220/6 229/5 229/11 232/15 253/25
specifically [16] 15/6 17/13 17/21 24/1 24/2 50/4 63/3 70/20 77/16 108/25 183/23 184/5 203/11 217/25 251/9 251/22
specify [2] 108/4 121/23
speculation [5] 119/15 148/11 177/19 243/19 245/4
speed [1] 87/11
spell [1] \(8 / 13\)
spend [2] 15/19 219/24
spent [5] 70/13 149/14 162/21 221/16 222/13
spill [1] 5/13
spoke [2] 16/25 100/16
spoken [2] 206/19 207/5
sponsored [1] 191/19
spring [5] 127/9 128/2 147/15 147/18 147/20
Springs [7] 211/11 211/13 212/9 235/8
236/3 236/4 236/5
sprung [1] 86/18
spurred [2] 84/16 85/1
SR [1] 2/24
staff [1] 210/10
staffs [1] 213/19
stage [1] 90/20
stamp [1] 94/18
stamped [1] 77/24
stand [6] 42/3 44/23 101/2 161/19 248/7 251/16
standing [7] 44/2 84/16 155/7 155/10
156/3 156/10 167/2
standpoint [1] 25/7
stands [1] 219/24

\section*{S}

Stark [4] 60/6 60/17 190/15 207/6 start [16] 6/24 40/20 40/21 40/24 76/7 97/9 99/3 100/6 137/12 146/13 204/2 206/13 222/22 223/1 242/17 257/19 started [11] 105/3 112/13 112/20 115/8 115/9 149/8 155/13 209/16 211/7 215/16 232/18
starting [4] 72/8 101/5 209/15 215/13 starts [2] 55/15 142/14
state [170] 3/3 5/24 8/2 8/7 8/13 8/24 10/19 15/6 17/9 17/20 18/17 19/21 23/20 25/1 25/21 26/1 33/18 40/4 41/3 41/20 42/22 42/23 47/15 49/2 52/8 52/10 52/15 52/25 55/24 66/18 70/23 73/20 76/17 78/10 83/1 83/4 83/9 83/18 84/18 85/17 85/24 86/7 86/18 86/20 86/21 87/10 87/10 87/20 88/22 88/22 89/2 90/18 96/1 96/2 96/21 97/2 97/19 98/15 100/10 106/2 117/18 117/19 122/1 122/7 124/14 124/20 132/16 132/20 134/6 135/25 145/19 145/21 146/12 146/18 146/22 147/10 148/1 148/8 148/14 149/16 150/10 150/11 153/8 153/18 153/25 154/11 158/20 158/25 159/12 159/25 161/17 161/17 162/23 163/8 163/18 163/24 166/24 167/10 168/20 169/12 170/1 170/4 179/5 179/13 185/3 185/9 185/10 185/12 185/24 185/25 186/3 186/15 187/16 187/21 187/21 188/10 188/24 189/10 189/18 189/19 189/21 189/25 191/13 191/17 194/18 200/12 200/13 201/7 201/7 202/5 208/19 210/19 211/22 212/8 212/14 212/20 213/17 213/25 217/14 217/19 218/11 218/14 219/18 222/7 224/1 224/3 226/5 226/8 229/25 233/22 234/15 235/14 235/15 235/16 240/21 241/7 241/11 243/17 244/23 245/23 246/1 246/11 247/3 247/13 248/21 249/19 250/14 253/12 254/21 257/15
State's [36] 9/22 10/21 23/1 23/18 52/21 84/1 84/6 85/12 86/24 90/14 94/19 100/16 105/10 118/11 122/7 124/6 128/14 128/21 137/2 140/16 147/11 150/19 153/1 160/2 168/2 186/10 186/12 186/17 187/10 187/18 202/16 224/15 242/20 242/23 242/25 243/2
State's 1137 [1] 137/2
State's contract [2] 242/20 242/25
State's correspondence [1] 90/14
State's counsel [1] 100/16
State's decision [1] 23/18
State's efforts [1] 187/10
State's election [1] 84/6
State's examination [2] 150/19 153/1
State's investigator [1] 202/16
State's issuing [1] 186/12
State's questioning [1] 186/10
State's reaction [1] \(85 / 12\)
State's response [1] 86/24
State's separate [1] 84/1
State's software [1] 160/2
State's testimony [1] 52/21
State's use [2] 242/23 243/2
stated [4] 105/10 132/20 235/19 258/11
statement [34] 12/12 12/22 14/23 18/13 61/12 62/1 71/13 73/17 79/18 104/8 104/14 104/16 104/22 123/3 123/4 123/7 123/8 134/18 140/25 150/22 151/25 154/17 183/9 183/11 184/6 195/16 195/20 195/23 204/4 205/15 234/17 237/18 239/13 245/12 statements [17] 18/7 18/11 82/20 98/11 106/10 106/22 107/3 107/4 127/6 136/4 144/12 146/19 166/19 172/9 182/18 202/3 225/21
states [20] 1/1 1/12 1/24 127/14 160/22 160/24 161/4 161/7 176/14 212/23 213/1 213/21 213/23 219/23 220/2 242/8 247/3 258/3 258/7 258/17
States' [1] 212/16
statewide [3] 40/12 178/17 211/20
stating [1] 230/15
station [1] 147/23
stations [1] 52/11
status [2] 21/12 21/22
statute [1] 171/4
statutes [1] 168/3
stay [1] 33/22
stayed [2] 145/11 210/17
Steal [1] 225/24
STENOGRAPHY [1] 1/21
step [3] \(82 / 182 / 3238 / 8\)
steps [4] 228/18 230/9 231/9 231/19
STERLING [37] 4/10 86/19 89/4 93/9
124/8 127/24 136/11 146/20 146/21 147/1 206/8 206/9 206/13 206/17 208/19 208/25 209/5 213/7 216/1 221/23 223/14 224/14 226/1 228/2 229/9 229/20 231/21 233/25 237/6 238/19 240/2 240/11 241/20 242/15 249/2 249/19 253/11
Sterling's [7] 111/16 124/12 127/16 129/24 146/11 185/4 237/18
stew [2] 96/4 97/8
stick [1] 257/6
sticker [1] 135/13
still [28] 5/11 13/11 18/19 50/10 50/23
55/21 66/22 68/21 83/9 95/6 95/24
97/15 97/18 127/19 128/13 128/20
134/21 136/11 136/14 140/13 145/8
165/19 184/25 205/16 208/22 217/19
227/8 257/19
stipulate [3] 200/19 200/24 245/9
stirring [1] 157/8
stolen [1] 216/9
Stone [5] 130/3 130/5 130/6 130/18 131/7
stood [1] 233/6
stop [4] 98/24 151/22 225/24 227/21
store [1] 36/1
story [2] 106/22 210/9
straight [1] 6/11
straightforward [1] 46/23
strategies [1] 108/22
stress [1] 233/12
stretch [1] 53/20
strike [7] 197/4 197/10 197/14 205/3
238/20 239/18 240/7
strip [1] 99/22
strong [4] 97/16 207/8 207/8 207/20
strongly [1] 61/5
structural [1] 247/22
studies [1] 198/6
study [1] 196/9
stuff [8] 28/9 49/5 65/15 85/1 203/19
234/5 238/8 249/11
style [2] 218/23 246/21
styles [1] 218/20
subcategory [1] 207/10
subcontractor [1] 218/9
subject [3] 124/25 154/24 203/14
submit [3] 33/9 38/20 114/10
submitted [3] 168/20 169/25 194/22
subpoena [3] 153/23 154/1 154/4
subpoenas [6] 153/16 153/17 153/19
154/12 154/18 186/12
subsequent [1] 226/12
subsequently [1] 178/17
substance [1] 255/25
substantive [1] 239/21
successful [2] 41/19 176/21
such [7] 46/7 62/5 98/6 117/15 170/6 190/6 215/1
sufficient [1] 103/11
suggest [1] 237/14
suggested [1] 139/1
suggestion [2] 85/4 172/5
suggests [1] 235/5
suing [3] 33/18 33/23 62/23
Suitcases [1] 216/15
SullivanStrickler [13] 82/14 88/6 88/8 110/25 125/22 130/17 131/9 131/12
132/2 185/12 204/11 225/9 225/11
summarizing [1] 183/11
summary [8] 41/8 85/12 96/15 122/14
123/6 181/18 251/14 254/23
summer [2] 212/17 219/19
Sunday [1] 232/24
sundry [1] 216/8
superintendent [2] 10/2 72/15
superintendents [3] 52/22 110/1 213/17
Superior [1] 70/22
supervisor [4] 72/19 72/20 127/1 144/6
supplement [2] 254/1 254/6
supplemental [14] 23/13 24/25 25/14
25/25 28/3 28/13 32/1 49/23 63/3 67/6
68/4 69/18 173/21 174/7
support [1] 161/9
supporter [1] 225/23
supposed [4] 52/7 52/8 85/17 233/5 Supreme [1] 44/14
sure [58] 10/17 13/18 19/12 24/14
25/10 25/24 27/17 35/8 41/12 44/8 45/4 49/10 49/10 53/18 54/11 58/13 63/14 63/21 64/17 71/16 73/12 74/7 76/8 76/13 76/25 91/8 104/15 107/7 107/14
111/7 113/14 120/19 121/25 123/25
130/21 133/6 135/3 138/10 138/22
139/15 139/23 140/22 150/22 157/1
164/6 180/3 190/17 196/19 202/19
204/22 206/4 220/9 220/24 228/9
229/10 233/9 240/6 248/15
surfacing [1] 146/24
surprise [7] 73/19 92/25 95/3 118/5
131/21 210/6 244/14
surprised [2] 111/21 130/15
surprising [2] 97/22 131/15

\section*{S}
surrounding [2] 73/9 145/8
suspended [1] 218/24
sustain [1] 42/1
sustained [3] 62/9 123/13 176/18
swapped [1] 19/22
sweep [1] 210/8
switched [1] 218/5
sworn [4] 8/11 8/19 152/5 209/1
system [81] 9/3 9/3 17/17 18/11 18/17
18/23 19/14 22/19 23/9 24/1 24/9 24/11 24/12 24/15 24/16 24/17 32/11 33/12
33/13 35/23 36/22 39/16 40/6 40/11 40/12 47/15 47/19 47/19 48/16 57/24
58/3 61/6 69/12 69/20 71/16 71/17 81/2 84/6 96/25 103/24 108/3 115/2 134/8 142/17 143/13 147/5 155/9 155/22 162/6 162/15 162/21 163/11 166/22 168/23 173/18 176/11 176/13 181/17 188/16 196/14 203/6 214/12 214/12 217/2 224/22 225/4 226/10 228/11 232/10 232/17 232/19 232/19 232/21 233/3 234/24 240/24 241/1 242/23 243/3 247/12 249/21
systemic [1] 44/19
systems [8] 19/8 23/3 58/2 127/10 228/10 232/12 233/20 247/10

\section*{T}
tabulated [2] 35/16 53/21
tabulation [2] 33/24 33/24
tabulator [2] 29/18 29/22
tabulators [3] 242/24 243/18 243/24
take [40] 7/1 36/16 41/10 55/6 70/2
83/12 86/12 91/25 95/11 97/14 99/2
99/15 100/14 110/1 116/5 123/14
123/20 124/1 150/3 150/15 155/11
158/20 168/25 172/15 177/7 187/23
204/4 206/12 207/19 215/8 218/15
218/15 220/13 228/15 228/17 228/18 231/19 241/7 245/14 252/23
taken [17] 20/11 37/15 85/15 95/16 101/6 148/2 148/4 148/7 206/16 206/25 206/25 207/12 207/13 217/7 229/19 230/5 230/10
takes [5] 35/2 90/22 169/1 198/22 207/15
taking [5] 6/13 136/14 146/2 211/3 216/13
Taliaferro [1] 241/9
talk [27] 7/13 28/24 30/15 71/11 76/15
78/10 78/23 110/15 112/2 124/24 127/8 129/6 169/3 172/15 183/17 185/17 187/15 219/4 229/11 233/11 233/15
252/25 253/22 254/2 256/2 256/4 257/5
talked [20] 5/7 6/2 65/24 72/8 72/21 88/25 111/6 123/9 125/8 126/19 128/25 129/1 129/6 135/16 137/3 143/24 170/24 187/13 219/21 222/4
talking [49] 15/6 21/6 25/11 26/19 29/7 29/9 30/6 30/10 31/2 31/17 33/12 39/8 63/15 69/1 70/13 71/25 72/11 76/4 76/10 81/16 82/15 86/5 88/4 96/19 101/13 101/16 101/25 104/4 104/6 114/25 126/24 128/16 160/20 171/19 171/20 177/22 178/1 180/4 182/23 189/3 192/13 192/20 197/9 197/19

222/18 239/23 248/2 251/18 254/3
tallies [1] 38/3
tally [1] 220/19
tampered [1] 220/25
tank [1] 241/24
tape [9] 111/23 116/20 124/1 124/14 150/17 150/20 154/3 154/25 185/4
tapes [1] 123/14
tasks [1] 27/7
TAYLOR [1] 3/10
team [6] 116/14 116/23 117/3 117/6 211/24 212/1
tech [2] 115/1 156/2
technical [2] 176/5 178/6
technically [1] 236/5
technicians [3] 176/8 176/15 176/21
technologies [1] 142/18
technology [6] 17/18 25/7 61/5 62/15
216/20 217/1
TED [1] 1/24
telephone [4] 110/22 112/13 146/20 171/13
telephonic [1] 196/5
television [1] 175/3
tell [20] 18/10 26/17 85/7 86/17 86/18 103/10 109/17 110/6 119/12 120/8 121/6 127/2 133/16 144/2 151/18 176/1 198/16 209/9 252/19 257/9
telling [5] 48/14 106/22 134/6 144/8 172/14
temporary [2] 10/7 221/4
ten [1] 215/8
ten months [1] 215/8
tend [2] 161/3 232/12
tension [1] 96/6
Tenth [1] 210/4
term [1] 161/4
terminology [1] 228/16
terms [20] 9/20 15/17 21/11 28/24
41/11 45/11 94/6 100/3 140/17 151/2
162/7 163/23 188/23 198/25 215/11
234/4 239/13 243/16 255/22 256/21
terrible [1] 98/1
terribly [1] 257/3
test [1] 201/13
testified [24] 8/19 51/21 52/18 88/21
90/12 90/16 91/13 98/10 106/15 130/19
151/24 151/25 152/3 165/21 180/1
184/20 186/23 192/15 202/2 202/2
204/3 208/12 209/1 221/24
testifies [1] 206/18
testify [4] 40/19 48/9 132/12 230/4 testifying [12] 102/6 131/7 131/11 131/17 135/17 152/7 156/4 204/8 208/4 208/12 237/6 237/21
testimony [46] 46/3 47/4 47/18 49/13 49/17 51/18 52/21 53/2 70/20 81/7 83/4 85/13 85/19 85/19 85/21 128/15 131/10 131/14 131/16 131/20 131/23 132/10 135/25 136/9 152/5 152/9 157/11 166/7 169/10 180/3 181/9 183/25 184/2 185/1 185/12 185/18 202/15 205/4 223/15 230/11 230/13 237/1 238/4 255/25 257/11 258/12
testing [1] 170/20
tests [1] 220/23
Texas [1] 213/21
text [12] 47/8 50/16 50/20 51/5 51/16

51/25 52/23 111/25 112/4 120/4 120/6 129/14
than [24] 6/12 50/20 50/21 67/8 83/21
84/7 125/3 127/4 136/14 148/25 162/12 163/16 171/11 172/6 175/14 178/21
185/10 194/19 208/10 213/22 218/12 222/21 229/7 231/17
thank [42] 7/9 7/15 28/10 29/8 32/7
43/12 48/22 60/8 61/1 68/5 70/5 71/20
79/20 84/13 84/21 85/10 101/1 112/18 139/5 151/22 169/18 173/1 173/10 173/20 174/5 174/11 176/19 181/23 182/9 189/6 194/25 204/16 205/25 206/5 206/6 207/23 208/17 213/10 231/14 232/6 257/22 257/23
that [1609]
that's [84] 5/25 6/9 7/2 7/16 7/24 9/1 9/9 10/13 11/1 11/13 11/17 12/5 13/3 13/20 14/8 14/12 16/18 16/21 19/3 25/12 26/13 27/10 30/14 30/22 31/22 34/4 35/17 36/5 36/9 43/22 51/7 58/21 63/23 67/22 67/23 69/17 75/19 77/18 78/21 78/25 81/7 89/6 89/7 89/7 91/11 100/21 108/21 110/20 116/13 116/17 121/12 135/19 137/13 138/14 138/17 140/23 151/17 164/20 164/22 166/1 166/25 167/15 169/16 174/21 179/16 186/8 186/23 187/7 188/2 193/6 203/17 207/22 207/24 208/4 208/6 213/12 227/25 230/13 230/24 233/7 233/13 235/21 237/13 256/24
their [69] 5/11 15/1 27/9 32/10 33/20 34/7 35/6 36/7 36/8 36/23 37/2 37/25 38/1 47/7 52/23 53/13 56/9 56/11 57/7 57/18 62/16 62/19 64/8 65/2 65/9 65/15 65/25 66/2 66/5 66/12 77/12 83/11 83/12 83/16 83/17 83/18 84/3 84/8 91/7 93/5 93/14 98/4 98/6 100/6 100/11 105/4 107/6 110/2 116/25 123/20 141/16 146/13 149/17 152/5 153/10 155/13 159/16 167/12 167/13 168/22 176/9 188/16 195/19 217/25 224/22 233/2 234/24 237/19 245/10
theirs [2] 68/3 193/7
them [68] 6/16 15/22 17/7 27/10 28/18 29/12 34/11 51/18 51/22 57/21 69/4 74/15 77/15 77/16 81/4 81/16 83/17 84/8 84/25 87/5 88/24 90/19 91/5 91/9 91/12 91/13 92/6 92/8 92/9 92/11 92/17 94/17 94/17 95/6 96/11 100/20 108/22 119/15 122/8 122/21 123/7 123/9 123/19 145/19 155/9 157/8 163/25 163/25 165/1 169/4 169/11 171/6 176/8 182/19 198/23 216/12 216/14 217/17 217/17 217/22 226/18 228/7 228/15 231/21 233/21 233/21 235/1 241/12 themselves [6] 29/2 62/4 62/5 200/7 201/13 212/23
then [96] 6/10 6/16 6/16 7/13 15/21 17/9 19/21 22/8 26/25 32/21 33/3 34/4 35/10 38/18 42/7 43/4 58/14 70/7 72/12 78/22 82/8 85/22 88/23 89/24 89/24 89/25 91/25 92/3 94/24 95/4 96/12 96/19 100/5 102/4 108/13 114/17 117/11 122/6 126/21 133/16 137/19 140/25 141/22 143/3 144/5 145/24 146/11 147/1 150/12 151/5 159/6 160/17 161/3 164/12 166/22 166/23

\section*{T}
then... [40] 168/3 178/8 179/13 181/9 197/14 200/1 201/23 203/16 204/6 209/16 209/17 209/20 209/23 209/25 210/7 210/17 210/22 210/24 211/5 211/13 211/18 212/24 213/19 215/13 218/5 222/11 222/23 223/1 230/22 231/10 234/8 234/8 236/1 238/22 239/14 245/10 253/5 254/9 254/21 257/21
theory [1] 88/12
there [210] 5/12 5/12 6/4 7/14 10/1 13/5 15/21 17/5 18/8 23/16 24/3 27/16 \(31 / 2133 / 8\) 33/11 33/16 34/10 35/9 35/23 35/24 36/2 36/11 41/20 43/3 43/20 43/24 44/13 44/22 45/6 45/11 46/1 46/4 46/11 46/14 46/16 47/9 47/15 48/8 49/21 49/23 50/1 50/14 51/17 51/22 51/23 52/7 52/14 54/2 55/3 55/7 55/8 55/25 56/2 61/21 66/11 67/7 68/23 70/12 73/15 77/5 77/12 78/2 79/23 81/7 81/23 82/11 84/18 85/4 86/6 87/16 89/13 90/17 90/17 91/4 92/12 96/16 98/19 98/23 101/14 104/13 104/13 105/14 106/13 106/22 107/4 107/10 111/19 113/9 114/14 117/2 117/6 121/6 124/11 125/12 127/12 127/13 128/5 129/2 129/16 135/13 135/14 136/20 136/21 139/19 139/22 140/8 140/24 141/1 144/1 146/19 146/24 147/7 148/20 149/22 149/25 152/6 156/7 160/1 160/3 164/12 165/1 166/8 167/17 169/9 171/10 171/14 172/3 172/18 173/3 174/24 175/23 176/3 176/25 179/21 179/24 180/9 180/10 180/16 180/19 181/4 183/7 185/19 188/24 190/3 190/8 191/3 191/6 192/7 194/13 197/8 198/14 201/20 202/11 204/25 205/18 207/20 208/2 208/15 209/25 210/8 210/9 210/14 210/17 211/4 212/10 212/21 213/3 213/6 213/19 215/7 217/6 217/10 217/24 218/22 218/25 219/2 219/10 219/15 219/18 220/3 221/3 221/11 223/14 224/20 225/2 226/17 227/23 228/16 229/23 232/15 233/1 233/2 236/6 237/13 245/9 246/6 246/22 246/22 249/8 249/10 249/11 249/24 250/1 250/5 250/12 251/12 252/8 252/15 255/20 257/14
there's [14] 6/7 36/2 43/23 47/4 87/15 107/12 161/11 166/2 166/3 180/2 183/12 201/1 228/10 251/19
thereabouts [1] \(82 / 9\)
thereafter [3] 96/7 97/1 248/17
thereby [1] 257/24
therefore [2] 61/22 143/15
therein [1] 258/11
thermostats [1] 216/12
these [26] 13/18 14/17 55/19 77/20 91/14 93/3 93/7 93/17 94/16 94/20 95/2 98/20 100/5 140/22 161/5 164/23 165/2 167/9 171/9 171/11 214/4 228/17 233/20 236/16 241/5 249/9
they [251] 7/13 10/1 10/4 22/20 23/4 26/18 29/2 30/11 32/10 34/7 34/16 34/17 34/19 34/21 35/10 35/11 35/13 36/7 37/4 37/14 37/17 37/17 38/13

39/20 42/23 43/16 47/6 47/14 52/8 57/12 61/17 62/6 62/23 64/4 65/8 65/18 65/19 66/6 66/7 67/3 81/9 81/9 81/10 81/10 81/14 81/16 82/21 83/9 83/13 84/2 84/3 84/7 85/5 85/14 85/14 86/2 86/3 86/13 86/16 86/17 86/17 86/18 86/18 86/20 86/23 86/24 88/4 89/2 89/3 89/5 90/6 90/15 91/6 91/9 91/10 93/1 93/4 93/5 93/6 93/7 93/10 93/11 93/14 93/15 93/15 94/17 95/4 96/9 98/4 98/5 98/6 98/7 100/18 102/3 102/13 104/4 104/6 104/15 106/10 107/5 114/16 122/24 122/24 123/14 123/14 124/1 125/1 133/11 137/6 144/12 146/9 146/9 146/17 148/9 148/15 148/17 149/1 149/6 149/17 149/21 149/21 149/22 149/24 149/25 150/12 150/13 150/14 150/15 151/2 151/11 151/12 153/9 153/9 153/12 153/19 154/3 154/12 154/17 154/21 154/25 155/13 155/16 155/17 155/20 156/5 156/9 156/10 159/17 159/17 159/18 159/21 159/22 161/4 165/1 170/13 170/13 170/13 170/14 170/16 170/16 170/16 172/7 175/12 176/10 176/12 177/13 183/18 183/18 185/15 185/16 185/21 185/23 187/12 192/9 197/9 198/9 199/23 200/6 200/15 201/6 201/7 208/1 208/14 211/25 212/1 212/16 212/19 212/19 213/21 214/1 214/2 216/8 217/6 217/12 217/12 218/14 218/18 218/18 218/19 218/23 220/24 221/4 221/7 221/8 221/8 221/12 221/13 221/13 221/14 222/18 222/20 224/21 226/24 229/11 229/14 229/15 229/16 229/19 229/20 229/25 230/10 230/17 232/21 232/21 233/3 233/3 233/9 233/9 233/19 233/20 234/24 237/19 237/20 242/6 242/7 242/13 243/2 244/13 244/14 244/15 249/22 251/11 252/1 253/20 253/20 253/21 253/22 253/25 253/25 254/1 254/6
they're [2] 28/19 209/10
thing [27] 28/11 48/10 54/25 59/10 67/4 80/24 88/18 99/4 100/15 111/10 111/12 114/1 117/15 126/11 153/7 164/19 164/20 166/25 181/11 204/25 205/12 212/7 234/5 238/14 241/15 247/6 253/24
things [48] 7/17 21/25 24/24 26/18 31/14 48/8 82/15 83/12 97/19 98/21 100/25 128/5 129/1 131/2 139/2 144/19 145/22 154/19 154/21 161/12 162/20 164/13 171/10 186/12 198/20 200/1 200/22 208/3 217/15 222/13 222/18 227/13 228/14 228/21 230/2 231/4 232/9 232/16 241/2 241/5 241/6 242/2 247/23 249/13 253/9 255/6 255/13 255/14
think [144] 6/7 6/9 6/13 7/13 12/11 18/14 34/21 39/3 43/7 45/9 46/4 46/11 46/17 49/3 51/20 56/16 58/1 58/11 63/12 63/14 67/10 74/14 76/14 77/19 78/23 79/18 81/24 82/10 83/3 83/7 87/4 93/18 93/24 94/5 95/6 96/14 96/15 100/18 102/3 102/8 103/10 104/13 106/10 107/11 108/12 110/9 112/25 114/15 114/25 116/25 123/17 130/25

133/13 133/18 135/16 136/8 136/13 137/6 138/11 141/23 147/14 150/5 151/11 152/20 153/19 154/16 159/6 175/14 175/19 176/13 178/6 179/6 180/21 181/2 181/4 181/8 181/9 183/6 183/8 183/15 187/5 190/19 194/16 194/18 196/4 197/2 197/9 198/23 199/2 199/4 199/8 200/3 200/24 201/1 204/17 204/25 205/7 205/17 207/24 211/16 213/20 216/16 217/22 219/17 221/1 222/5 222/19 222/21 223/4 224/10 229/3 230/25 233/25 236/5 237/8 237/10 237/15 237/15 238/4 238/16 239/13 241/10 241/18 241/24 245/7 245/11 246/3 250/9 251/25 252/19 252/22 252/23 253/3 253/4 253/6 253/11 255/9 255/19 255/20 255/21 255/24 256/9 256/25 257/2
thinking [2] 5/10 203/23
third [11] 68/3 117/16 122/19 153/16 153/17 159/23 167/8 188/8 226/8 243/9 244/2
third-party [3] 153/16 153/17 167/8 this [366]
Thomas [1] 103/3
Thomas-Clark [1] 103/3
those [53] 19/20 19/20 30/10 49/6 52/15 63/18 64/2 69/2 75/25 80/19 81/4 85/10 86/16 92/16 104/3 145/25 155/17 159/13 162/24 170/9 171/9 172/7 172/21 176/15 184/10 184/11 189/11 191/20 195/22 212/17 213/3 213/24 213/24 216/6 216/18 217/4 217/20 218/5 218/14 218/15 220/20 221/13 226/11 226/21 232/4 233/15 241/2 246/9 249/13 250/7 250/14 251/17 255/15
though [14] 27/8 38/4 46/14 64/14 75/16 100/7 127/15 138/18 141/23 143/25 187/9 189/21 195/9 207/25 thought [25] 5/15 7/19 21/14 28/19 41/6 63/13 98/7 114/4 116/9 117/13 136/21 137/7 146/22 176/15 183/24 197/8 197/24 199/19 202/3 205/12 207/2 219/6 219/8 223/4 247/25
thousand [1] 219/1
threat [2] 142/17 142/22
threatened [1] 68/8
threatening [1] 112/7
threats [1] 112/8
three [10] 59/24 85/13 89/18 123/9 154/23 155/2 183/6 206/20 215/16 256/21
three weeks [1] 215/16
threshold [1] 31/24
through [33] 34/11 36/22 80/7 84/17 84/17 91/9 91/13 93/6 93/17 113/6 114/17 117/25 128/9 139/13 153/23 162/12 162/24 169/24 186/18 187/11 204/5 210/5 210/17 212/10 212/24 216/12 218/9 235/2 247/20 249/8 249/8 251/17 253/23
throughout [4] 70/11 166/2 183/20 213/1
thrown [2] 98/24 177/13
Thursday [4] 5/16 7/17 48/5 223/4 tied [1] 242/13
time [115] 6/17 7/6 7/17 7/25 9/12
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline T & 58/5 & \\
\hline time... [110] 12/25 15/1 17/11 18/19 & tough [3] 115/10 153/2 153/4 & 191/11 191/15 203/2 \\
\hline  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { tough [3] 115/10 153/2 153/4 } \\
& \text { Toward [1] 124/4 }
\end{aligned}
\] & turned [3] 112/13 172/9 210/10 \\
\hline /22 47/23 51/20 54/9 54/10 55/7 /24 70/13 71/12 71/25 72/6 80/6 & traceability [2] 43/19 44/1 & TURNER [1] 1/24 \\
\hline 80/18 81/25 83/21 86/13 86/16 90/24 & track [4] 21/10 21/25 84/5 192/25 & Tweet [6] 54/1 54/ \\
\hline 92/20 102/3 110/7 110/12 111/3 112/20 & traditionally [1] 212/15 & 39/11 \\
\hline 113/17 113/20 115/1 116/7 117/1 118/3 & train [3] 215/6 233/21 246/7 & [3] 54/6 \\
\hline 118/6 122/4 124/6 125/25 126/6 127/7 & Trainability [1] 240/21 & twice [3] 105/13 143/25 170/21 \\
\hline 127/7 127/15 129/22 136/14 141/7 & training [14] 214/3 214/5 226/19 228/7 & Twitter [5] 13/17 14/1 14/3 14/11 \\
\hline 2/15 142/15 142/16 143/3 & 16 228/19 233/8 233/14 233/22 & [34] 6/3 6/10 46/24 48/5 49/8 \\
\hline 145/10 147/14 149/14 151/19 154/3 &  &  \\
\hline /25 155/15 155/20 157/5 &  & 163/17 163/23 183/6 184/9 184/11 \\
\hline /8 162/22 165/15 168/25 169/1 & 1/22 18/5 18/16 113/4 113/13 113/15 & 201/1 208/13 210/15 220/6 220/16 \\
\hline /2 169/5 171/18 173/11 173/23 & 113/17 113/19 113/20 114/13 114/16 & 221/9 229/19 229/23 232/11 241/19 \\
\hline (177/11 178/9 178/9 198/6 198/19 & 114/18 157/19 194/1 194/6 195/3 195/6 & 242/2 251/19 \\
\hline 202/11 202/15 202/21 206/8 211/4 & 195/7 196/21 196/22 203/8 205/15 & two hours [2] 6/3 6/10 \\
\hline 2 & 258/9 & two-hour [1] 48/5 \\
\hline  & transcription [1] & two-minute [1] 150/20 \\
\hline 6 247/17 247/20 249/10 249 & transcripts [1] \(1 / 2\) & two-sentence [1] 138/4 \\
\hline & transition [2] 211/24 212 & type [7] 73/15 170/25 173/16 189 \\
\hline time-consuming [1] 169/5 &  & typically [1] 29/14 \\
\hline timely [2] & parent [3] \(162 / 5220\) &  \\
\hline timely [2] 66/13 67/3 times [5] 150/5 155/9 & transport [1] 228/5 & \\
\hline /14 & portation [3] 6 & U \\
\hline day [26] 5/5 5/11 5/17 7/12 8/25 & treated [1] 97/21 & U.S [1] 44/15 \\
\hline (1) 40/1 40/4 55/21 110/25 111/2 & trespass [1] 122/22 & Uh [2] 75/4 117/23 \\
\hline (1) & Treutlen [3] 163/11 16 & Uh-huh [2] 75/4 117/23 \\
\hline 0 222/17 224/8 226/11 227/3 & trial [31] 1/10 12/16 18/5 20/10 21/2 & ultimately [3] 81/15 102/14 17 \\
\hline & 28/17 52/19 54/20 59/6 59/20 60/23 & unable [1] 161/13 \\
\hline 14/4 228/18 & 83 & unauditable [3] 55/17 69/9 189/13 \\
\hline token [1] 86/23 & 105/20 106/5 109/6 10 & unauthorized [5] 125/23 145/20 \\
\hline told [30] 19/1 23/5 73/15 77/15 77/16 & 119/25 120/10 133/23 134/16 135/13 & \[
204 / 12
\] \\
\hline 10 117/13 119/10 119/15 &  &  \\
\hline 135/7 144/13 & 46/3 46/10 46/10 &  \\
\hline 7 171/21 176/7 176/9 205/19 &  & \[
178 / 10
\] \\
\hline 210/11 233/15 234/15 236/11 236/21 & trouble [2] 51/19 224/24 & uncover [2] 174/2 \\
\hline 236/22 237/22 238/11 239/17 239/20 & truck [1] 215/14 & uncovered [2] 175/ \\
\hline [1] 242/3 norrow [9] 5/13 5/17 5/18 222/23 & true[32] 6/9 12/21 16/1 20/14 26/6 & under [12] 52/7 61/4 66/6 83/ \\
\hline orrow [9] 5/13 5
\[
/ 1 \text { 255/8 255/23 } 2
\] & 27/3 28/12 45/7 54/6 59/16 60/19 68/17 & 187/23 208/21 217/3 229/13 232/22 \\
\hline & /13 79/15 79/19 99/17 103/12 & 7/12 247/11 \\
\hline  & 109/16 115/5 118/8 & undermine [2] 142/19 142/23 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { too } \\ 99 / 19] & 107 / 15 \\ 155 / 7 & 155 / 10 \\ 156 / 3\end{array}\) & 125/19 126/5 126/8 130/9 156/5 164/21 & underneath [2] 216/15 217/4 \\
\hline 156/10 187/5 189/3 189/9 205/22 219/3 & 164/21 193/21 258 & understand [40] 6/10 7/8 24/5 24/13 \\
\hline 251/7 257/19 & truly [1] 201/3 & 35/8 \\
\hline took [10] 47/15 86/21 86/22 149/11 & Trump [4] 70/23 70/23 98/9 215/20 & \[
2
\] \\
\hline 154/2 155/14 169/2 174/18 218/10 & trustworthy [1] 68/14 & 182/16 182/17 186/6 186/7 189/4 \\
\hline 231/11 & truth [13] 97/21 106/16 116/4 144/12 & 90/18 193/8 199/3 208/2 216/17 \\
\hline tool [2] 224/4 23 & 163/25 166/18 167/1 172/10 172/14 & 30/14 230/19 234/3 243/20 245/12 \\
\hline [1] 218/22 & 236/22 237/22 239/3 240/3 & 8/9 253/10 254/21 255/12 \\
\hline top [6] \(59 / 2561 / 2168 / 7\) 84/11 109/24 & try [22] 11/4 23/17 \(23 / 23\) 33/22 62/21 & understandable [1] 219/8 \\
\hline topic [6] 70/2 149/4 161/12 161/1 & 67/11 81/16 84/5 105/4 112/15 122/4 & understandably [1] 206/21 \\
\hline \[
1 / 22162 / 15
\] & 127/5 139/5 143/17 143/18 146/4 & understanding [31] 15/24 39/18 66/18 \\
\hline topics [2] 170/9 2 & 180/14 183/18 219/14 237/7 238/17 & 6/23 \(67 / 19\) 73/13 94/14 110/24 \\
\hline toss [1] 136/19 & & 153/25 154/11 157/22 165/5 171/18 \\
\hline total [1] 250/12 & tre & 90/16 221/18 224/6 225/11 225/2 \\
\hline totally [1] 129/20 & 86/8 87/20 89/11 93/10 93/14 93/15 & 5/24 235/17 236/10 236/14 242/1 \\
\hline totals [1] \(81 / 10\) & 1 & 244/19 249/16 \\
\hline TOTENBERG [2] 1/11 159/10 & 137/18 139/10 149/14 160/2 164/19 & understands [2] 41/13 199/4 \\
\hline touch [20] 29/6 29/9 29/14 30/20 31/7 & 181/11 183/3 199/24 200/13 201/2 & understood [14] 7/4 15/14 32/24 52/9 \\
\hline 32/3 32/15 33/4 36/23 37/6 39/4 39/12 & 202/12 221/1 228/9 232/7 232/8 23 & 107/1 107/1 111/4 117/10 140/3 140/15 \\
\hline 50/14 55/18 56/4 56/5 56/6 56/9 58/5 & turn [18] 18/7 49/23 54/5 60/21 61/3 & 145/7 167/4 202/22 212/6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{U}
undertake [1] 143/19
undertaken [2] 153/23 162/11
undertaking [1] 23/17
undertook [1] 126/10
unethical [1] 140/10
unfortunate [1] 95/18
unfortunately [3] 161/16 161/24 219/9 unfounded [2] 143/16 143/18
uniform [9] 17/17 18/10 18/17 18/23
19/8 19/13 19/20 23/9 69/2
uniformity [3] 245/24 246/15 249/20
uniformly [1] 19/21
Unintelligible [1] 185/17
unintentionally [1] 39/1
unique [2] 217/10 221/25
unitary [4] 24/1 24/9 24/12 24/16
UNITED [11] 1/1 1/12 1/24 213/1
213/21 213/23 220/2 247/3 258/3 258/7

\section*{258/17}
universally [1] 57/25
University [2] 209/10 210/6
unknowable [1] 247/15
unless [3] 31/8 92/15 139/24
unlike [1] 38/15
unlocked [2] 224/21 225/3
unpack [1] 131/4
unreasonable [1] 85/22
unrelated [2] 88/5 89/18
unskilled [1] 172/2
until [7] 82/9 86/2 92/9 101/21 112/21
122/23 196/18
untrue [2] 147/4 147/6
unwrap [1] 131/4
UOCAVA [3] 247/19 248/2 249/2
up [59] 6/22 7/20 11/2 20/5 24/24 26/6
32/7 47/15 51/4 56/16 70/3 72/13 84/21
86/1 86/5 89/1 96/3 100/6 100/7 110/14
112/6 116/15 116/23 121/6 126/15
126/24 128/5 130/7 133/8 133/12
140/10 142/4 143/8 145/25 146/2
146/13 147/23 153/5 159/20 167/23
167/25 179/11 181/13 182/11 191/6
199/18 204/22 211/1 211/3 211/12
217/18 230/9 232/5 232/7 233/21
241/10 255/7 255/23 256/16
upcoming [1] 245/1
update [1] 208/16
updated [1] 254/12
upon [7] 144/11 170/12 170/13 183/16
210/2 214/24 214/24
ups [2] 46/24 168/4
urged [2] 23/10 58/23
us [24] 6/8 6/17 35/22 43/9 70/9 70/11
78/1 88/20 96/18 100/25 112/21 130/23
137/11 138/4 138/16 146/17 151/2
161/13 164/9 218/17 220/17 224/20 235/1 242/13
Usability [2] 240/21 240/22
usage [2] 244/20 246/16
uscgg.org [1] 58/10
use [53] 13/6 13/13 25/5 25/6 25/16
26/2 36/22 41/20 42/11 42/23 43/21
47/14 55/19 56/14 57/8 57/10 57/12
61/15 62/14 69/2 69/2 78/8 78/22 82/6
82/8 141/23 150/25 151/2 169/8 182/20
217/11 217/16 218/15 221/5 224/4

226/11 226/22 226/25 237/20 240/23
241/12 242/23 243/2 244/5 245/24 249/21 249/22 250/19 250/19 250/25 254/25 255/1 257/2
used [27] 17/19 21/24 23/15 25/2
29/22 30/12 41/4 56/3 56/4 56/5 56/21 61/22 100/4 104/1 111/16 130/6 167/7 189/1 190/15 217/12 218/3 218/6 220/6 220/17 223/15 227/7 228/16
useful [1] 41/24
users [1] 233/2
uses [5] 55/17 56/8 57/23 63/20 189/11
using [22] 9/11 23/19 32/3 34/18 35/1
42/23 50/4 53/6 53/12 58/9 63/12 67/10 68/24 69/4 69/20 122/17 152/2 190/9 226/20 239/4 243/17 246/11
usually [1] 115/11
utilized [2] 189/23 191/3 utilizes [1] 190/5

V
vacuum [1] 90/25
vague [8] 25/10 56/25 57/16 62/18 72/2 180/2 189/14 190/12
validate [1] 152/2
value [2] 220/23 220/24
variable [1] 218/1
various [6] 98/11 127/10 128/5 216/8
227/13 242/1
vendor [3] 17/19 218/9 232/18
vendors [1] 212/21
verifiable [5] 11/23 30/17 39/13 51/13 68/14
verified [10] 33/15 38/3 50/6 53/7
68/17 68/17 223/20 223/22 224/4 224/10
verifier [1] 226/20
verify [4] 47/6 56/3 61/17 222/18
version [3] 22/9 113/4 214/25
versus [1] 255/11
very [45] 5/13 5/13 6/18 9/12 10/24
10/24 15/13 27/5 45/2 46/7 51/19 52/12
54/9 83/23 87/5 88/15 90/3 92/21 97/8
99/25 100/1 100/4 110/10 142/1 143/8
144/21 147/2 161/12 161/18 162/4
172/10 175/9 182/9 184/6 201/16 206/5
207/11 208/17 219/13 228/14 246/4
246/4 253/25 256/7 256/22
VI [1] 142/11
via [1] 124/11
victory [1] 210/7
video [2] 96/14 96/24
videos [1] 96/16
videotape [4] 147/25 151/20 152/18 199/16
videotaped [1] 147/2
viewed [1] 236/14
views [1] 205/14
villainize [1] 84/7
VINCENT [1] 3/4
violation [3] 44/18 44/24 252/17
violations [1] 84/6
virtually [2] 130/2 152/23
virus [1] 215/17
visibility [1] \(14 / 10\)
visits [1] 144/18
voice [2] 114/22 118/5
voir [1] 156/25
volume [2] 1/10 226/2 volunteer [5] 26/18 26/21 27/1 27/4 27/5
volunteered [1] 10/6
volunteers [8] 14/25 15/1 26/7 26/9 26/12 26/17 169/3 172/7
VON [1] 2/10
vote [54] 9/5 32/11 32/13 32/14 32/15 32/17 32/20 32/22 33/1 33/9 33/10
33/13 33/16 33/19 35/4 35/5 35/15
35/16 36/8 36/23 37/11 37/25 38/3
39/11 39/15 39/17 40/2 47/3 50/4 51/3
51/4 53/6 53/20 56/9 56/11 57/18 62/16
64/19 65/4 65/6 66/12 66/24 68/9 69/14 90/11 108/3 190/10 190/20 191/10 211/13 219/24 227/9 240/25 255/1
voted [3] 9/3 9/5 35/24
voter [49] 33/19 33/22 34/5 34/6 34/16 34/25 35/2 35/3 35/5 35/22 36/21 37/21 37/24 38/20 39/2 39/4 39/5 50/6 50/21 50/21 51/13 51/15 51/24 52/5 53/8 56/8 56/11 58/4 58/6 62/15 64/1 64/6 65/1 65/6 65/14 65/20 65/22 217/1 217/20 220/10 221/12 227/5 228/11 232/10 232/17 233/20 246/20 246/23 247/7 voter's [10] 37/25 38/7 50/7 50/17 53/8 67/2 188/23 190/10 217/17 220/4 voters [28] 15/20 25/5 25/6 40/8 47/6 50/4 52/22 53/6 53/12 57/6 57/7 57/9 57/10 57/17 61/22 64/19 65/24 66/11 66/23 68/12 68/13 162/18 218/21 219/1 220/14 240/22 241/4 246/17
votes [12] 32/10 45/20 46/18 53/20
68/16 141/2 191/4 210/21 216/9 216/10 216/11 219/1
voting [79] 11/19 17/18 18/23 19/8 19/14 22/18 23/2 23/9 24/1 25/3 32/11 32/16 33/20 36/21 40/6 40/7 40/11 61/6 63/11 63/15 63/15 64/10 65/25 66/2 71/16 71/17 76/11 80/14 80/19 81/2 82/12 96/25 103/25 104/5 104/8 104/11 108/3 115/2 127/10 132/22 134/8 140/18 155/7 155/9 156/11 161/15 161/16 161/17 161/22 161/23 162/3 162/15 162/18 162/21 163/11 168/23 213/13 214/7 214/11 214/12 214/17 215/18 216/20 217/1 217/14 223/20 223/22 224/4 224/10 226/10 228/10 244/20 244/21 245/25 245/25 246/17 246/20 247/12 250/10
VotingWorks [2] 224/12 224/13
Voyles [18] 72/22 73/13 75/17 79/6
79/15 80/7 108/19 109/14 118/24 119/2 119/5 119/14 120/5 120/7 121/5 121/16 122/6 129/13
Voyles' [2] 73/10 120/25
vs [1] \(1 / 5\)
vulnerabilities [6] 69/13 69/15 170/7 188/16 234/12 255/15
vulnerability [3] 235/2 252/8 252/16 vulnerable [1] 196/9

\section*{W}
wait [7] 24/20 35/7 54/24 92/20 151/21
151/21 222/25
waived [1] 201/20
walk [6] 34/11 84/17 93/6 93/16 113/6 180/23

\section*{W}

Walker [1] 32/16
walking [3] 89/23 181/25 251/16 walks [1] 85/18
want [62] 6/1 6/5 6/11 7/2 7/13 18/13
26/21 27/16 34/11 35/8 36/10 40/17
45/16 46/13 48/4 48/12 58/13 58/19
67/18 73/16 76/25 85/25 90/17 92/10
99/24 100/6 105/20 110/15 137/11 138/12 138/17 139/23 141/10 143/8
144/1 151/5 151/13 151/17 159/7 159/17 171/12 180/5 193/1 193/2 197/4 199/5 200/24 202/19 204/2 204/22 205/7 206/12 217/15 222/22 237/11 237/12 239/23 245/9 253/21 254/22 255/6 257/19
wanted [19] 5/7 18/21 63/19 93/5 98/7 99/7 112/15 115/11 151/14 160/4 185/24 185/24 196/13 206/18 206/20
208/5 209/7 249/21 256/16
wanting [2] 6/11 197/4
wants [3] 58/18 230/12 238/6
Warnock [21] 32/15 32/25 33/7 33/9
33/10 33/16 35/4 35/5 35/15 35/16 37/9
37/10 37/12 39/11 39/12 39/14 39/15
39/17 51/4 51/4 51/5
Warren [1] 210/20
was [444]
Washington [1] 212/18
wasn't [9] 38/8 98/14 112/6 113/19
136/6 154/20 195/13 248/20 248/24
waste [7] 40/22 41/24 47/22 47/23
83/21 173/11 177/11
watch [1] 26/22
watched [7] 124/10 149/23 149/24
149/25 172/4 199/16 199/17
way [60] 11/20 13/16 23/19 24/7 25/6 30/18 35/25 36/15 36/20 37/7 37/15
37/16 39/21 46/6 47/6 74/25 80/6 83/21
87/22 87/22 97/15 102/10 104/23 111/7
119/7 119/11 125/24 131/1 132/21
134/1 134/7 140/21 146/7 148/9 148/14 159/15 187/3 195/18 199/8 199/12 200/17 205/20 207/6 207/7 207/21 210/5 211/20 216/8 217/12 218/13 226/22 227/3 227/8 235/3 241/19 245/10 246/23 247/6 249/8 255/13 ways [7] 24/14 46/4 46/11 68/10 109/25 211/6 230/21
we [478]
we'll [19] 5/19 7/1 7/25 31/20 44/13 72/14 78/4 84/9 92/24 95/11 107/15
109/2 113/25 137/24 143/22 169/15 206/2 239/6 240/7
we're [48] 5/11 5/18 5/24 6/6 6/23 12/2
25/11 29/7 34/21 45/4 46/9 47/9 63/12
67/7 69/1 82/9 100/3 100/18 101/4
101/7 114/14 114/15 114/17 136/11 142/4 144/11 150/18 151/4 161/5 161/13 163/19 163/23 163/25 177/14 177/22 179/6 192/19 202/18 206/2 222/14 238/20 239/4 240/5 244/1 247/3 251/10 252/21 255/16
we've [17] 5/17 37/17 51/18 70/19 89/12 111/6 146/17 150/25 161/1 161/19 161/20 164/12 171/3 230/25 232/23 233/21 252/5
webinars [1] 162/17
website [12] 12/20 20/3 20/12 20/16 20/19 20/23 21/10 21/24 22/3 94/19 94/23 116/11
Wednesday [1] 7/22
weeds [1] 192/24
week [4] 215/18 234/11 247/21 247/22
weekend [1] 247/19
weeks [3] 145/6 148/25 215/16
WEIGEL [1] \(3 / 9\)
weight [2] 239/7 239/10
WEINHARDT [1] 2/6
weird [1] 36/16
WELCH [3] 1/23 258/6 258/16 welcome [2] 48/21 253/3
well [114] 6/6 6/9 16/20 16/25 24/20 26/25 27/24 34/12 34/15 39/21 41/9 45/2 46/1 47/16 51/17 52/2 52/7 55/6 57/20 60/22 61/19 62/22 67/10 67/14 76/14 78/19 80/6 81/20 81/24 82/17 83/23 85/9 85/22 91/1 94/21 94/24 94/25 95/9 95/17 98/15 98/24 99/9 99/10 99/11 103/6 103/14 106/17 108/11 111/18 113/22 130/20 132/5 133/17 137/5 137/12 138/1 140/20 146/9 146/15 148/17 152/13 155/1 155/19 156/5 156/7 156/7 159/2 161/11 163/19 164/9 165/19 166/5 172/10 178/3 179/10 180/7 181/2 181/4 181/11 181/18 182/6 182/7 183/15 184/14 187/17 187/24 188/8 189/15 189/18 196/1 201/4 201/25 205/4 207/15 211/23 215/11 215/16 221/24 222/20 226/23 229/8 230/14 233/22 233/23 234/8 237/24 238/13 238/22 239/11 243/9 244/11 248/17 251/10 257/4
Wendell [3] 130/5 130/6 130/6 went [24] 75/22 82/22 116/14 116/15 116/23 117/11 122/20 127/9 136/3 145/5 145/6 149/8 151/8 172/6 209/19 209/21 209/23 210/9 210/14 210/15 210/25 226/15 247/19 255/11
were [188] 5/10 10/1 21/14 23/16 23/17 23/19 25/2 32/14 32/24 33/11 37/17 41/6 42/10 45/2 45/5 51/22 55/23 65/24 70/21 70/25 71/25 73/9 77/3 80/20 81/4 81/9 81/9 81/14 81/16 82/12 82/23 86/16 86/16 88/4 88/4 88/5 88/10 88/11 88/16 89/19 89/23 90/15 90/17 92/21 94/17 94/17 96/9 97/19 99/19 101/13 101/16 104/4 104/6 104/13 108/4 111/16 111/21 115/21 117/2 117/3 123/10 124/11 124/12 125/12 128/5 128/9 130/15 131/22 132/9 132/9 144/12 145/2 146/6 146/6 146/9 146/9 146/19 148/18 148/18 148/20 148/21 149/21 149/22 149/25 150/1 150/12 154/21 154/24 155/2 155/5 155/7 155/8 155/10 155/14 155/19 156/5 156/9 156/10 157/4 157/7 160/1 160/2 160/3 160/20 165/2 166/21 166/21 168/3 169/10 170/9 171/25 172/2 173/14 174/17 174/19 174/24 174/24 175/3 175/12 176/3 176/7 176/7 176/21 176/25 177/13 178/21 179/12 180/23 182/1 183/2 195/9 196/9 196/16 197/24 198/6 198/19 201/21 202/3 202/11 205/8 207/25 208/2 208/2 208/13

208/13 208/14 209/6 209/6 209/20 214/7 215/23 216/6 216/8 216/19 216/25 217/4 217/6 217/10 217/12 217/12 217/19 217/22 217/24 217/25 218/11 220/16 220/20 221/8 221/12 221/13 221/14 223/4 223/14 226/17 226/19 226/19 229/14 232/15 233/1 244/23 245/23 249/20 250/17 252/1 254/3 254/11 254/25 257/24
weren't [4] 9/11 155/20 195/10 222/9 wet [1] 226/24
what [321]
whatever [18] 6/17 6/25 27/15 58/2 67/14 72/12 78/22 95/23 98/1 114/7 133/7 141/18 176/22 198/19 207/20 208/1 249/22 253/21
when [83] 7/11 9/18 10/9 13/22 16/25 24/3 25/14 28/7 29/1 29/9 29/17 30/10 30/15 30/19 30/24 30/25 34/7 35/11 36/6 37/24 39/4 44/14 47/13 70/25 83/8 84/24 89/13 91/16 95/1 96/13 98/22 101/23 101/23 102/5 111/21 112/19 113/14 114/17 117/8 121/16 132/4 132/5 132/21 132/24 134/7 136/19 138/19 140/22 145/15 145/17 147/7 147/8 147/19 155/8 155/16 158/8 160/20 183/23 184/15 186/3 186/15 194/12 195/6 195/7 195/11 195/12 198/23 199/16 202/20 213/24 214/20 215/19 215/21 225/15 227/9 228/15 232/7 232/25 233/9 240/2 240/11 240/19 251/3
where [59] 21/10 21/11 22/5 24/13 31/20 33/19 34/4 39/19 49/4 50/15 77/3 77/17 79/8 85/5 87/25 90/6 103/21 107/10 107/21 110/3 126/24 133/18 134/25 135/7 136/8 137/24 151/24 151/25 155/14 159/7 159/9 161/23 189/23 191/2 192/12 200/3 204/22 205/7 205/8 205/19 210/5 211/19 211/25 213/5 217/6 220/16 224/21 225/3 225/3 228/17 231/10 231/23 232/4 233/6 238/8 238/11 243/10 249/10 255/9
whereof [1] 258/12
Whereupon [2] 8/17 208/24
whether [66] \(5 / 1633 / 7\) 35/20 36/21 46/18 47/3 47/7 66/18 67/3 78/5 78/9 78/22 78/23 79/14 82/24 96/22 105/13 113/16 115/22 117/5 118/22 119/4 124/25 148/9 148/15 148/17 153/22 158/21 164/21 171/1 171/25 174/24 175/17 175/23 176/10 176/12 176/21 176/25 177/5 178/20 179/24 180/8 180/16 185/22 186/16 187/20 189/17 190/1 190/3 190/10 190/19 190/20 195/25 196/4 196/5 198/10 206/23 206/25 207/1 207/1 224/6 225/11 234/11 235/18 244/19 244/20 which [69] 14/19 18/5 35/9 39/5 45/3 46/5 47/25 49/8 54/1 58/12 66/6 68/12 75/10 82/24 83/2 90/10 90/20 93/15 96/20 97/3 100/7 105/21 111/7 111/16 134/12 137/2 137/15 138/2 138/3 142/5 153/21 159/10 159/22 164/12 165/8 171/18 171/20 180/3 183/10 184/9 188/4 192/16 198/5 199/8 200/2 212/16 213/18 214/19 217/20 218/20 219/25

\section*{W}
which... [18] 220/18 226/9 226/21
227/13 228/12 229/4 230/2 230/5 230/7 237/5 239/4 241/10 242/24 248/23 250/10 251/3 254/1 254/13
while [10] 43/10 129/21 162/16 170/24 174/22 209/6 209/14 209/18 233/3 257/6
who [74] 14/3 14/5 15/1 25/6 34/6 34/25 35/5 35/21 40/2 40/8 43/20 47/6 48/15 48/16 50/4 52/18 53/6 55/18 55/24 56/8 56/13 57/8 61/22 64/19 65/22 65/24 66/11 66/23 70/19 84/4 84/19 87/2 88/20 89/1 97/23 98/8 98/9 98/10 107/5 114/24 114/25 116/23 120/15 120/18 126/14 126/16 126/25 134/2 135/17 141/25 144/5 147/14 159/2 166/23 171/21 173/11 176/7 176/14 213/16 214/1 218/10 220/10 221/13 223/16 225/14 233/19 235/13 239/22 246/9 246/20 248/10 249/6 249/21 250/14
whole [20] 24/12 46/5 52/19 58/12 78/12 85/1 89/2 95/18 111/12 111/17 112/20 112/25 113/4 142/25 182/16
185/10 203/14 207/25 223/5 232/19
wholly [1] 48/10
whom [2] 34/5 237/2
whose [3] 120/14 120/18 187/23
why [46] 6/10 30/18 31/19 32/22 37/23
45/4 54/21 55/2 55/6 69/17 73/13 78/18 78/21 78/21 79/22 81/15 82/10 93/18 93/18 115/8 116/3 119/2 124/23 125/2 130/7 133/7 162/5 163/24 184/14 185/8 187/13 204/17 205/15 223/24 231/1 234/23 236/1 237/15 237/20 237/21 242/12 249/14 251/11 252/13 253/4 256/24
wide [1] 192/10
wild [2] 126/21 144/25
will [78] \(1 / 35 / 126 / 248 / 710 / 711 / 11\) 13/6 14/14 14/16 18/10 31/9 31/15
34/23 39/5 41/2 41/20 42/7 42/23 43/16
43/16 45/8 46/18 46/21 50/5 51/4 51/5
51/8 53/6 55/4 56/9 57/6 59/17 62/15 66/12 68/8 68/12 68/13 74/20 78/11 80/21 84/10 88/18 90/5 91/25 93/9 95/9 95/14 96/20 99/16 101/2 103/10 106/20 107/25 114/10 128/18 139/1 139/2 139/25 161/3 174/6 182/7 184/4 184/19 188/23 194/21 202/19 203/16 204/25 205/21 205/24 219/14 223/9 239/9
240/3 247/21 252/23 253/22 253/24
Willard [3] 130/3 130/7 159/4
Willard's [1] 195/15
WILLIAM [3] 2/13 2/18 28/5
willing [3] 116/5 119/13 200/18
willy [1] 159/11
willy-nilly [1] 159/11
win [3] 159/16 195/19 210/8
Wind [1] 152/17
winning [2] 210/18 211/12
winter [3] 212/17 212/18 212/19
wish [1] 257/5
withdraw [9] 31/20 126/2 137/24 157/2 169/15 186/25 187/1 194/20 194/21
withdrawn [3] 194/17 194/24 205/3
within [13] 48/4 57/2 107/3 125/5 155/13 181/5 181/13 181/14 181/16 211/22 212/2 212/8 236/10
without [16] 21/17 42/4 57/25 66/13 93/16 117/17 145/17 165/10 198/10 237/9 249/12 250/19 252/24 255/1 255/18 255/24
witness [95] 4/3 8/11 17/22 19/24 21/7 24/10 28/20 35/19 40/18 40/18 41/13 41/23 42/3 42/4 42/25 43/2 43/4 43/7 43/11 43/14 44/3 44/11 46/7 48/6 48/9 48/15 48/15 48/19 62/10 62/23 74/8 82/1 82/5 88/19 88/20 90/13 92/7 92/15 92/16 92/22 92/25 93/7 93/16 96/11 98/20 99/24 102/18 105/16 119/20 133/4 133/10 133/14 138/24 154/4 156/25 165/21 166/8 181/10 182/8 182/10 182/18 183/16 183/16 183/23 192/13 198/17 198/24 199/4 199/14 199/24 200/2 200/6 200/8 201/19 202/18 203/1 203/12 206/1 206/8 206/9 229/15 229/16 230/4 230/9 230/15 231/5 238/6 238/16 252/25 255/22 256/15 256/20 257/2 257/5 257/13
witness' [3] 53/2 97/10 166/6
witnessed [1] 97/24
witnesses [15] 70/25 85/14 94/7 97/3 98/22 100/6 100/18 153/2 153/7 153/13 154/13 154/13 154/18 186/11 206/20 won [5] 55/18 55/24 210/6 210/6 210/7 won't [4] 84/17 91/12 173/11 244/11 wonder [1] 200/17
wonderful [1] 219/9
word [6] 23/15 80/22 139/11 189/15 189/16 232/13
words [6] 79/18 97/8 107/13 121/12 189/13 189/22
work [22] 10/7 11/22 15/10 37/4 37/14 78/24 81/8 84/12 130/6 145/18 147/23 161/1 170/14 197/18 209/25 211/22 214/4 236/16 240/16 249/10 256/6 257/18
worked [6] 9/21 10/21 211/18 211/19 211/21 218/9
worker [1] 221/4
workers [3] 10/4 10/5 52/22
working [9] 37/12 38/18 39/19 39/21 67/8 84/23 155/8 171/8 171/8 works [3] 5/15 5/19 6/4
world [4] 110/11 236/10 241/1 255/17 worry [1] 11/24
worth [3] 128/11 141/18 245/14 would [240] 6/17 7/5 7/10 9/18 10/1 10/3 11/20 11/21 12/25 13/16 14/20 18/19 19/7 21/1 22/2 22/15 23/8 23/15 24/8 24/12 25/4 25/21 26/1 26/23 28/16 28/19 29/6 31/15 32/14 32/17 32/19 33/8 33/11 33/14 34/12 35/20 35/20 36/10 37/19 37/22 38/4 39/19 40/14 41/2 42/2 42/11 42/12 43/6 43/9 46/8 46/17 50/13 50/23 51/6 51/12 51/15 52/1 53/11 54/19 55/24 56/13 58/6 59/19 60/7 60/20 60/22 63/14 64/5 65/1 65/5 65/23 69/15 70/12 71/15 73/19 75/22 77/15 77/16 77/16 77/23 78/9 81/25 82/3 83/5 83/6 83/14 85/22 85/23 86/3 87/7 87/8 87/11 88/19 88/23 91/10 93/19 97/16 100/19 100/25 102/2 102/7

104/7 104/22 104/22 106/4 111/7 113/7 113/10 113/17 118/8 119/3 119/14 119/18 120/9 122/24 124/22 125/9 125/10 129/24 131/14 131/15 132/3 134/11 134/14 134/15 137/7 138/1 138/7 138/18 139/18 151/8 151/21 158/21 159/25 161/18 162/4 163/24 165/11 166/12 169/12 170/14 170/16 170/17 170/25 171/4 171/10 171/10 173/16 173/23 173/24 177/7 177/10 177/17 178/24 179/16 179/17 179/21 182/6 183/22 185/4 186/2 186/15 186/20 187/3 187/9 192/16 193/10 193/11 193/12 194/17 195/21 196/20 197/10 200/2 200/24 204/3 204/4 204/11 205/3 206/14 207/5 207/11 208/1 210/15 214/22 216/1 218/13 218/14 218/19 222/10 223/2 234/16 234/23 234/25 236/15 237/2 237/11 237/12 238/22 239/3 243/5 243/16 243/25 244/19 244/24 245/8 246/1 246/3 246/4 246/4 246/5 246/6 246/8 246/10 246/10 247/16 248/21 249/13 249/21 249/22 249/24 250/1 250/5 250/7 250/9 250/14 250/16 250/18 251/2 252/11 252/13 252/14 253/9 254/7 254/15 254/15 254/18 255/2 255/14 255/21
wouldn't [7] 20/17 46/8 81/8 98/4 127/21 175/1 218/18
wrap [1] 256/16
wrapped [1] 191/6
wrestling [1] 100/5
write [3] 61/4 109/24 188/13
writes [1] 166/22
writing [1] 96/9
written [4] 85/11 103/3 136/5 253/25
wrong [10] 43/25 44/13 45/15 46/21
53/20 76/16 218/19 229/24 230/7 246/6
wrongly [1] 201/8
wrote [2] 134/2 163/7
X
\(X I\) [1] 142/12
\(Y\)
y'all [4] 5/21 8/3 98/2 110/12
y'all's [1] 243/9
yeah [30] 6/15 7/1 10/13 41/14 49/12 51/1 53/23 67/18 73/8 75/24 78/21 88/3 92/21 106/20 111/4 112/19 126/3
151/13 163/23 164/4 164/8 166/11 199/18 223/11 230/24 238/22 240/5 240/6 256/6 256/23
year [8] 15/9 15/13 15/14 15/24 145/24 161/19 209/17 210/19
years [9] 15/15 16/1 16/15 97/24 201/18 210/25 211/19 222/15 236/9 yes [205] 5/22 5/23 7/19 9/13 13/10 14/2 14/12 14/15 14/24 15/23 16/8 16/10 16/24 17/24 18/12 18/24 18/25 19/23 20/1 20/4 20/6 20/8 20/24 21/4 21/23 22/4 22/7 22/10 22/14 25/19 26/16 27/12 29/25 29/25 31/2 32/12 38/9 39/21 45/17 49/15 50/11 51/3 51/11 52/24 53/10 53/19 54/14 54/17 55/16 55/22 57/11 58/25 59/1 59/4 59/15 59/17 60/4 60/14 60/18 61/13
```

